EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarizes client outcomes from a statewide evaluation of publicly-funded substance abuse treatment programs for adults (18 years and older).

The goal of the Kentucky Treatment Outcome Study (KTOS) is to examine client satisfaction, recovery support, and outcomes for several specific targeted factors including: (1) substance use and severity of substance use, (2) mental health, physical health, and stress, (3) education, (4) employment, (5) homelessness, living situation, and economic hardship, and (6) involvement in the criminal justice system. In addition, this report provides estimates of cost savings of publicly-funded substance abuse treatment. Report findings support continued funding of substance abuse treatment programs which improve the lives of clients and greatly reduce the cost of untreated substance abuse to society.

State-funded substance abuse programs in Kentucky are required by Kentucky Revised Statute (222.465) to collect data on substance abuse clients as they enter treatment. KTOS is an important part of the Division of Behavioral Health’s performance-based measurement of treatment outcomes in Kentucky’s communities.

This report presents outcomes for 1,287 men and women who participated in publicly-funded substance abuse treatment from July 2012 through June 2013 and then completed a follow-up interview about 12 months later (average of 350 days).

The 2015 KTOS evaluation indicates that publicly-funded substance abuse treatment programs in Kentucky have been successful in facilitating positive changes in clients’ lives in a variety of ways.

Results show that there were significant reductions in drug and alcohol use as well as self-reported substance use severity. The number of individuals who reported using illegal drugs decreased 67% from intake to follow-up. Among individuals who reported using any illegal drugs in the 30 days before intake or follow-up, the number who had Addiction Severity Index (ASI) drug composite scores that met the cutoff for severe drug use disorder decreased by 85%. The number of individuals who reported using alcohol decreased 47% from intake to follow-up. Among individuals who reported using alcohol in the 30 days before intake or follow-up, the number who had Addiction Severity Index (ASI) alcohol composite scores that met the cutoff for severe alcohol use disorder decreased by 68%.

The mental health of clients who participated in treatment was also significantly improved. The number of individuals who met study criteria for depression, generalized anxiety, and comorbid depression and anxiety decreased significantly from intake to follow-up. Further, significantly fewer individuals reported suicidal
thoughts or attempts at follow-up than at intake. Also, individuals reported significantly fewer days their physical and mental health were poor at follow-up than at intake. Individuals reported fewer stress symptoms at follow-up compared to intake.

Overall, there was a significant increase in the number of individuals who had completed some vocational school or college by follow-up. Further, more clients reported current full-time employment and fewer clients reported unemployment at follow-up than at intake.

In addition, individuals’ living situations improved from intake to follow-up. First, fewer individuals reported they were currently homeless at follow-up. Second, significantly more individuals reported their usual living situation was a private residence (their own home or someone else’s home) and significantly fewer individuals reported their usual living situation was in a jail or prison in the 12 months before follow-up compared to the 12 months before intake. Finally, the number of individuals who reported they had difficulty meeting their basic living or health care needs decreased significantly from intake to follow-up.

Involvement in the criminal justice system, in terms of being arrested or incarcerated, also decreased significantly from intake to follow-up. The number of individuals who reported they had been arrested in the past 12 months decreased 62% and the number of individuals who reported they had been incarcerated in the past 12 months decreased 64% from intake to follow-up.

Further, program clients were largely satisfied with the treatment services they received at Kentucky’s community mental health care centers. Compared to intake, significantly more individuals reported they had attended mutual help recovery group meetings in the past 30 days. Also, more individuals reported they had interactions with family or friends who were supportive of their recovery and individuals reported having more people they could count on for recovery support at follow-up.

There were several important gender differences at treatment intake and follow-up. More women reported using illegal drugs at intake when compared to men. When specific drug classes were examined, significantly more women than men reported they had used opioids and CNS depressants at intake. Further, significantly more men than women reported using alcohol, and using alcohol to intoxication in the 12 months and 30 days before intake and follow-up. Significantly more men than women reported binge drinking in the 12 months before intake. Significantly more women reported smoking tobacco at intake and follow-up and significantly more men than women reported using smokeless tobacco at intake and follow-up.

More women than men reported mental health symptoms including depression, generalized anxiety, and comorbid depression and anxiety. Also, women reported their mental health was not good for significantly more days than men at intake and follow-up. Women reported more stress-related health consequences than did men at intake and follow-up. Women also reported more economic difficulties at both intake and
follow-up compared to men. Further, significantly more women were unemployed at intake and follow-up when compared to men. Likewise, significantly more men reported they had full-time employment at intake and follow-up when compared to women. Among individuals who were currently employed, men had significantly higher hourly wages than women and follow-up. However, significantly more women reported they had completed at least one year of vocational school or college than did men at intake and follow-up. Thus, even though women made significant overall gains in their education and employment by follow-up, they still lagged behind men in their economic standing.

Examining estimates of the total costs of drug and alcohol abuse to society in relation to expenditures on treatment programs, estimates suggest that for every dollar spent on publicly-funded substance abuse treatment programs there was a $4.60 return in avoided costs at both intake and follow-up. At intake, employed women made only $0.83 for every dollar employed men made and by follow-up, the gap in hourly wages was even greater, with employed women making only $0.69 for every dollar employed men made. Significantly more women than men reported difficulty in accessing basic needs and more difficulty in meeting health care needs at intake and follow-up. The KTOS 2015 evaluation indicates that publicly-funded substance abuse treatment programs in Kentucky have been successful in facilitating positive changes in clients’ lives in a variety of ways. These include decreased substance use, decreased severity of substance use, decreased mental health symptoms and stress, increased education and full-time employment, decreased economic hardship, and decreased involvement with the criminal justice system. Results also show that clients appreciate and value their experiences in treatment programs and have more support for recovery after participating in treatment. Finally, publicly-funded substance abuse treatment (in a variety of modalities) saves Kentucky taxpayers’ money in avoided costs that ongoing substance abuse would have cost without treatment.
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OVERVIEW OF REPORT

This is the annual Kentucky Treatment Outcome Study (KTOS) Follow-Up Report conducted by the Behavioral Health Outcome Study team at the University of Kentucky Center on Drug and Alcohol Research (UK CDAR). State-funded substance abuse programs in Kentucky are required by Kentucky Revised Statute (222.465) to collect data on substance abuse clients as they enter treatment. KTOS is an important part of the Department for Behavioral Health, Developmental, and Intellectual Disabilities, Division of Behavioral Health’s performance-based measurement of treatment outcomes in Kentucky’s communities.

KTOS includes a face-to-face interview with program staff at treatment intake to assess targeted factors such as substance use, mental health symptoms, education, employment status, living situation, and criminal justice involvement prior to entering treatment. Then, a follow-up interview is conducted with a selected sample of clients about 12 months after the intake interview is completed. The follow-up interviews are conducted over the telephone by a member of the UK CDAR research team. Client responses to follow-up interviews are kept confidential to help facilitate the honest evaluation of client outcomes and perception of program services. The UK CDAR research team secured a high follow-up rate of 76.2% and a low refusal rate (0.9%) for participation in the interviews. Only 22.9% of clients were not successfully contacted to complete the follow-up telephone interviews (see Appendix A for detailed information on study methods).

The findings presented in this report describe outcomes for 1,286 adult male and female clients who participated in publicly-funded substance abuse treatment from July 2012 through June 2013 and then completed a follow-up interview about 12 months later (an average of 350 days).

Of the 1,287 clients who completed follow-up interviews, 50.6% were men, 49.3% were women, and 0.1% were transgender. Most clients were White (93.2%), 4.8% were African American, and 2.0% were another race/ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic) or multiracial. Clients were an average age of 33.5 years old at the time of treatment intake (18 – 67 years old). Nearly two in five reported they were married or cohabiting, 26.6% were separated or divorced, and 32.9% were never married (and not cohabiting).

When those with a follow-up interview were compared with those who did not have a follow-up interview on a variety of intake variables, there were few significant differences for demographics, socio-economic status indicators (employment, living situation), criminal justice system involvement, and substance use. However, there were some significant differences in economic hardship, physical health, and mental health. First, significantly more women were followed up than were not followed up. Second, significantly more followed up clients reported they had difficulty meeting basic living needs and health care needs for financial reasons. Third, significantly more followed-up clients reported they had completed at least one year of vocational school or college compared to clients who were not followed up. Fourth, significantly more clients who were included in the follow-up sample reported they had chronic pain, a chronic medical problem and a history of traumatic brain injury.

“The counselors who worked with me were absolutely exceptional. They went above and beyond.”

- Quote from KTOS follow-up client

---

1 There was a total of 1,287 clients who completed intakes and follow-up surveys, however one transgender individual was not included in analysis because outcomes were examined by gender and a group of 1 is insufficient to examine with statistical tests; thus, outcomes were only analyzed for 1,286 clients.
when compared to clients who were not in the follow-up sample. Fifth, significantly more clients in the follow-up sample reported depression and generalized anxiety in the 12 months before treatment. Finally, significantly more followed up clients had used marijuana in the 12 months before treatment compared to clients who were not followed up. See Appendix B for detailed comparisons of clients who completed a follow-up interview (n = 1,287) and clients who did not complete a follow-up interview (n = 3,607).

Results are reported in eleven main sections:

Section 1. Overview of KTOS Method and Client Characteristics. This section briefly describes KTOS method including how clients are selected into the outcome evaluation. In addition, this section describes characteristics of clients who entered substance abuse treatment in one of Kentucky’s Community Mental Health Centers between July 1, 2012 and June 30, 2013. This section also describes characteristics of clients who completed a 12-month follow-up interview between July 1, 2013 and June 30, 2014.

Section 2. Client Satisfaction with Substance Abuse Treatment Programs and Quality of Life Ratings. This section describes three aspects of client satisfaction: (1) overall client satisfaction; (2) client ratings of program experiences; and (3) quality of life ratings.

Section 3. Substance Use. This section examines change in substance use (illegal drugs, alcohol, and tobacco) for 12-month and 30-day periods at intake and follow-up. In addition, self-reported severity of alcohol and drug use based on the Addiction Severity Index (ASI) alcohol and drug use composite scores are compared at intake and follow-up. Results for each targeted factor are presented for the overall sample and by gender when there were significant gender differences.

Section 4. Mental Health, Stress, and Physical Health. This section examines pre-program compared to post-program change on mental health, physical health, and stress including: (1) depression; (2) generalized anxiety; (3) suicidal thoughts or attempts; (4) number of days physical and mental health were not good; and (5) stress-related health consequences. Results for each targeted factor are presented for the overall sample and by gender when there were significant gender differences.

Section 5. Education and Employment. This section examines changes in education and employment from intake to follow-up including: (1) highest level of education completed; (2) the percentage of clients who worked full-time or part-time; (3) the number of months clients were employed full-time or part-time; and (4) hourly wage. Results for each targeted factor are presented for the overall sample and separately by gender when there were significant differences.

Section 6. Homelessness, Living Situation, and Economic Hardship. This section of target factors examines the clients’ living situation at intake and follow-up. Specifically, clients are asked at both points: (1) if they consider themselves to be currently homeless; (2) their living situation (e.g., private residence, institution); and (3) economic hardship. Results for each targeted factor are presented for the overall sample and separately by gender when there were significant differences.

Section 7. Criminal Justice System Involvement. This section describes change in clients’ involvement with the criminal justice system from intake to follow-up. Specifically, the following factors are examined: (1) arrests; (2) incarceration; and (3) supervision by the criminal justice system (e.g., probation, parole, or Drug Court). Results for each targeted factor are presented for the overall sample and separately by gender when there were significant differences.
Section 8. Recovery Supports. This section focuses on three main changes in recovery supports: (1) percentage of clients attending mutual help recovery group meetings; (2) recovery supportive interactions with family/friends in the past 30 days; and (3) the number of people the participant said they could count on for recovery support.

Section 9: Clinical Diagnostic and Service Information. This section examines mental health diagnosis and service event data submitted by community mental health center (CMHC) providers to the Department for Behavioral Health, Developmental and Intellectual Disabilities for the KTOS follow-up sample.

Section 10: Cost Savings of Substance Abuse Treatment in Kentucky. This section examines cost reductions or avoided costs to society after participation in substance abuse treatment. Using the number of clients who met criteria for drug and alcohol dependence at intake and follow-up in the KTOS sample, a national per/person cost was applied to the sample to estimate the cost to society for the year before clients were in treatment and then for the same clients during the year after treatment had begun.

Section 11: Conclusion and Implications. This section summarizes the highlights from the evaluation results and suggest implications from these findings for the state.
SECTION 1. OVERVIEW OF KTOS METHOD AND CLIENT CHARACTERISTICS

This section briefly describes the Kentucky Treatment Outcome Study (KTOS) including how clients are selected into the outcome evaluation. In addition, this section describes characteristics of clients who participated in publicly-funded substance abuse treatment in Kentucky’s Community Mental Health Centers in FY 2013 as well as clients who completed a 12-month follow-up interview.

PUBLICLY-FUNDED SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FOR ADULTS

The goal of KTOS is to provide an annual outcome evaluation for CMHCs’ substance abuse treatment programs for the Department for Behavioral Health, Developmental and Intellectual Disabilities in partnership with the Behavioral Health Outcome Studies team at the University of Kentucky Center on Drug and Alcohol Research (UK CDAR). Specifically, the outcome evaluation examines client satisfaction, recovery support, substance use outcomes and several other targeted outcomes: (1) mental health, physical health, and stress; (2) education; (3) employment; (4) homelessness, living situation, and economic hardship; and (5) criminal justice system involvement. In addition, estimates present the avoided costs to society in relation to the cost of publicly-funded substance abuse treatment.

KTOS includes a face-to-face intake interview conducted by program staff to assess targeted factors such as substance use, mental health symptoms, education, employment status, living situation, and involvement in the criminal justice system prior to entering substance abuse treatment (submitted to UK CDAR from July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013). In FY 2013, 4,894 adults completed an intake survey. At the completion of the intake interview, staff persons inform individuals about the KTOS follow-up telephone interview and ask if they are interested in participating.

This report describes the sample of treatment clients in two main ways: (1) providing a description of characteristics for 4,894 adults who completed an intake interview in FY 2013 (July 1, 2012 – June 30, 2013), and (2) presentation of the outcomes for 1,287 adults who completed an intake and 12-month follow-up telephone interview between July 1, 2013 and June 30, 2014.

DESCRIPTION OF KTOS CLIENTS AT TREATMENT INTAKE

Table 1.1 shows that the majority of clients with an intake survey submitted in FY 2013 were male (57.4%) and White (92.3%). Only a minority of clients reported their race as African American/Black (5.5%) and 2.2% reported they were American Indian, Asian, Hispanic, or multiracial. Clients were, on average, 33.5 years old, ranging from 18 to 77 years old at intake. The majority of clients were not married or cohabiting at intake: 31.7% were never married, 26.1% were separated or divorced, and 1.5% were widowed. About 1 in 5 (19.7%) were married and 1 in 5 (20.9%) were cohabiting with a partner at intake. The majority of clients reported they had at least one child (72.6%).

---

2 When a client had more than one intake survey in the same fiscal year, the survey with the earliest submission date was kept in the data file and the other intake surveys were deleted so that each client was represented once and only once in the data set.
TABLE 1.1. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR ALL KTOS CLIENTS AT INTAKE (N = 4,894)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEMOGRAPHICS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>33.5 years (range of 18-77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENDER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other or multiracial</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARITAL STATUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated or divorced</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or cohabiting</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAVE CHILDREN</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1.1 shows the treatment referral source for all KTOS clients at intake. The majority of clients (56.5%) reported they were referred to treatment by the court (e.g., judge, court designated worker, probation officer, for DUI offense). A minority of clients reported they were referred to treatment by Child or Adult Protective Services (15.2%), by a health care or mental health care worker (3.9%). Even smaller numbers of clients reported they were referred to treatment by a family member or friend (1.0%), an employer (1.2%), an AA/NA sponsor (0.4%), a different government agency (0.7%), or other referral source (1.2%). One fifth of clients (19.9%) reported they decided to get help on their own.

FIGURE 1.1 REFERRAL SOURCE FOR ALL KTOS CLIENTS AT INTAKE (N = 4,894)
The majority of adults who completed an intake survey reported using alcohol and/or illegal drugs (86.9%) in the 12 months before entering treatment (see Figure 1.2). A higher percentage of individuals reported using illegal drugs (69.1%) compared to the percentage of individuals who reported using alcohol (57.3%) in the 12 months before entering treatment. The vast majority of clients reported smoking tobacco (84.1%) in the 12 months before intake (see Figure 1.2). The drug classes reported by the greatest number of clients were marijuana (43.9%), prescription opioid/opiate (43.2%), and tranquilizers (27.4%; not depicted in a figure). Because being in a controlled environment decreases opportunities for substance use, individuals who were in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment (n = 401) are not included in the analysis of substance use in the 30 days before entering treatment. Of the 4,493 individuals who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days, 63.0% reported using illegal drugs and/or alcohol, 49.7% reported using illegal drugs, 30.0% reported using alcohol, and 81.7% reported using tobacco in the 30 days before entering treatment.

FIGURE 1.2 USE OF ALCOHOL, ILLEGAL DRUGS, AND SMOKING TOBACCO IN THE 12 MONTHS AND 30 DAYS BEFORE TREATMENT

About 1 in 4 clients (27.6%) had less than a high school diploma or GED at intake. The highest level of education of 40.0% of the sample was a high school diploma or GED. Nearly one in four clients (23.7%) had completed some vocational/technical school or college. Only a small minority of clients had completed vocational/technical school (2.0%), an associate’s degree (3.7%), or a bachelor’s degree or higher (3.0%).

FIGURE 1.3 HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION COMPLETED AT INTAKE (N = 4,894)
In the 12 months before intake, two in five clients (42.6%) reported they had worked 0 months, 21.1% had worked 1 to 5 months, and 36.3% had worked 6 or more months (not depicted in a figure). At intake the majority of individuals reported they were currently unemployed (68.5%), with 19.8% being employed full-time, and 11.6% employed part-time or having occasional or seasonal employment. Among those who reported being employed full or part-time at intake, the mean hourly wage was $10.83.\(^3\)

Of the individuals who were currently unemployed at intake (n = 3,350), the majority stated they were looking for work (54.1%), 24.7% were on disability, 9.0% were keeping the house or taking care of children full-time at home, 4.4% were in a controlled environment that prohibited them from working, and the remaining 7.9% gave other reasons for not being employed (e.g., on furlough or temporarily laid off, retired, full-time student, other health problems prevented them from work but they weren’t on disability, and they were not looking for work).

The majority of individuals reported being arrested at least once (56.4%) and being incarcerated at least one night (60.9%) in the 12 months before treatment (see Figure 1.6). Among those who were arrested in the past 12 months, they were arrested an average of 1.7 times. Among those who were incarcerated in the past 12 months, they were incarcerated an average of 61.6 nights (not depicted in a figure).

---

\(^3\) Twenty-seven cases had missing data on hourly wage.

\(^4\) Five cases had missing data on current employment.
KTOS FOLLOW-UP SAMPLE

This report describes outcomes for 1,287 adults who participated in publicly-funded substance abuse treatment and who completed an intake interview and a follow-up telephone interview about 12 months (average of 350 days) after the intake survey was completed. Detailed information about the methods and follow-up efforts can be found in Appendix A.

Follow-up interviews are conducted with a selected sample of KTOS clients about 12 months after the intake survey is completed. All individuals who agree to be contacted by UK CDAR for the follow-up interview and have given at least one mailing address and one phone number, or two phone numbers if they do not have a mailing address in their locator information, are eligible for the follow-up component of the study. Of those eligible, individuals are then randomly selected by the month in which they completed baseline surveys (170 clients per month). The follow-up interviews are conducted over the telephone by an interviewer at UK CDAR. Client responses to the follow-up interviews are kept confidential to help facilitate the honest evaluation of client outcomes and satisfaction with program services. The professionalism of the outcome study is reflected in a low refusal rate for follow-up participation (0.9%), and in the high follow-up rate (76.2%). This means that only 22.9% of individuals included in the sample to be followed up were not successfully contacted. These elements all indicate KTOS is a solid, dependable research study for publicly-funded substance abuse treatment programs with adults in Kentucky.

Of the 1,287 adults who completed a 12-month follow-up interview, 50.6% were male and 49.3% were female. The majority of follow-up clients were White (93.2%). A minority were African American/Black (4.8%) and 2.0% were Hispanic, American Indian, or multiracial. They were an average of 33.5 years old at the time of the intake interview. The majority of clients (58.2%) were 30 years old or older at intake. Nearly two in five reported they were married or cohabiting, 26.6% were separated or divorced, and 32.9% were never married (and not cohabiting).

---

5 The one client who identified themselves as transgender was not included in the analysis examining changes in outcomes, because 1 case is too few to include in analysis examining gender differences, which was carried out throughout the report. Thus, for the analysis presented in Sections 2 - 9 the follow-up sample is N = 1,286.

6 Clients are not contacted for a variety of reasons including follow-up staff are not able to find a working address or phone number or are unable to contact any friends or family members of the client.
When those with a follow-up interview were compared with those who did not have a follow-up interview on a variety of intake variables, there were few significant differences for demographics, socio-economic status indicators (education, employment, living situation), criminal justice system involvement, and substance use. First, significantly more women were followed up than were not followed up. Second, significantly more followed up clients reported they had difficulty meeting basic living needs and health care needs for financial reasons. Third, significantly more followed-up clients reported they had completed at least one year of vocational school or college compared to clients who were not followed up. Fourth, significantly more clients who were included in the follow-up sample reported they had chronic pain, a chronic medical problem and a history of traumatic brain injury when compared to clients who were not in the follow-up sample. Fifth, significantly more clients in the follow-up sample reported depression and generalized anxiety in the 12 months before treatment. Finally, significantly more followed up clients had used marijuana in the 12 months before treatment compared to clients who were not followed up. See Appendix B for detailed comparisons of clients who completed a follow-up interview (n = 1,287) and clients who did not complete a follow-up interview (n = 3,607).
SECTION 2. CLIENT SATISFACTION WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT PROGRAMS AND QUALITY OF LIFE RATINGS

One of the important outcomes assessed during the follow-up interview is the client perception of the treatment program experience. This section describes three aspects of client satisfaction: (1) overall client satisfaction; (2) client ratings of program experiences; and, (3) client quality of life ratings for before and after involvement in the program.

OVERALL CLIENT SATISFACTION

At the beginning of the follow-up survey, interviewers asked participants questions about their satisfaction with the treatment programs where 1 represented the worst experience and 10 represented the best experience. Overall, the majority of clients (70.0%) gave a positive rating between 8 and 10 of their satisfaction with the treatment program (not in a table). The average rating was 8.0.

CLIENT RATINGS OF PROGRAM EXPERIENCES

When asked about specific aspects of their treatment program, the vast majority of clients reported they either agreed or strongly agreed with each aspect of the program that was assessed (see Figure 2.1). The vast majority of clients indicated they were treated with respect (97.9%), understood their rights as clients of substance abuse treatment (97.3%), understood what staff expected of them (96.6%), understood their treatment plan (95.9%), and indicated that they had received the services they needed to help them get better (92.2%).

Figure 2.1. Percentage of clients who agreed/strongly agreed with the following statements about the treatment program at follow-up (N = 1,286)

- You were treated with respect: 97.9%
- The facility was clean: 97.6%
- Staff explained your rights as a client: 97.3%
- You understood what was expected of you: 96.6%
- You understood your treatment plan: 95.9%
- You received the services you needed to help you get better: 92.2%
- You feel better about yourself as a result of your experience: 91.1%

Data for 2 clients was missing for this question.

Answers of don’t know/don’t remember were treated as missing on these items. The number of missing values ranged from 0 to 4 on the items represented in the above figure.
QUALITY OF LIFE RATINGS

One way to measure quality of life is to assess individuals’ perceptions of their social standing in society. Clients were asked to place themselves on a ladder (Adler’s Ladder), representing their perception of their standing in society. The bottom rung, 1, represents “people who are the worst off, those who have the least money, least education, and worst jobs or no jobs” and the top rung, 10, represents “people who are the best off, those who have the most money, most education, and best jobs.” Overall, clients’ rated themselves as a 4.7 on average, (just under the middle of the ladder) at intake, and a 6.9 (just above the middle) at follow-up, which was a significant increase.

FIGURE 2.2 DISTRIBUTION OF CLIENTS’ PERCEPTIONS OF THEIR STANDING IN SOCIETY (N = 1,277)

Intake average rating – 4.7
Follow-up average rating – 6.9

---


10 Subjective social standing at follow-up was missing for nine cases.
SECTION 3. SUBSTANCE USE

This section describes pre-program compared to post-program change in illegal drug, alcohol, and tobacco use for adult clients. Past-12-months substance use is examined as well as past 30-day substance use for clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment or the follow-up interview. Results for each targeted factor are presented for the overall sample and by gender when there were significant gender differences.

This section examines substance use changes which include use of any illegal drugs or alcohol, and then separately for illegal drugs, alcohol, and tobacco at intake and follow-up. In addition to examining the overall use of illegal drugs, several specific categories of illegal drugs were examined including: (a) marijuana; (b) opioids [i.e., prescription opiates, methadone, and buprenorphine]; (c) heroin; (d) Central Nervous System (CNS) depressants [including tranquilizers, benzodiazepines, sedatives, and barbiturates]; (e) stimulants [i.e., cocaine, methamphetamine, Ecstasy, MDMA, Adderall, and Ritalin]; and (d) other illegal drugs not mentioned above [i.e., hallucinogens, inhalants, and synthetic drugs]. Analysis is presented in detail for KTOS study participants who were not in a controlled environment for the entire period of 12 months and/or 30 days before entering treatment. Changes in substance use from intake to follow-up are presented in 4 main groups and organized by type of substance use:

1. Change in 12-month substance use from intake to follow-up. Comparisons of the use of substances including ANY illegal drug use, marijuana, opioids, heroin, CNS depressants, stimulants, and other illegal drug use, alcohol use, and tobacco use 12 months before the client entered the program and any use of these substances during the 12-month follow-up period (n = 1,277) are presented.

2. Average number of months clients used substances at intake and follow-up. For those who used any of the substances, the average number of months used in the 12 months before treatment intake and during the 12-month follow-up period are reported.

3. Change in 30-day substance use from intake to follow-up. In addition to looking at past-12-month substance use, change in any use in the 30 days before program entry and the 30 days before the follow-up interview for any illegal drug use (including marijuana, opioids, heroin, CNS depressants, stimulants, and other illegal drugs), alcohol use, and tobacco use (n = 1,174) is also examined. Because some clients were in a controlled environment (e.g., prison, jail, or residential facility) all 30 days before entering treatment (n = 112), changes in drug, alcohol, and tobacco use from intake to follow-up was analyzed for only clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment.

4. Change in self-reported alcohol and drug composite scores from intake to follow-up. The Addiction Severity Index (ASI) composite scores are examined for change over time for illegal drugs (n

---

112 cases were excluded because they were in a controlled environment all 30 days before intake, and 1 individual was transgender.
= 654), alcohol (n = 459) and those with alcohol and/or illegal drug use (n = 817). The ASI composite score assesses self-reported addiction severity even among those reporting no substance use in the past 30 days. The alcohol and drug composite scores are computed from items about 30-days alcohol (or drug) use and the number of days individuals used multiple drugs in a day, as well as the impact of substance use on the individual’s life, such as money spent on alcohol, number of days individuals had alcohol (or drug) problems, how troubled or bothered individuals were by their alcohol (or drug) problems, and how important treatment was to them.

ALCOHOL AND DRUG USE

The vast majority of clients (88.5%) reported using alcohol and/or illegal drugs in the 12 months before entering substance abuse treatment, which decreased to 41.9% at follow-up. There was a 52.7% significant decrease in the number of clients reporting use of alcohol and illegal drugs (see Figure 3.1).

FIGURE 3.1. PAST-12-MONTH ALCOHOL AND/OR DRUG USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,277)

 ANY ILLEGAL DRUGS

ANY ILLEGAL DRUG USE, PAST 12 MONTHS

Nearly three in four clients (73.0%) reported using illegal drugs in the 12 months before entering substance abuse treatment, which decreased to 24.5% at follow-up. Overall, for the KTOS follow-up sample, there was a 66.5% decrease in the number of clients reporting use of any illegal drug (see Figure 3.2).
Gender Differences in Overall Illegal Drug Use, Past 12 Months

At intake, significantly more women than men reported any illegal drug use, 77.0% vs. 69.1% (see Figure 3.3). The number of women and men who reported illegal drug use significantly decreased from intake to follow-up by 67.8% and 65.0% respectively.

Average Number of Months Used Any Illegal Drugs

Among the clients who reported using illegal drugs in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 928), they reported using illegal drugs on average 7.7 months (see Figure 3.4). Among clients who reported using illegal drugs at follow-up (n = 311), they reported using on average 5.7 months.\footnote{Six cases had missing data on illegal drugs in the 12 months before follow-up} 

\footnote{Because number of months of illegal drugs was measured separately for each class of substance, the value is a calculation of the maximum number of months clients used any class of substance.}
ANY ILLEGAL DRUG USE, PAST 30 DAYS

A little more than one half of clients (51.4%) who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days reported they had used illegal drugs in the 30 days before entering treatment (see Figure 3.5). At follow-up, only 14.4% of clients reported they had used illegal drugs in the past 30 days—a significant decrease of 72.1%.

Gender Differences in Any Illegal Drug Use, Past 30 Days

Significantly more women reported illegal drug use in the 30 days before intake when compared to men (55.4% vs. 47.4%). The number of women and men who reported illegal drug use decreased significantly by 77.8% and 65.3% respectively (see Figure 3.6).

---

**p < .001

12 individuals had missing data on 30-day illegal drug use at follow-up.
Average Number of Days Used Any Illegal Drugs

Among the clients who reported using illegal drugs in the 30 days before entering treatment \((n = 598)\), they reported using illegal drugs on average 15.6 days (see Figure 3.7). Among clients who reported using illegal drugs at follow-up \((n = 167)\), they reported using on average 14.4 days.\(^{17}\)

### FIGURE 3.7. AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAYS CLIENTS USED ILLEGAL DRUGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana Use, Past 12 Months</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MARIJUANA**

**MARIJUANA USE, PAST 12 MONTHS**

Nearly half of clients \((48.9\%)\) reported using marijuana in the 12 months before entering treatment, which decreased to 15.5\% at follow-up. Overall, for the KTOS follow-up sample, there was a 68.4\% decrease in the number of clients reporting marijuana use (see Figure 3.8).

\(^{17}\) Because number of days of illegal drugs was measured separately for each class of substance, the value is a calculation of the maximum number of days clients used any class of substance.
Average Number of Months Used Marijuana

Among the clients who reported using marijuana in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 624), they reported using marijuana, on average, 6.4 months (see Figure 3.9). Among clients who reported using marijuana at follow-up (n = 197), they reported using, on average 5.9 months.

**MARIJUANA USE, PAST 30 DAYS**

The number of clients who reported using marijuana in the past 30 days decreased significantly by 64.2%, from 28.6% at intake to 10.2% at follow-up (see Figure 3.10).

---

18 Two cases had missing values on marijuana misuse at follow-up.
**FIGURE 3.10. PAST-30-DAY MARIJUANA USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,171)**

\[-64.2\%**\]

\[28.6\% - 10.2\%\]

Marijuana

\[\text{Intake} \quad \text{Follow-Up}\]

\[\text{**p < .001}\]

**FIGURE 3.11. PAST-12-MONTH OPIOID USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,275)**

\[-76.7\%**\]

\[48.1\% - 11.2\%\]

Opioids

\[\text{Intake} \quad \text{Follow-Up}\]

\[\text{**p < .001}\]

**OPIOIDS**

**OPIOID MISUSE, PAST 12 MONTHS**

Nearly half of clients (48.1%) reported misusing opioids other than heroin, including prescription opiates, methadone, and buprenorphine in the 12 months before entering treatment, which decreased to 11.2% at follow-up. Overall, for the KTOS follow-up sample, there was a 76.7% decrease in the number of clients reporting opioid use other than heroin (see Figure 3.11).

**Gender Differences in Opioid Use, Past 12 Months**

Significantly more women than men reported opioid use in the 12 months before intake, 53.0% vs. 43.2% (see Figure 3.12). The number of women and men who reported opioid use significantly decreased from intake to follow-up by 76.1% and 77.3% respectively.

---

19 Three cases had missing value on 30-day marijuana misuse at follow-up.

20 Two cases had missing values on opioid misuse at follow-up.
Average Number of Months Used Opioids

Among the clients who reported using opioids in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 613), they reported using opioids on average 7.4 months (see Figure 3.13). Among clients who reported using opioids at follow-up (n = 143), they reported using an average 5.2 months.21

**FIGURE 3.13. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS CLIENTS USED OPIOIDS**

Because number of months of prescription opiates, methadone, and buprenorphine were measured separately, the value is a calculation of the maximum number of months clients used any of these specific types of opioids.

OPIOID USE, PAST 30 DAYS

The number of clients who reported using opioids decreased significantly by 80.0%, from 29.9% at intake to 6.0% at follow-up (see Figure 3.14).
Gender Differences in Opioid Use, Past 30 Days

Significantly more women than men reported opioid use in the 30 days before intake, 33.6% vs. 26.2% (see Figure 3.15). The number of women and men who reported opioid use significantly decreased from intake to follow-up by 79.8% and 80.3% respectively.

**p < .001

HEROIN
HEROIN USE, PAST 12 MONTHS

Nearly 1 in 7 clients (13.9%) reported using heroin in the 12 months before entering treatment, which decreased 62.7% to 5.2% at follow-up (see Figure 3.16).

**p < .001

22 Four cases had missing values on 30-day opioid misuse at follow-up.
Among the clients who reported using heroin in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 177), they reported using heroin, on average, 5.1 months (see Figure 3.17). Among clients who reported using heroin at follow-up (n = 66), they reported using, on average, 3.5 months.

**p < .001

**p < .001

Average Number Of Months Used Heroin

A minority of clients (9.6%) reported using heroin in the 30 days before intake, with a significant decrease of 83.9% by follow-up to 1.5% (see Figure 3.18).

**p < .001

23 Four cases had missing values on 12 month heroin use at follow-up.

24 Four cases had missing values on 30 day heroin use at follow-up.
CNS DEPRESSANTS

CNS DEPRESSANT USE, PAST 12 MONTHS

Three in ten clients (30.4%) reported using CNS depressants, including tranquilizers, benzodiazepines, sedatives, and barbiturates in the 12 months before entering treatment, which decreased to 5.2% at follow-up. Overall, for the KTOS follow-up sample, there was an 82.9% decrease in the number of clients reporting CNS depressant use (see Figure 3.19).

Gender Differences in CNS Depressant Use, Past 12 Months

Significantly more women than men reported CNS depressant use in the 12 months before intake, 34.7% vs. 26.3% (see Figure 3.20). The number of women and men who reported CNS depressant use significantly decreased from intake to follow-up by 84.5% and 81.0% respectively. At follow-up, there was no significant difference in the percentage of women and men who reported using CNS depressants.

---

25 Six cases had missing values on past 12 month CNS depressant use at follow-up.
Average Number of Months Used CNS Depressants

Figure 3.21 shows the average maximum number of months clients who used CNS depressants reported using these illegal drugs.\textsuperscript{26} Among the clients who reported using these substances in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 387), they reported using CNS depressants an average 5.3 months. Among clients who reported using CNS depressants in the 12 months before follow-up (n = 66), they reported using on average 4.4 months.

**Figure 3.21. Average Number of Months of CNS Depressant Use**

The number of clients who reported using CNS depressants decreased significantly by 83.0%, from 18.2% at intake to 3.1% at follow-up (see Figure 3.22).

**Figure 3.22. Past-30-Day CNS Depressant Use at Intake and Follow-Up (N = 1,168)**

Gender Differences in CNS Depressant Use, Past 30 Days

Significantly more women than men reported CNS depressant use in the 30 days before intake, 21.2% vs. 15.1% (see Figure 3.23). The number of women and men who reported CNS depressant use significantly decreased from intake to follow-up by 84.8% and 80.5% respectively.

**“I really enjoyed that they taught us how addiction affected your brain.”**

"Quote from KTOS follow-up client"

\textsuperscript{26} Because number of months of use barbiturates and tranquilizers/sedatives/benzodiazepines were measured separately, the value is a calculation of the maximum number of months clients used any substance class.

\textsuperscript{27} Seven cases had missing values on past-30-day CNS depressant use at follow-up.
STIMULANTS

STIMULANT USE, PAST 12 MONTHS

More than 1 in 4 clients (28.2%) reported using stimulants, including cocaine, methamphetamine, Ecstasy, MDMA, and non-prescription Adderall and Ritalin in the 12 months before entering treatment, which decreased to 4.9% at follow-up. Overall, for the KTOS follow-up sample, there was an 82.8% decrease in the number of clients reporting stimulant use (see Figure 3.24).

**83% FEWER CLIENTS REPORTED PAST 12-MONTH STIMULANT USE AT FOLLOW-UP**

Average Number of Months Used Stimulants

Among the clients who reported using stimulants in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 360), they reported using stimulants an average 4.4 months (see Figure 3.25). Among clients who reported using stimulants in the 12 months before follow-up (n = 62), they reported using stimulants, on average, 3.2 months.

“I put myself in it because I lost my kids and was going through a tough time. They really helped me out.”

- Quote from KTOS follow-up client

---

28 Two cases had missing values on past-12-month stimulant use at intake and follow-up.
The number of clients who reported using stimulants in the past 30 days decreased significantly by 80.5%, from 13.2% at intake to 2.6% at follow-up (see Figure 3.26).

A small minority of KTOS clients (11.8%) reported using any other illegal drugs (i.e., hallucinogens, inhalants, synthetic drugs) in the 12 months before entering treatment. The number of clients who reported using other illegal drugs decreased to 1.6% at follow-up – a significant decrease of 85.9% (see Figure 3.27).

---

29 Two cases had missing values on past-30-day stimulant use at intake and follow-up.
Average Number of Months Used Other Illegal Drugs

Figure 3.28 shows the average maximum number of months clients who used other illegal drugs (e.g., hallucinogens, inhalants, synthetic drugs) reported using those illegal drugs in the past 12 months. Among the clients who reported using these drugs in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 149), they reported using other illegal drugs an average 3.0 months. Among clients who reported using other illegal drugs in the 12 months before follow-up (n = 21), they reported using an average 2.9 months.

Figure 3.28. Average Number of Months of Other Illegal Drug Use

**P < .001**

**Other Illegal Drug Use, Past 30 Days**

The number of clients who reported using other illegal drugs in the 30 days before the intake and follow-up interviews decreased significantly by 94.1%, from 4.4% at intake to 0.3% at follow-up (see Figure 3.29).

Figure 3.29. Past-30-Day Use of Other Illegal Drugs at Intake and Follow-Up (N = 1,168) **P < .001**

---

**Notes:**

30 Four cases had missing data on past-12-month use of other illegal drugs at follow-up.

31 Because number of months of use of each class of substance was measured separately (e.g., hallucinogens, inhalants, synthetic drugs), the value is a calculation of the maximum number of months clients used any substance class.

32 Five cases had missing data on past 30-day use of other illegal drugs at follow-up.
TREND ALERT

HEROIN AND PRESCRIPTION OPIATES

This trend analysis examines the percentage of KTOS clients who reported using prescription opiates/opioids and heroin in the 12 months before entering the program in FY 2008 through FY 2013. As the figure shows, the use of prescription opiates peaked in 2010, with a little more than half of clients reporting non-prescribed use of prescription opiates. The percentage of clients reporting heroin use began to increase in FY 2012 and rose even more in FY 2013.
ALCOHOL USE

There were three measures of alcohol use including: (1) any alcohol use, (2) alcohol use to intoxication, and (3) binge drinking. Binge drinking was defined as having 5 or more (4 or more if client was female) alcoholic drinks in a period of about 2 hours.33

ALCOHOL USE, PAST 12 MONTHS

The majority of clients (58.4%) reported using alcohol in the 12 months before entering treatment while 31.2% of clients reported alcohol use in the 12 months before follow-up (see Figure 3.30). Overall, for the KTOS follow-up sample, there was a 46.6% decrease in the number of clients reporting alcohol use. A little under one half of clients (45.1%) reported using alcohol to intoxication at intake, with 16.2% reporting alcohol use to intoxication in the 12 months before follow-up—a significant decrease of 64.2%. Similarly there was a significant decrease of 63.7% in the number of clients who reported binge drinking from intake to follow-up (35.5% vs. 12.9%).34,35

**FIGURE 3.30. PAST-12-MONTH ALCOHOL USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,275)**

![Bar chart showing decrease in alcohol use](image)

Gender Differences in Alcohol Use

Significantly more men than women reported alcohol use at intake and at follow-up (see Figure 3.31). The number of men and women who reported alcohol use in the 12 months before follow-up was significantly decreased by 44.9% and 48.9% respectively. Significantly more men than women reported alcohol use to intoxication at intake and at follow-up. The number of men and women who reported alcohol use to intoxication in the 12 months before follow-


34 Missing data on alcohol and alcohol to intoxication at follow-up for 2 cases and binge drinking at follow-up for 3 cases.

35 Binge drinking was defined as having 5 or more alcohol drinks within a two hour period for men and 4 or more drinks for women.
up was significantly decreased by 65.7% and 61.6% respectively. Significantly more men than women also reported binge drinking at intake. The number of men and women who reported binge drinking in the 12 months before follow-up was significantly decreased by 67.8% and 57.4% respectively.

**FIGURE 3.31. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PAST-12-MONTH ALCOHOL USE, ALCOHOL TO INTOXICATION, AND BINGE DRINKING AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Alcohol to Intoxication</th>
<th>Binge Drinking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intake</td>
<td>Follow-Up</td>
<td>Intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.6%</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
<td>48.9%**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a—Significant difference by gender at intake and follow-up (p < .001).
b—Significant difference by gender at intake (p < .001) and follow-up (p < .01).
c—Significant difference by gender at intake (p < .01).

**p < .001**

**Average Number of Months Used Alcohol**

Figure 3.32 shows the average number of months alcohol users reported using alcohol at intake and follow-up. Among the clients who reported using alcohol in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 745), they reported using alcohol, on average, 6.5 months. Among clients who reported using alcohol in the 12 months before follow-up (n = 398), they also reported using, on average, 6.5 months.

**FIGURE 3.32. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS OF ALCOHOL USE**

- Intake (n = 745)
- Follow-Up (n = 398)

**Alcohol Intoxication and Binge Drinking Among Those Who Used Alcohol, Past 12 Months**

Of the clients who used alcohol in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 745), 77.2% used alcohol to intoxication in the 12 months before intake (see Figure 3.33). Of the clients who used
alcohol in the 12 months before follow-up (n = 398), 51.8% of clients reported alcohol use to intoxication. Of the clients who used alcohol in the 12 months before intake, 60.7% reported binge drinking in the 12 months before intake. At follow-up, of those reporting alcohol use, 41.2% reported binge drinking.

FIGURE 3.33. PAST-12-MONTH ALCOHOL USE TO INTOXICATION AND BINGE DRINKING AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP, AMONG THOSE REPORTING ALCOHOL USE AT EACH POINT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake (n = 745)</th>
<th>Follow-Up (n = 398)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol to Intoxication (%)</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge Drinking (%)</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ALCOHOL USE, PAST 30 DAYS**

There was a 33.0% decrease in the percentage of clients who reported using alcohol in the past 30 days from intake (30.4%) to follow-up (20.4%; see Figure 3.34). The decrease in the number of clients who reported using alcohol to intoxication was even greater at 63.8%. There was a similar significant decrease (63.5%) in the number of clients who reported binge drinking at follow-up compared to the 30 days before entering treatment.

FIGURE 3.34. PAST-30-DAY ALCOHOL USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,169)

- ↓ 33.0%**
- ↓ 63.8%**
- ↓ 63.5%**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (%)</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Use to Intoxication (%)</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge Drinking (%)</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001

**Gender Differences in Alcohol Use in the Past 30 Days**

Significantly more men than women reported alcohol use in the 30 days before intake and follow-up (see Figure 3.35). The number of men and women who reported past-30-day alcohol use decreased

---

36 Two cases had missing data on alcohol use to intoxication and three cases had missing data on binge drinking at follow-up.

37 Four cases had missing data on alcohol, alcohol intoxication, and binge drinking at follow-up.
significantly by 26.6% and 42.6%, respectively. Additionally, significantly more men than women reported alcohol use to intoxication at intake and follow-up. The number of men and women who reported alcohol use to intoxication decreased significantly by 60.3% and 68.9% respectively.

**FIGURE 3.35. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PAST-30-DAY ALCOHOL USE, ALCOHOL TO INTOXICATION, AND BINGE DRINKING AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP**

![Graph showing gender differences in past-30-day alcohol use, alcohol to intoxication, and binge drinking at intake and follow-up.]

- Significantly more men than women reported alcohol use to intoxication at intake and follow-up. The number of men and women who reported alcohol use to intoxication decreased significantly by 60.3% and 68.9% respectively.

Average Number of Days Used Alcohol

Figure 3.36 shows the average number of months alcohol users reported using alcohol at intake and follow-up. Among the clients who reported using alcohol in the 30 days before entering treatment (n = 355), they reported using alcohol, on average, 10.3 days. Among clients who reported using alcohol in the 30 days before follow-up (n = 238), they also reported using, on average, 7.5 days.

**FIGURE 3.36. AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAYS OF ALCOHOL USE**

![Bar chart showing average number of days of alcohol use at intake and follow-up.]

---

38 Three cases were missing data on alcohol use to intoxication and four cases were missing data on binge drinking in the past 30 days at follow-up.
Alcohol Intoxication and Binge Drinking Among Those Who Used Alcohol in the Past 30 Days

Of the 355 clients who used alcohol in the 30 days before intake, 71.5% used alcohol to intoxication and 57.2% binge drank in the 30 days before intake (see Figure 3.37).

Of the 238 clients who reported using alcohol in the 30 days before follow-up, 38.7% reported using alcohol to intoxication and 31.1% reported binge drinking in the 30 days before follow-up.

FIGURE 3.37. PAST-30-DAY ALCOHOL USE TO INTOXICATION AND BINGE DRINKING AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP, AMONG THOSE REPORTING ALCOHOL USE AT EACH POINT

SELF-REPORTED SEVERITY OF ALCOHOL AND DRUG USE

Another way to examine overall change in degree of severity of substance use is to use the Addiction Severity Index (ASI) composite score for alcohol and drug use. These composite scores are computed based on self-reported severity of past-30-day alcohol and drug use, taking into consideration a number of issues including:

- The number of days of alcohol (or drug) use,
- Money spent on alcohol,
- The number of days individuals used multiple drugs (for drug use composite score),
- The number of days individuals experienced problems related to their alcohol (or drug) use,
- How troubled or bothered they are by their alcohol (or drug) use, and
- How important treatment is to them for their alcohol (or drug) problems (see sidebar).

ASI Alcohol and Drug Composite Scores and Substance Dependence

Rikoon et al. (2006) conducted two studies to determine the relationship between the ASI alcohol and drug use composite scores and DSM-IV substance dependence diagnosis. They identified alcohol and drug use composite score cutoffs that had 85% sensitivity and 80% specificity with regard to identifying DSM-IV substance dependence diagnosis: .17 for alcohol composite score and .16 for drug composite score. These composite score cutoffs can be used to estimate the number of individuals who are likely to meet criteria for active alcohol or drug dependence and to show reductions in self-reported severity of substance use. In previous years we have used the ASI composite scores to estimate the number and percentage of clients who met a threshold for alcohol and drug dependence. However, recent changes in the diagnostics for substance abuse call into question the distinction between dependence and abuse. While the clients who were followed up in this study were provided with treatment under previous DSM-IV-TR conditions, we relate their severity of use in terms that are compatible with current nosology. Thus, ASI composite scores that met the threshold can be considered indicative of severe substance use disorder to be compatible with current thinking about substance use disorders in the DSM-V (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), where we would have previously referred to them as meeting the threshold for dependence. Change from intake to follow-up in the severity rating has the same clinical relevance as moving from dependence to abuse in the older criteria.


Change in the average ASI composite score for alcohol and drug use was examined for clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment. Also, individuals who reported abstaining from alcohol at intake and follow-up were not included in the analysis of change for alcohol composite score. Similarly, clients who reported abstaining from drugs at both intake and follow-up were not included in the analysis of change in drug composite score.

Figure 3.38 displays the change in average composite scores. The average for the alcohol composite score decreased significantly from 0.36 at intake to 0.11 at follow-up. The average for the drug composite score decreased significantly from 0.24 at intake to 0.06 at follow-up.

The percentage of individuals who had ASI composite scores that met the cutoff for severe substance use disorder (SUD) decreased significantly from intake to follow-up (see Figure 3.39). At intake, the majority of individuals had alcohol and drug composite scores that met the cutoff for severe SUD (65.1% and 65.7% respectively), while the percentages of clients with alcohol and drug composite scores that met the cutoff for severe SUD decreased significantly at follow-up (see Figure 3.39). Only 20.9% of clients had an alcohol composite score that met the cutoff for severe SUD at follow-up. This was a significant decrease of 67.9% in the number of individuals who met criteria for severe alcohol use disorder. At follow-up, there was a significant decrease of 85.1% in the number of individuals who met criteria for severe drug use disorder.

**p < .001.

The following number of cases were not included in the analysis of change in alcohol composite score: 112 clients were in a controlled environment all 30 days before treatment, an additional 709 clients reported abstaining from alcohol in the 30 days before intake and follow-up, and 7 clients had missing data from items included in the calculation of the alcohol composite at follow-up. The following numbers were not included in the analysis of change in drug composite score: 112 clients were in a controlled environment all 30 days before treatment, an additional 508 clients reported abstaining from drugs in the 30 days before intake and follow-up, and 13 clients had missing data from items included in the calculation of the drug composite score at follow-up.

"The education was very detailed, current, and informative. They were very committed and excited. The counselors were always there to talk to. It saved my life."

- Quote from KTOS follow-up client
Among the individuals who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment and who reported using alcohol and/or drugs at intake or follow-up, nearly one fifth had alcohol and drug composite scores that met the cutoff for severe SUD at intake (see Figure 3.40). The percentage of clients who had composite scores that met the cutoff for severe SUD for both alcohol and drugs decreased significantly by 91.7% to only 1.6% (n = 13) at follow-up.

**FIGURE 3.40. CLIENTS WITH ASI COMPOSITE SCORES MEETING THE CUTOFF FOR BOTH ALCOHOL AND DRUG SEVERE USE DISORDERS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 817)**

- **Intake**
  - Alcohol Composite Score Indicative of Severe SUD (n = 459): 65.1% (20.9%)
  - Drug Composite Score Indicative of Severe SUD (n = 654): 65.7% (9.8%)

- **Follow-Up**
  - Alcohol Composite Score Indicative of Severe SUD (n = 459): 19.2%
  - Drug Composite Score Indicative of Severe SUD (n = 654): 1.6%

**p < .001.**

The data were examined to determine whether clients who had alcohol composite scores indicative of severe SUD at intake and follow-up differed by gender, race/ethnicity, or age (see Figure 3.41). There were no statistically significant differences in the percentage of individuals who had an alcohol composite score indicative of severe alcohol use disorder by gender, race, or age.
Analyses were also conducted to determine if clients who had a drug composite score indicative of severe SUD at intake and follow-up differed by gender, race/ethnicity, or age (see Figure 3.42). Significantly more women had a drug composite score indicative of severe SUD at intake compared to men; however, at follow-up there was no significant difference. Also, at intake and follow-up, White and racial minority clients did not differ on the percentage of clients who had a drug composite score indicative of severe SUD at intake or follow-up. Younger and older clients did not differ on the percentage of clients who met criteria for severe drug use disorder at either intake or follow-up.

TOBACCO USE

SMOKING AND SMOKELESS TOBACCO USE, PAST 12 MONTHS

Overall, there was no change in smoking tobacco use from intake to follow-up (see Figure 3.43). Most clients reported smoking tobacco in the 12 months before entering treatment (85.5%) and in the 12 months before follow-up (83.4%). A minority of clients (15.1%) reported using smokeless tobacco in the 12 months before entering treatment and in the 12 months before follow-up (12.1%).
Gender Differences in Smoking and Smokeless Tobacco Use, Past 12 Months

Significantly more women than men reported smoking tobacco at intake and follow-up but significantly more men than women reported using smokeless tobacco at intake and follow-up (see Figure 3.44). The number of women and men who reported smoking tobacco in the past 12 months remained stable from intake to follow-up. The number of women who reported using smokeless tobacco significantly decreased at follow-up by 69.2%.

Average Number of Months of Smoking and Smokeless Tobacco Use

Figure 3.45 shows the number of months clients who used smoking tobacco reported using tobacco at intake and follow-up. Among the clients who reported using smoking tobacco in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 1,092), they reported using tobacco, on average, 11.2 months. Among clients who reported using smoking tobacco in the 12 months before follow-up (n = 1,065), they reported using, on average, 11.6 months.
Figure 3.45 shows the number of months clients who used smokeless tobacco reported using tobacco at intake and follow-up. Among the clients who reported using smokeless tobacco in the 12 months before entering treatment (n = 193), they reported using it, on average, 8.1 months. Among clients who reported using smokeless tobacco in the 12 months before follow-up (n = 155), they reported using it, on average, 9.4 months.

**Average Number of Cigarettes Smoked**

The average number of cigarettes clients reported smoking at intake and follow-up remained stable (see Figure 3.47). Of those who smoked tobacco in the 12 months before entering treatment, clients reported smoking an average of 17.7 cigarettes per day. At follow-up, among clients who reported smoking tobacco, they also reported smoking an average of 17.6 cigarettes per day.

**SMOKING AND SMOKELESS TOBACCO, PAST 30-DAY USE**

The number of clients who reported any past-30-day smoking or smokeless tobacco use did not change from intake to follow-up (see Figure 3.48).
Gender Differences in Any Tobacco Use in the Past 30 Days

Similar to the 12-month measure of smoking and smokeless tobacco, significantly more women than men reported smoking tobacco in the 30 days before intake and follow-up (see Figure 3.49). The number of men and women who reported smoking tobacco in the past 30 days remained stable from intake to follow-up. Significantly more men than women reported using smokeless tobacco in the 30 days before intake and follow-up (see Figure 3.50).

**FIGURE 3.49. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PAST 30 DAY SMOKING TOBACCO USE FROM INTAKE TO FOLLOW-UP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking Tobacco (n = 1,172)</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>84.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokeless Tobacco (n = 1,173)</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly more women reported smoking tobacco at follow-up compared to men.*

**FIGURE 3.50. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PAST 30 DAY SMOKELESS TOBACCO USE FROM INTAKE TO FOLLOW-UP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (n = 583)</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n = 590)</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly more men reported using smokeless tobacco at follow-up compared to women.*

---

One case had missing data for smoking tobacco use in the 30 days before follow-up.
SECTION 4. MENTAL HEALTH, PHYSICAL HEALTH, AND STRESS

This section examines changes in mental health and stress symptoms from intake to follow-up. Specifically, this subsection examines: (1) depression; (2) generalized anxiety; (3) comorbid depression and generalized anxiety; (4) suicide ideation and attempts; (5) perceptions of physical and mental health; and (6) stress-related health consequences. The mental health and physical health questions in the KTOS intake and follow-up surveys were self-report measures.

DEPRESSION SYMPTOMS

To assess depression, participants were first asked two screening questions:

1. “Did you have a two-week period when you were consistently depressed or down, most of the day, nearly every day?” and
2. “Did you have a two-week period when you were much less interested in most things or much less able to enjoy the things you used to enjoy most of the time?”

If participants answered “yes” to at least one of these two screening questions, they were then asked seven additional questions about symptoms of depression (e.g., sleep problems, weight loss or gain, feelings of hopelessness or worthlessness). To meet study criteria for depression, clients had to say “yes” to at least one of the two screening questions and at least 4 of the other symptoms.

Nearly half of clients (49.4%) met criteria for depression in the 12 months before they entered treatment (see Figure 4.1). At follow-up, 22.9% met criteria for depression—a significant decrease of 53.5%.

**Figure 4.1. Meeting Study Criteria for Depression at Intake and Follow-Up (N = 1,286)**

↓ 53.5%**

49.4%  22.9%

**Intake  Follow-Up**

**p < .001.

a—Statistical difference by gender at intake and follow-up; p < .001.
GENDER DIFFERENCES IN DEPRESSION

Significantly more women met study criteria for depression at intake and follow-up compared to men (see Figure 4.2). The number of women and men who met criteria for depression decreased significantly by 51.7% and 56.3%, respectively.

![Figure 4.2. Gender differences in percentage of clients meeting criteria for depression.](image)

**p < .001.

a—Statistical difference by gender at intake and follow-up; p < .001.

AVERAGE NUMBER DEPRESSION SYMPTOMS

At intake, clients reported an average of 3.8 depression symptoms and at follow-up, clients reported an average of 1.7 symptoms—a significant decrease of 55.3% (see Figure 4.3).

![Figure 4.3. Average number of depression symptoms at intake and follow-up.](image)

“*They treated you like a person and not like an addict.*

- Quote from KTOS follow-up client
Gender Differences in the Average Number of Depression Symptoms

Women reported significantly more depression symptoms at intake and follow-up compared to men. Women reported an average of 4.6 depression symptoms at intake and an average of 2.2 depression symptoms at follow-up—a significant decrease of 52.2% (see Figure 4.4). At intake, men reported an average of 3.0 symptoms and at follow-up men reported an average of 1.3 symptoms—a significant decrease of 56.7%.

**Figure 4.4. Gender Differences in the Average Number of Symptoms for Depression**

![Graph showing gender differences in depression symptoms]

**Intake**

- Men (N = 651) reported an average of 4.6 symptoms.
- Women (N = 635) reported an average of 4.6 symptoms.

**Follow-Up**

- Men (N = 651) reported an average of 1.3 symptoms.
- Women (N = 635) reported an average of 2.2 symptoms.

52.2%**

56.7%**

**Anxiety Symptoms**

To assess for generalized anxiety symptoms, participants were first asked:

1. “In the 12 months before you entered this program, did you have a period lasting 6 months or longer where you worried excessively or were anxious about multiple things on more days than not (like family, health, finances, school, or work difficulties)?”

Participants who answered “yes” were then asked 6 additional questions about anxiety symptoms (e.g., felt restless, keyed up or on edge, have difficulty concentrating, feel irritable). To meet study criteria for generalized anxiety, clients had to answer “yes” to the screening question and to at least 3 of the symptom items.

In the 12 months before entering treatment, 42.6% of clients reported symptoms that met study criteria for generalized anxiety. The percentage of clients who reported symptoms of generalized anxiety was significantly lower at follow-up (22.4%; see Figure 4.5).
GENDER DIFFERENCES IN GENERALIZED ANXIETY SYMPTOMS

Significantly more women met criteria for generalized anxiety at intake and follow-up compared to men (see Figure 4.6). The number of women and men who met criteria for generalized anxiety decreased significantly from intake to follow-up.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF GENERALIZED ANXIETY SYMPTOMS

The average number of generalized anxiety symptoms decreased significantly from intake to follow-up (see Figure 4.7).

---

41 Missing data on generalized anxiety at follow-up for 1 case.
Gender Differences in the Average Number of Generalized Anxiety Symptoms

There was a significant difference in generalized anxiety symptoms between men and women, with women reporting more symptoms at intake and follow-up (see Figure 4.8). Women reported an average of 3.3 generalized anxiety symptoms at intake and an average of 1.8 symptoms at follow-up. At intake, men reported an average of 2.4 generalized anxiety symptoms and at follow-up men reported an average of 1.2 symptoms.

**p < .001.

COMORBID DEPRESSION AND ANXIETY SYMPTOMS

Figure 4.9 shows that at intake, a little more than 1 in 3 (34.8%) met study criteria for both depression and generalized anxiety and there was a significant 57.3% decrease in the number of individuals who met study criteria for depression and generalized anxiety at follow-up (14.9%).

---

42 Missing data for 2 cases on anxiety symptoms at follow-up.
FIGURE 4.9. CLIENTS MEETING STUDY CRITERIA FOR COMORBID DEPRESSION AND GENERALIZED ANXIETY AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,285)

\[ \downarrow 57.3\% ** \]

**p < .001.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN COMORBID DEPRESSION AND GENERALIZED ANXIETY SYMPTOMS

Significantly more women met criteria for comorbid depression and generalized anxiety at intake and follow-up compared to men (see Figure 4.10). The number of women and men who met criteria for depression and generalized anxiety decreased significantly by 55.8% and 59.3% respectively.

FIGURE 4.10. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS MEETING STUDY CRITERIA FOR COMORBID DEPRESSION AND GENERALIZED ANXIETY AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP

**p < .001.

**p < .001.

SUICIDAL THOUGHTS AND/OR ATTEMPTS

Suicide ideation and attempts were measured with self-reported questions about thoughts of suicide and actual attempts to commit suicide. In the 12 months before entering treatment 14.0% of clients reported thoughts of suicide or attempted suicide and 5.0% of clients reported

---

43 One case missing data for generalized anxiety at follow-up.
thoughts of suicide or attempted suicide in the 12 months before follow-up. There was a 64.4% decrease from intake to follow-up in the number of clients reporting suicidal thoughts and attempts (see Figure 4.11).

**FIGURE 4.11. CLIENTS REPORTING SUICIDAL THOUGHTS AND/OR ATTEMPTS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,286)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal Thoughts or Attempts</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001.

### PERCEPTIONS OF POOR PHYSICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH

Clients were asked how many days in the past 30 days their physical and mental health were not good at intake and follow-up (see Figure 4.12). There was a significant decrease from intake to follow-up in the number of days clients reported their physical health was not good (6.3 vs. 2.8). The number of days clients’ mental health was not good decreased significantly by 51.9% from intake to follow-up.

**FIGURE 4.12. PERCEPTIONS OF POOR PHYSICAL HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH IN THE PAST 30 DAYS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,286)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Days in the Past 30 Days Physical Health Was Not Good</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Days in the Past 30 Days Mental Health Was Not Good</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001.

“They seemed to really care. They listened to me and seemed to be interested in how I felt.”

- Quote from KTOS follow-up client

---

44 An interviewer skipped (in error) the item about perception of mental health for one client at follow-up.
GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PERCEPTIONS OF MENTAL HEALTH

Women’s reported number of days their mental health was not good was higher at intake and follow-up compared to men (see Figure 4.13).

FIGURE 4.13. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN NUMBER OF DAYS IN THE PAST 30 DAYS MENTAL HEALTH WAS NOT GOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men (n = 650)</th>
<th>Women (n = 635)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intake</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-Up</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*51.2%**

**52.9%**

---

PERCEPTIONS OF POOR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH LIMITING ACTIVITIES

Clients were also asked to report the number of days in the past 30 days poor physical or mental health had kept them from doing their usual activities. The number of days clients reported their physical or mental health kept them from doing their usual activities decreased significantly by 53.3% from 6.0 days at intake to 2.8 days at follow-up (see Figure 4.14).

FIGURE 4.14. PERCEPTIONS OF POOR PHYSICAL HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH LIMITING ACTIVITIES IN THE PAST 30 DAYS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,283)

---

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PERCEPTIONS OF PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH

The average number of days clients indicated their poor physical or mental health had kept them from doing their usual activities was higher for women than for men at intake (see Figure 4.15). The number of days poor physical or mental health limited activities decreased significantly by 51.9% for men and by 54.5% for women.

---

\[45\quad Data\ was\ missing\ for\ 3\ cases\ at\ follow-up.\]
FIGURE 4.15. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN THE NUMBER OF DAYS POOR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH KEPT CLIENT FROM DOING USUAL ACTIVITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (N = 648)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n = 635)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001.

a—Statistical difference by gender at intake, p < .05.

STRESS-RELATED HEALTH CONSEQUENCES

Clients were also asked 15 items about their physiological symptoms often associated with higher stress called the Stress-Related Health Consequences Scale.\(^{46}\) The scale contains 15 symptoms; the client indicates how often they have experienced each symptom in the past 7 days (e.g., experienced unexplained aches and pains, slept poorly, experienced an increased heart rate). Higher scores on the scale indicate higher stress and greater physiological indicators of stress. The highest possible score is 75 and the lowest possible score is 0. For the overall sample, scores on the Stress-Related Health Consequences Scale decreased significantly from 23.0 at intake to 9.6 at follow-up, representing a decrease of 58.3% (see Figure 4.16).

FIGURE 4.16. AVERAGE SCORES ON THE STRESS-RELATED HEALTH CONSEQUENCES SCALE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,274)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (N = 648)</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n = 635)</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001.

a—Significance tested with paired t-test; ***p < .001.

b—Thirteen cases had missing values on the scale sum at follow-up.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN STRESS-RELATED HEALTH CONSEQUENCES

Figure 4.17 shows that women’s scores on the Stress-Related Health Consequences Scale were significantly higher than men’s scores at intake and follow-up. Nonetheless, both men’s and women’s scores decreased significantly from intake to follow-up.

Measure created by Logan, T. and Walker, R. Stress-Related Health Consequences Scale.
FIGURE 4.17. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN AVERAGE SCORES ON THE STRESS-RELATED HEALTH CONSEQUENCES SCALE

- Men (n = 646):
  - Intake: 27.3
  - Follow-Up: 10.7
  - Statistic: 60.8%**

- Women (n = 627):
  - Intake: 18.8
  - Follow-Up: 8.5
  - Statistic: 54.8%**

***p < .001.

a—Statistical difference by gender at intake (p < .001) and follow-up (p < .01).
SECTION 5. EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT

This section examines changes in education and employment from intake to follow-up. Specifically, this subsection examines: (1) highest level of education completed; (2) current employment status; (3) the number of months clients were employed full-time or part-time; and (4) hourly wage, among employed individuals.

EDUCATION

Overall, the highest number of years of education completed increased significantly from 12.0 at intake to 12.2 at follow-up.

Another way to examine change in education was to categorize clients into one of three categories, based on their highest level of education completed: (1) less than a high school diploma or GED, (2) a high school diploma or GED, or (3) some vocational/college to graduate school (see Figure 5.1). At intake, 25.2% of the follow-up sample reported that they had less than a high school diploma or GED. At follow-up, 19.9% reported that they had completed less than a high school diploma or GED. At intake, 37.1% of the follow-up sample had attended vocational school or college, whereas at follow-up the percentage had increased significantly by 19.7% to 44.5%.

FIGURE 5.1. CHANGE IN HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION COMPLETED (N = 1,268)47

THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS WITH SOME VOCATIONAL SCHOOL/COLLEGE OR HIGHER LEVEL OF EDUCATION INCREASED SIGNIFICANTLY BY 20%

* p < .01, ** p < .001.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION

Men and women reported significant differences in the highest level of education at intake and follow-up (see Figure 5.2). At intake, a higher percentage of women had some vocational school or college education compared to men; at follow-up, this difference was even more pronounced. There was a significant increase in the number of women who reported education at the vocational school or college level at follow-up when compared to intake.

18 cases were excluded because of inconsistencies in data on highest level of education at intake and follow-up (n = 13) and because the interviewer skipped (in error) the question at follow-up (n = 5).

47 Eighteen cases were excluded because of inconsistencies in data on highest level of education at intake and follow-up (n = 13) and because the interviewer skipped (in error) the question at follow-up (n = 5).
EMPLOYMENT

CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS

There were significant changes in current employment status from intake to follow-up (see Figure 5.3). Two thirds (68.1%) of clients reported they were not employed when they entered treatment, while just over half of clients (52.8%) reported they were unemployed at follow-up. This represents a 22.5% significant decrease in the number of clients who were currently unemployed. The number of clients who were employed full-time increased significantly by 62.4% from intake to follow-up (20.1% vs. 32.6%). Additionally, the number of clients who were employed part-time also increased significantly.

FIGURE 5.3. CHANGE IN CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS (N = 1,286)

**p < .001.

The number of clients who were employed full-time increased by 62%
The number of clients who were unemployed decreased by 23%

*Figure 5.2. Gender differences in the highest level of education at intake and follow-up*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Less than High School/GED</th>
<th>High School/GED</th>
<th>Vocational/College</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (N = 639)</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>19.8%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n = 629)</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-Up</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical difference by gender at intake and follow-up, p < .001.

**TABLE 5.2. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP**

Not Employed | Employed Full-Time | Employed Part-Time | Employed (Occasional, Seasonal) |
--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
Intake       | 68.1%              | 20.1%              | 8.0%                             |
Follow-Up    | 52.8%              | 32.6%              | 13.0%                            |

**p < .001.
Current Employment Status Over Time

Employment trends have remained fairly stable over the past three fiscal years. In FY 2011, slightly over one-quarter of clients were employed either part- or full-time at intake, and at follow-up, 45% of clients were employed. In FY 2012, 30% of clients at intake and 46% of clients at follow-up were employed either part- or full-time. Likewise, in FY 2013, 28% of clients were employed at intake and 46% of clients were employed part- or full-time at follow-up.
Gender Differences in Current Employment Status

Significantly more women reported at intake and follow-up that they were currently unemployed compared to men: 78.1% vs. 58.4% at intake and 62.0% vs. 43.8% at follow-up. The number of clients who were currently unemployed decreased significantly for both women and men (see Figure 5.4). The number of men who reported they were employed full-time was twice as high as the number of women at intake (27.2% vs. 12.8%) as well as at follow-up (43.9% vs. 20.9%). Both genders, however, had significant increases in full-time employment from intake to follow-up (64.2% for women and 61.6% for men).

FIGURE 5.4. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN EMPLOYMENT STATUS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not Employed</th>
<th>Employed Full-time</th>
<th>Employed Part-time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>78.1%</strong></td>
<td><strong>62.0%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.4%</td>
<td><strong>27.2%</strong></td>
<td><strong>43.9%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>25.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.8%</strong></td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>61.6%</strong></td>
<td><strong>64.2%</strong></td>
<td><strong>16.7%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>120.8%</strong></td>
<td><strong>7.6%</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.4%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (N = 651)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Women (N = 635)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001

a—Significant difference by gender at intake and follow-up, **p < .001.

“It changed my life. I wouldn’t be the person I am without treatment.”

- Quote from KTOS follow-up client
While employment trends remained fairly stable over time at both intake and follow-up, significantly fewer women reported being employed full-time or part-time compared to men. At intake, less than one-quarter of female clients reported being employed any year compared to at least one-third of male clients. At follow-up, over half of men reported being employed any year compared to no more than 4 in 10 women. While the employment gap between men and women narrows slightly in FY 2012, it increases again in FY 2013 with 38% of women and 53% of men reporting part- or full-time employment.
AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS EMPLOYED

Clients were asked in the intake survey and follow-up survey to report the number of months they were employed full-time or part-time in the 12 months before they entered treatment (past 12 months). As seen in Figure 5.5, clients reported working significantly more months at follow-up (5.6) than at intake (4.1).

**Figure 5.5. Average number of months employed at intake and follow-up (N = 1,283)**

*36.6%*

**Gender Differences in the Number of Months Employed**

Men reported working significantly more months at both periods compared to women (intake 5.0 vs. 3.1 and follow-up 6.5 vs. 4.2). The number of months men were employed in the past 12 months increased significantly by 30.0% from intake to follow-up, and the number of months women were employed increased significantly by 35.5% (see Figure 5.6).

**Figure 5.6. Gender differences in number of months employed at intake and follow-up**

*30.0%**

*35.5%**

---

48 Three cases had missing data on the variable at follow-up.
HOURLY WAGE

Of those clients who were employed at intake (n = 408)\textsuperscript{49}, the average hourly wage was $10.99. Of those clients who were employed at follow-up (n = 568)\textsuperscript{50}, the average hourly wage was $11.09 (see Figure 5.7).

FIGURE 5.7. CURRENT HOURLY WAGE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP, AMONG THOSE WHO WORKED

Gender Differences in Hourly Wage

Of those clients who were employed at each period, men had significantly higher hourly wages than women (see Figure 5.8). At intake, employed women made $0.83 for every dollar employed men made in this sample, while at follow-up, employed women made $0.69 for every dollar employed men made.

FIGURE 5.8 GENDER DIFFERENCES IN CURRENT HOURLY WAGE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP\textsuperscript{a}

\begin{align*}
\text{Intake} & \quad \text{Follow-up} \\
\text{Men} & \quad \text{Women} \\
$11.65 & \quad $12.68 \\
$11.09 & \quad $11.09 \\
$10.99 & \quad $10.99 \\
$9.69 & \quad $8.79 \\
$9.69 & \quad $8.79 \\
\end{align*}

\textsuperscript{a}–Significant difference in hourly wage at intake and follow-up by gender; p < .001.

\textsuperscript{49} Of the 410 individuals who reported being employed full-time, part-time, or seasonally at intake, two individuals had missing data on hourly wage.

\textsuperscript{50} Of the 607 individuals who reported being employed full-time, part-time, or seasonally at intake, 39 individuals had missing data on hourly wage because they did not know the answer or they refused to answer.
SECTION 6. LIVING SITUATION

This section of target factors examines the clients’ living situation at intake and follow-up. Specifically, clients are asked at both points: (1) if they consider themselves currently homeless; (2) in what type of situation they have lived in for most of the past 12 months (i.e., own home or someone else’s home, residential program, shelter); and (3) difficulty meeting basic living and health care needs in the past 12 months.

HOMELESSNESS

About 1 in 10 clients (10.7%) reported at treatment intake they were currently homeless and at follow-up 6.7% of clients reported they were currently homeless – a significant decrease of 37.7% (see Figure 6.1).

![Figure 6.1: Current Homelessness at Intake and Follow-Up (N=1,286)](chart)

**38% fewer clients reported homelessness at follow-up**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Currently Homeless</th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001.

LIVING SITUATION

Change in usual living situation from intake to follow-up was examined for the KTOS follow-up sample (see Figure 6.2). At intake clients were asked about where they lived for the majority of the time in the 12 months before entering treatment and at follow-up clients were asked where they lived for the majority of the time in the 12 months before the follow-up interview.

The majority of clients reporting living in their own home or someone else’s home for most of the past 12 months at intake and follow-up; nonetheless, there was a significant increase in the number of individuals who lived in a private residence at follow-up. A small percentage of clients reported their usual living situation was in a residential program, Recovery Center, or Sober Living home at intake and follow-up. There was a significant decrease of 92.0% in the minority of clients who reported their usual living situation in the past 12 months was in a jail or prison. A very small percentage of clients reported living in a shelter or on the street at intake or follow-up.
DIFFICULTY MEETING BASIC LIVING AND HEALTH CARE NEEDS

Economic hardship may be a better indicator of the actual day-to-day stressors clients face than a measure of income. Therefore, the intake and follow-up surveys included several questions about clients’ ability to meet expenses for basic needs and food insecurity. Clients were asked eight items, five of which asked about inability to meet basic living needs such as food, shelter, utilities, and telephone, and three items asked about inability to receive medical care for financial reasons. The total number of basic needs individuals reported they had difficulty meeting were summed at intake and follow-up. Individuals reported significantly fewer needs they had difficulty meeting at follow-up (1.6) compared to intake (2.2).

Half of clients (50.5%) reported at intake that they had difficulty meeting basic needs such as food, shelter or utilities. Similarly, half of clients (50.3%) reported their household had difficulty meeting health care needs in the 12 months before clients entered treatment. The number of individuals who reported having difficulty meeting basic needs and health care needs decreased significantly by 23.2% and 20.4%, respectively, from intake to follow-up (see Figure 6.3).
GENDER DIFFERENCES IN DIFFICULTY MEETING BASIC LIVING AND HEALTH CARE NEEDS

There were significant gender differences in clients’ inability to meet basic living needs and health care needs at intake and follow-up (see Figure 6.4). More specifically, compared to men, more women reported having difficulty meeting their basic living needs (e.g., housing, utilities, telephone, and food) at intake and follow-up. Over half of women (57.8%) reported difficulty meeting basic living needs at intake compared to 43.5% of men. There was a significant decrease in the number of women and men who reported having difficulty meeting basic living needs at follow-up.

Over half of women (56.2%) reported difficulty meeting health care needs at intake compared to 44.5% of men. The number of women and men who reported difficulty meeting health care needs at follow-up was significantly lower than at intake.

**p < .001.

a—Significant difference at intake and follow-up by gender; p < .001.

There was missing data on items included in the computed variable, difficulty meeting health care needs, at follow-up.
SECTION 7. INVOLVEMENT IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

This section describes change in client involvement with the criminal justice system during the 12-month period before entering treatment and during the 12-month period before the follow-up interview. Specifically, results include changes in: (1) any arrest; (2) the number of times arrested; (3) any incarceration; (4) the number of nights incarcerated; and (5) criminal justice supervision status.

ARRESTS

ARRESTED IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS

Clients were asked about their arrests in the 12 months before they entered treatment (at intake) and the past 12 months (at follow-up). Over half of clients (54.8%) reported an arrest in the 12 months before entering treatment (see Figure 7.1). At follow-up, this percentage had decreased significantly by 61.8% to 20.9%.

![Figure 7.1. Clients reporting arrests at intake and follow-up (N = 1,281)](image)

**p < .001.

Gender Differences in Arrests

Significantly more men than women reported being arrested in the 12 months before entering treatment (see Figure 7.2). The number of men and women who reported being arrested decreased significantly from intake to follow-up.

“They go into the most depth, of any program by far. They get to the core of the problem.”

- Quote from KTOS follow-up client

---

53 Five cases had missing data on arrests in the 12 months before follow-up.
Average Number of Arrests

Among those clients who reported being arrested in the 12 months before intake, the average number of times clients reported being arrested was 1.6 (see Figure 7.3). Among those clients who reported being arrested in the 12 months before follow-up, the average number of times arrested was 1.4.54

Incarceration

Incarcerated in the Past 12 Months

The majority of clients (58.1%) reported spending at least one day in jail or prison in the 12 months prior to entering treatment (See Figure 7.4). At follow-up, 21.1% of clients reported spending at least one day incarcerated in the past 12 months; a significant decrease of 63.7%.

54 Five cases had missing data for number of arrests in the 12 months before follow-up.
Gender Differences in Being Incarcerated

Significantly more men than women reported being incarcerated in the 12 months before entering treatment; however, at follow-up, there was no difference between men and women in the percentage reporting incarceration in the past 12 months (see Figure 7.5). The number of men and women who reported being incarcerated decreased significantly from intake to follow-up.

Average Number of Nights Spent Incarcerated

Among individuals who reported being incarcerated in the 12 months before intake, the average number of nights incarcerated was 56.5 (see Figure 7.6). Among individuals who reported being incarcerated in the 12 months before follow-up, the average number of nights incarcerated was 35.4.

---

55 Two cases had missing data for incarceration at follow-up.
FIGURE 7.6. AMONG INDIVIDUALS WHO WERE INCARCERATED, AVERAGE NUMBER OF NIGHTS INCARCERATED AT EACH PERIOD

The number of clients that self-reported they were under criminal justice system supervision (e.g., drug court, probation, or parole) decreased slightly from intake to follow-up (See Figure 7.7).

FIGURE 7.7. CLIENTS REPORTING SUPERVISION BY THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,282)\textsuperscript{56}

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text{Intake (N = 746)} & \text{Follow-Up (N = 271)} \\
56.5 & 35.4 \\
\end{array}
\]

\*p < .01.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUPERVISION

The number of clients that self-reported they were under criminal justice system supervision (e.g., drug court, probation, or parole) decreased slightly from intake to follow-up (See Figure 7.7).

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text{Intake (N = 746)} & \text{Follow-Up (N = 271)} \\
42.4\% & 37.1\% \\
\end{array}
\]

\*p < .01.

\textsuperscript{56} Missing data on supervision at follow-up for four individuals.
SECTION 8. RECOVERY SUPPORTS

This section focuses on three main changes in recovery supports: (1) percentage of clients attending mutual help recovery group meetings; (2) recovery supportive interactions with family/friends in the past 30 days; and (3) the number of people the participant said they could count on for recovery support.

MUTUAL HELP RECOVERY GROUP MEETING ATTENDANCE

At intake, only 28.5% of clients reported going to mutual help recovery group meetings (e.g., AA, NA, or faith-based) in the past 30 days (See Figure 8.1). At follow-up, there was a significant increase of 53.8%, with 43.8% of clients reporting they had gone to mutual help recovery group meetings in the past 30 days.

The number of meetings attended increased significantly from 3.2 at intake to 5.9 at follow-up; there was a 54% increase in the percentage of clients reporting attending mutual help recovery groups.

**FIGURE 8.1. MUTUAL HEALTH RECOVERY GROUP ATTENDANCE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N=1,286)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attended Mutual-Help Meetings</td>
<td>3.2 meetings</td>
<td>5.9 meetings**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001.

RECOVERY SUPPORTIVE INTERACTIONS

RECOVERY SUPPORTIVE INTERACTIONS WITH FAMILY/FRIENDS

The majority of clients reported they had interactions with family or friends who were supportive of their recovery in the 30 days before treatment intake and before follow-up (see Figure 8.2). There was a small but significant increase in the number of clients overall who reported having recovery supportive interactions with family or friends.

---

57 One case had an outlier value of number of mutual help recovery group meetings attended at follow-up and was not included in the analysis of change in number of meetings.
Gender Differences in Recovery Supportive Interactions with Family/Friends

More women than men reported interactions with family and friends who were supportive of their recovery in the past 30 days at intake (89.2% compared to 84.0%). The number of men reporting having recent interactions with family and friends who were supportive of their recovery increased significantly by 6.3% from intake to follow-up (see Figure 8.3).

**AVERAGE NUMBER OF PEOPLE CLIENT COULD COUNT ON FOR RECOVERY SUPPORT**

The average number of people clients reported that they could count on for recovery support increased significantly by 51.3%, from 7.8 people at intake to 11.8 people at follow-up (see Figure 8.4).

---

58 Data on recovery supportive interactions was missing at follow-up for 6 cases.
FIGURE 8.4. AVERAGE NUMBER OF PEOPLE CLIENTS COULD COUNT ON FOR RECOVERY SUPPORT AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,284)\textsuperscript{59}

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{c c}
\hline
Intake & Follow-up \\
\hline
\textbullet \hspace{1cm} 7.8 & \textbullet \hspace{1cm} 11.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

**p < .001.

Gender Differences in Number of People Client Could Count on for Recovery Support

At intake and follow-up, men had a higher average number of people they could count on for recovery support when compared to women.

FIGURE 8.5. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN NUMBER OF PEOPLE CLIENT COULD COUNT ON FOR RECOVERY SUPPORT AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP\textsuperscript{a}

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{c c c c}
\hline
 & Intake & Follow-Up \\
\hline
Men (n = 650) & 8.7 & 14.3 & \textbullet \hspace{1cm} \textbullet \\
Women (n = 634) & 7.0 & 9.3 & \textbullet \hspace{1cm} \textbullet \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\*p < .01, **p < .001.

\textsuperscript{a}Significant difference by gender at intake and follow-up (p < .01).

\textsuperscript{59} Data on the number of people the client could count on for recovery support at follow-up was missing for 2 cases.
SECTION 9. CLINICAL DIAGNOSTIC AND SERVICE INFORMATION

This section examines mental health diagnosis and service event data submitted by community mental health center (CMHC) providers to the Department for Behavioral Health, Developmental and Intellectual Disabilities.

DIAGNOSIS

Information on mental health diagnosis codes and service event data for clients receiving treatment at community mental health centers is submitted to DBHDID and is managed by the University of Kentucky Institute for Pharmaceutical Outcomes and Policy (IPOP). Service event data was matched with KTOS survey data for the follow-up sample, using encrypted social security numbers, for the period between the date of submission of the intake survey and the completion of the follow-up survey. A match was not found in the IPOP data set for 55 cases.

Figure 9.1 shows the percentage of clients with a diagnosis for various types of mental health disorders. Diagnosis codes were entered for 1,210 clients. Classes of diagnoses found in fewer than 5% of clients are not included in the figure. The vast majority of clients had a diagnosis of a substance use disorder (e.g., alcohol and/or drug abuse or dependence). The next most frequently noted type of diagnosis was for mood disorders (31.4%; e.g., depression or non-psychotic bipolar disorder). A little more than 1 in 4 had an anxiety disorder diagnosis (e.g., generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, or obsessive-compulsive disorder). One in ten had a diagnosis for a personality disorder.

![Figure 9.1. DSM-IV Diagnoses for Clients in Substance Abuse Treatment Between July 1, 2012 and June 30, 2013 (N = 1,210)](image)

CLINICAL SERVICE EVENT DATA

Of the 1,287 clients in the KTOS follow-up sample, 183 (including the 55 that had no match to the IPOP data) had no services in the clinical service event data set for the period of 90 days before the intake submission date to the date the follow-up survey was completed.

60 Reasons that matches are not found include errors in entering SSN or no entry of services or diagnoses for the period requested (90 days before the intake submission date to the date the follow-up survey was completed.)
date of the intake survey submission to the date the follow-up survey was completed. The types of services that were most commonly provided to KTOS clients are shown in Figure 9.2. The majority of KTOS clients (69.5%) received individual therapy, and about two-fifths (41.6%) received evaluation or diagnostic services. A little more than 1 in 3 received group therapy and a little more than one fourth received substance abuse case management services. Smaller minorities received intensive outpatient, psychiatric individual therapy, substance abuse residential, and case management services.

**FIGURE 9.2. PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS RECEIVING EACH TYPE OF SERVICE OF THOSE WITH ANY SERVICES INCLUDED IN THE DATA (N = 1,104)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual therapy</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation and diagnostic</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group therapy</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA case management</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive outpatient</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric individual therapy</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA residential</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case management</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.3 shows the range in the number of units of clinical services KTOS clients received. Nearly two-thirds received 31 units of service or more while 10.6% of clients received no services.

**FIGURE 9.3. NUMBER OF UNITS OF CLINICAL SERVICES (N = 1,232)**

- No services: 10.6%
- 1 to 3 units: 1.5%
- 4 to 7 units: 2.6%
- 8 to 20 units: 12.4%
- 21 to 30 units: 7.5%
- 31 or more units: 65.3%

---

61 A total of 55 cases had no clinical services or diagnostic data in the IPOP data set for the period examined. An additional 128 cases had no clinical services in the data set for the period examined.

62 Service categories found for fewer than 5% of the 1,104 clients are not shown in the figure (e.g., residential crisis stabilization, non-residential crisis, substance abuse transitional living, supported employment or housing, and peer support services).

63 A total of 44 cases had services in the data file that are not included in the calculation of the total cost of treatment (e.g., DUI assessment, DUI education, outreach and education, non-medical detoxification, miscellaneous services, and miscellaneous purchases). However, they were included in this distribution of the number of units of services.
SECTION 10. COST SAVINGS OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT IN KENTUCKY

This section examines cost reductions or avoided costs to society after client participation in publicly-funded substance abuse treatment. Using the number of clients who met criteria for drug dependence and alcohol dependence at intake and follow-up in the KTOS sample, a cost per person based on national aggregate data was applied to this study sample. This information is then used to estimate the cost to society for the year prior to when clients entered treatment and then for the same clients during the year after treatment intake.

IMPORTANCE OF COST SAVINGS ANALYSIS

There is great continuing policy interest in examining cost reductions or avoided costs to society after individuals participate in publicly-funded substance abuse treatment. This policy interest is fueled by concerns over the cost of substance abuse to overall personal health and to incarceration. Thorough analysis of cost savings, while increasingly popular in policy making settings, is extremely difficult and complex. Immediate proximate costs can be examined relatively easily. However, thorough assessment requires a great number of econometrics. In order to accommodate these complexities at an aggregate level, data was extrapolated from a large federal study that was published in 1998 to give an estimate of the separate annual costs of alcohol abuse drug abuse in the United States.\(^{64}\) In 2000 the estimated costs of alcohol abuse in the United States was updated\(^{65}\) and in 2011 the National Drug Intelligence Center updated the estimates of drug abuse in the United States for 2007.\(^{66}\) These updated costs were used in the calculations for the cost savings analysis in this KTOS follow-up report.

COST OF ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE

The national report and the subsequent revisions of estimates of costs referenced in this report factored in all the many explicit and implicit costs of alcohol and drug abuse to the nation, such as the costs of lost labor due to illness, accidents, the costs of crime to victims, costs of incarceration, hospital and other medical treatment, social services, motor accidents, and other costs. Thus each of these reports analyzes the hidden and obvious costs that are caused by clients with substance abuse. For this analysis, the national costs of alcohol abuse/dependence and the costs of drug abuse/dependence were updated from the original reports to 2013 dollars using Consumer Price Indexes (monthly data on the average change in prices paid over time in the market for goods and services released by the Bureau of Labor Statistics) from a federal reserve bank.\(^{67}\)

---


67 http://www.minneapolislifed.org
Next, to calculate an estimate of the cost of alcohol and drug abuse per person, those updated national costs were divided by the 2013 federally derived estimates of the number of clients with alcohol abuse/dependence (14.7 million) and drug abuse/dependence (4.3 million) and 2.6 million clients who had abuse/dependence on alcohol and drugs in the nation. Because the national cost estimates of alcohol abuse/dependence were examined separately from drug abuse/dependence, the 2.6 million clients who were alcohol and drug misusers were assigned to either the alcohol abuse/dependent category or the drug abuse/dependent category to estimate the cost of alcohol abuse/dependence and drug abuse/dependence per person. These per person costs were then applied to the follow-up sample used in this study to estimate the cost to society for the year before clients were in treatment and then for the same clients during the 12 year period after treatment intake. Analyses hinged on estimating the differences in cost to society between persons who are actively addicted compared to those who are abstinent from drug and/or alcohol use. Thus reductions in the number of clients who met criteria for dependence from the period before treatment to after treatment was examined.

Figure 10.1 shows the change in the number of clients who met criteria for drug dependence or alcohol dependence (based on ASI drug and alcohol composite scores) at intake and follow-up. Clients who met criteria for drug dependence only or drug dependence and alcohol dependence were counted in the drug dependence category because the cost per person of drug abuse was higher than the cost per person of alcohol abuse. Clients who met criteria for alcohol dependence were in the alcohol dependence category. The change from intake to follow-up was significant. At intake 512 clients were classified in the drug dependent category and 292 in the alcohol dependent category. At follow-up, 75 clients were classified in the drug dependent category and 108 clients in the alcohol dependent category.

**FIGURE 10.1 THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS WHO MET CRITERIA FOR DRUG DEPENDENCE OR ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 1,268)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug dependence</th>
<th>Alcohol dependence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intake</strong></td>
<td><strong>Follow-Up</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average annual cost to society of an active drug abuser in 2013 dollars was $44,389. The average annual cost to society of an active alcohol abuser was $15,792. Thus, when this average annual cost per individual drug user was applied to the 512 clients who met criteria for drug dependence

---


69 To do this the proportion of clients who were not in the alcohol and drug abuse/dependent category who were in the alcohol category (0.77) and the drug category (0.23) was estimated the multiplied that proportion by the 2.6 million to assign the cross-addicted clients to one of the categories (drug abuse or alcohol abuse).

70 19 cases had missing values for the ASI alcohol and/or drug composite score at follow-up; thus, they were excluded from the cost analysis.
at intake, the annual cost to society in 2013 was estimated at $22,727,168. When the average annual cost per individual alcohol abuser was applied to the 292 clients who met criteria for alcohol dependence at intake, the estimated annual cost to society in 2013 was $4,611,264. The estimated total annual cost of drug and alcohol dependence applied to the sample of clients in KTOS in the 12 months before intake was $27,338,432. By follow-up, the estimated cost of the 75 clients who met criteria for drug dependence was $3,329,175 and the estimated cost of the 108 clients who met criteria for alcohol dependence was $1,705,536, for a total of $5,034,711. Thus, as shown in Figure 10.2, after participation in publicly-funded substance abuse treatment, the gross cost to society for these 1,268 clients was reduced by $22,303,721.

FIGURE 10.2. COST TO SOCIETY AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (AMOUNTS IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS) (N=1268)

$27.3 million - $5 million = $22.3 million

COST TO SOCIETY AT INTAKE  
COST TO SOCIETY AT FOLLOW-UP  
GROSS DIFFERENCE IN COST TO SOCIETY AFTER PUBLICLY-FUNDED SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT

COST OF TREATMENT

The clinical service event data described in Section 9 was matched to the KTOS survey data for the KTOS follow-up sample. Unit costs for different types of services was provided by the Department for Behavioral Health, Developmental and Intellectual Disabilities (DBHDID) and applied to the total number of services KTOS clients received wherein the payer was Medicaid or the DBHDID from the date of the baseline survey submission to the follow-up survey completion date. When the clinical service data was matched to clients in the KTOS follow-up sample (n = 1,268)\(^71\) 226 cases had no services listed. The average cost of behavioral health treatment services per client for the 1,042 clients that had services in the clinical service data set was $4,202. This average value was applied to the cases that had no service event data. Thus, the cost of providing publicly-funded behavioral health treatment services to the 1,268 clients in FY 2013 in the KTOS follow-up sample was $4,851,852.

COST SAVINGS

The net cost savings of providing treatment to the KTOS follow-up sample was estimated using the net difference in costs of alcohol and drug abuse/dependence divided by the cost of providing treatment: $22,303,721/$4,851,852, which equals $4.60 (see Table 10.3). In other words, for every dollar spent on publicly-funded substance abuse treatment in FY 2013, there was an estimated savings of $4.60 in costs to society associated with alcohol and drug addiction.

\(^71\) 19 cases had missing values for the ASI alcohol and/or drug composite score at follow-up; thus, they were excluded from the cost analysis.
### TABLE 10.3. COST SAVINGS OF PROVIDING TREATMENT TO SUBSTANCE ABUSING/DEPENDENT CLIENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALCOHOL AND DRUG DEPENDENCE BASED ON ASI COMPOSITE SCORES</th>
<th>INTAKE</th>
<th>FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DRUG DEPENDENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of clients</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of clients</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COST TO SOCIETY OF DRUG AND ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE</td>
<td>$27,338,432</td>
<td>$5,034,711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST DIFFERENCE FROM INTAKE TO FOLLOW-UP</td>
<td>$22,303,721</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST OF TREATMENT</td>
<td>$4,851,852</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAVINGS/COST RATIO</td>
<td>$22,303,721/$4,851,852</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETURN ON $1.00 INVESTMENT</td>
<td>$4.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 11. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

This report describes outcomes for 1,287 clients who participated in publicly-funded substance abuse treatment in FY 2013 and a follow-up telephone interview 12 months after the intake survey was submitted to UK CDAR. Findings from the 2015 Kentucky treatment outcome evaluation showed positive changes for individuals at the 12-month follow-up.

First, clients reported high levels of satisfaction with the substance abuse treatment programs. The majority of clients agreed that the programs helped them get better and feel better about themselves, program staff treated them with respect, and clients understood their treatment plan and what staff expected of them in the program. The majority of clients (70.0%) also gave an overall rating of the program that was highly positive (between 8 and 10, where 1 represents the worst possible experience and 10 represents the best experience).

Second, KTOS clients reported significant reductions in substance use and severity of substance use. At intake, nearly three fourths of clients reported using any illegal drugs in the past 12 months. By follow-up, the number of clients reporting past-12-month drug use decreased by 66% to one fourth (24.5%). Moreover, of those individuals who reported any past-12-month use of illegal drugs at intake or follow-up, the average number of months they used was lower at follow-up than at intake. The number of individuals who reported using each of the specific drug classes we examined decreased significantly: marijuana (68% decrease), opioids (77% decrease), heroin (63% decrease), CNS depressants (83% decrease), stimulants (83% decrease), and other illegal drugs (86% decrease). The number of individuals who reported using alcohol, using alcohol to intoxication, or binge drinking also decreased significantly. Not only were significant decreases in substance use found, but also self-reported severity of substance use also significantly decreased.

Clients’ mental health also showed significant improvements. The number of individuals who reported depression, generalized anxiety, comorbid depression and anxiety, and suicidal thoughts or attempts decreased significantly from intake to follow-up. Moreover, from intake to follow-up, individuals reported significantly fewer days in the past 30 days their mental health was not good and significantly fewer stress symptoms.

From intake and follow-up the number of individuals who had completed at least one year of vocational school or college increased significantly. The number of individuals who were currently employed full-time increased from 1 in 5 to 1 in 3. Overall, individuals’ living situations also improved. Significantly fewer individuals reported they were currently homeless and significantly more individuals reported their usual living situation was in a private residence (i.e., their own home or someone else’s home) in the 12 months before follow-up compared to the 12 months before intake. The number of individuals who reported they had difficulty meeting their basic living needs and health care needs for financial reasons decreased significantly from intake to follow-up.

Individuals’ involvement with the criminal justice system decreased from the 12 months before treatment intake to the 12 months before follow-up. About 1 in 5 individuals reported at follow-up they had been arrested in the past 12 months, which was a 62% decrease from the number who reported being arrested in the 12 months before intake (54.8%). A similar decrease (64% decrease) was found in the number of individuals who reported being incarcerated at follow-up (21.1%) when compared to intake (58.1%).
Individuals also reported significant increases in recovery supports from intake to follow-up, which is critical in maintaining substance abuse recovery. Cost analysis suggests that for every dollar spent on publicly-funded substance abuse treatment services there was an estimated $4.60 in costs to society that would have been expected had the drug and alcohol dependence rates for these clients not been reduced.

**Co-occurring Problems.** Several findings suggest opportunities to target co-occurring problem areas including tobacco smoking, mental health symptoms, and economic difficulties reported by participants.

**Smoking.** Smoking rates are very high for these clients with 83.4% reporting smoking in the 12 months before follow-up. There is a commonly held belief that individuals should not attempt to quit smoking while in substance abuse treatment, because smoking cessation can endanger their sobriety. This belief has been refuted by recent empirical research studies. Voluntary smoking cessation during substance abuse treatment has been associated with lower relapse. Because tobacco use is associated with increased mental health symptoms as well as well-known physical health problems, including increased mortality, and smoking cessation has been associated with lower alcohol and drug relapse, smoking cessation interventions should be promoted (but not required) in substance abuse treatment programs.

**Mental Health.** Addressing co-occurring disorders is an essential element of treatment provision and according to SAMHSA (2012) only about 7.4% of individuals in the U.S. receive needed co-occurring treatment services. Inclusion of and integrated screening and brief intervention for co-occurring issues may be helpful in CMHC protocols. In the KTOS 2014 report, the number of individuals who reported generalized anxiety remained unchanged from intake to follow-up; however, in this KTOS 2015 report, the number of individuals who reported generalized anxiety decreased significantly from intake to follow-up.

**Basic Needs for Recovery Success.** Meeting basic needs including health, stable living arrangements, having a purpose with daily meaningful activities, and recovery community are the four key dimensions to recovery. In contrast to the findings of the KTOS 2014 report in which there were no significant improvements in clients’ economic hardship from intake to follow-up, in this year’s report, there were significant decreases in the number of individuals who reported having difficulty meeting basic living needs (such as paying for rent/mortgage, utilities, phone, or food) and health care needs for financial reasons from intake to follow-up. The finding of a significantly lower number of individuals who experienced economic hardship is good news, especially in light of last year’s findings of no change; however, the fact that 38.8% of individuals reported they had difficulty meeting basic living needs and 40.0% reported they had difficulty meeting health care needs for financial reasons at follow-up indicates that a large minority of individuals continue to struggle economically post-treatment. Providing referrals and support for these dimensions may help improve basic living situations for many clients and support continued recovery living for long-term positive results from treatment.

---

**Gender Differences.** A number of gender differences were found in this report. More women reported using illegal drugs at intake when compared to men. When specific drug classes were examined, significantly more women than men reported they had used opioids, CNS depressants at intake. These patterns were found for both the 12-month and 30-day measures in illegal drug use. Further, significantly more men than women reported using alcohol, and using alcohol to intoxication in the 12 months and 30 days before intake and follow-up. Significantly more men than women reported binge drinking in the 12 months before intake. Significantly more women reported smoking tobacco at intake and follow-up and significantly more men than women reported using smokeless tobacco at intake and follow-up.

More women than men reported mental health symptoms including depression, generalized anxiety, and comorbid depression and anxiety. Also, women reported their mental health was not good significantly more days than men at intake and follow-up. Women reported more stress symptoms than did men at intake and follow-up. Interestingly, compared to women, men reported at intake and follow-up that they had more people they could count on for recovery support. Men and women have been shown to use different coping styles and thus may benefit from separate groups to plan recovery support.

Women also reported more economic difficulties at both intake and follow-up compared to men. Further, significantly more women were unemployed at intake and follow-up when compared to men. Likewise, significantly more men reported they had full-time employment at intake and follow-up when compared to women. Among individuals who were currently employed, men had significantly higher hourly wages than women at both intake and follow-up. At intake, employed women made only $0.83 for every dollar employed men made and by follow-up the gap in hourly wages was even greater with employed women making only $0.69 for every dollar employed men made. Significantly more women than men reported difficulty in accessing basic needs and more difficulty in meeting health care needs at intake and follow up. Interestingly, significantly more women reported they had completed at least one year of vocational school or college than did men at intake and follow-up. Even though women made significant gains in their education and employment overall by follow-up, they still lagged behind men in their economic standing.

**STUDY LIMITATIONS**

The study findings must be considered within the context of the study’s limitations. First, because there is no appropriate group of substance-using individuals who would like treatment but do not receive it to compare with the KTOS individuals who participate in treatment, one cannot attribute all changes from intake to follow-up to substance abuse treatment. Second, because not all clients agree to participate in the 12 month follow-up survey, it is unclear how generalizable the findings are to the entire client population that completes an intake survey. Analysis comparing those individuals who completed a follow-up survey with those who did not complete a follow-up survey (for any reason, for example, they did not agree to be in the follow-up study, they were not randomly selected into the follow-up sample, or they were not successfully contacted for the follow-up survey) found some significant differences between the two groups (gender, difficulty meeting basic needs, physical health, and mental health problems); however, most of the examined factors were not significantly different between the two groups, suggesting that the findings may generalize fairly well to the entire client population.

Third, data included in this report, with the exception of clinical diagnostic and service event data, were self-reported by clients. There is reason to question the validity and reliability of self-reported
data, particularly with regard to sensitive topics, such as illegal behavior and stigmatizing issues such as mental health and substance use. However, recent research has supported findings about the reliability and accuracy of individuals’ reports of their substance use.\textsuperscript{76, 77, 78, 79} Earlier studies found that the context of the interview influences reliability.\textsuperscript{80} During the informed consent process for the KTOS follow-up study, interviewers tell participants that the research team operates independently from the community mental health centers, responses will be reported in group format and will not be identifiable at the individual level, and that the research team has a federal Certificate of Confidentiality. These assurances of confidentiality and lack of affiliation with the data collectors may minimize individuals’ concern about reporting stigmatizing or illegal behavior or conditions.

Collecting all of the secondary data that would be required to estimate the costs and cost savings for the individuals who participated in the KTOS follow-up study is labor intensive, expensive, and beyond the scope of the treatment outcome study; thus, funding constraints prevented estimating actual costs of alcohol and drug abuse for the clients. The cost-offset analysis included in this report is based on using national estimates of the annual cost of alcohol and drug abuse and the annual NSDUH estimate of the number of individuals with alcohol dependence and drug dependence in the U.S. to estimate a cost per dependent person. This cost per person was then applied to the KTOS clients based on their self-reported alcohol and drug use at intake and follow-up. As with any cost-offset analysis, there are several assumptions underlying the logic of this approach—any of which could prove to be faulty. Therefore, we have clearly laid out the assumptions in Section 10 to help interpret the findings. Further, because the measure of alcohol or drug dependence used in KTOS was based on a 30-day measure, it is likely an underestimate of the number of individuals with severe substance use disorders.

CONCLUSION

This KTOS 2015 report provides a valuable look at the client outcomes of publicly-funded substance abuse treatment for adults in Kentucky. Overall, clients of publicly-funded substance abuse treatment, including a variety of treatment modalities, made significant strides in all of the targeted outcomes. Specifically, there were significant decreases in use of alcohol and all drugs (except tobacco), a significant increase in full-time employment, decrease in depression, anxiety and suicidality, decrease in arrests and incarceration, and increased recovery supports. Moreover, an estimate of the cost to society for alcohol and drug dependence in the year before treatment compared to the cost to society for alcohol and drug dependence in the year after treatment intake, while taking into account the cost of publicly-funded treatment, showed a significant cost savings.


APPENDIX A. METHODS

The KTOS evaluation uses a pre- and post-intervention research design, meaning that client data is collected at treatment intake and compared to data collected 12 months later at follow-up. All publicly-funded substance abuse treatment programs in Kentucky are required to collect intake data on individuals entering treatment. Intake data are collected by clinicians on-site via a structured web-based survey. At the end of the intake survey, clinicians explain the follow-up study to clients and give them the opportunity to volunteer to participate in the follow-up study. During the consent process clients are informed that the research staff at the University of Kentucky have obtained a Certificate of Confidentiality from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to protect the research team from being forced to release client-identifying data to law enforcement or other government agencies. Clients who agree to participate in the follow-up study give their consent using an electronic consent form on the web survey, which is approved by the University of Kentucky Medical Institutional Review Board (IRB). Identifying data are encrypted as the data are submitted on the web-based survey. Electronic data are stored on password protected computers and servers in secure facilities. Of the 4,894 clients who completed an intake survey, 2,823 (57.7%) agreed to be contacted for the follow-up study. From this group of clients who voluntarily agreed to be contacted for the follow-up study, the research team pulled the follow-up sample by first identifying clients who had provided the minimum amount of contact information (e.g., two phone numbers or one phone number and one address), and then randomly selecting clients by intake month (N = 2,024).

Follow-up surveys were conducted by interviewers on the research team at the University of Kentucky Center on Drug and Alcohol Research via telephone 12 months after the intake survey is submitted. Of the 2,024 clients included in the follow-up sample, 336 were ineligible for participating in the follow-up survey for a variety of reasons (e.g., incarcerated, in residential treatment, deceased), which left 1,688 clients eligible for follow-up. Of these clients, 1,287 completed a follow-up survey (see Table AA.1). Thus, the follow-up rate was 76.2%. The remaining clients either (1) refused (0.9%) to complete the follow-up survey, or (2) were never successfully contacted, or if contacted they never completed the follow-up survey (22.9%).
TABLE AA.1. FINAL CASE OUTCOMES FOR FOLLOW-UP EFFORTS (N = 2,024)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ineligible for follow-up survey</th>
<th>Number of Records</th>
<th>Percent (n = 2,024)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>336</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed follow-up surveys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up rate</td>
<td>1,287</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired cases</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refusal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases accounted for</td>
<td>1,639</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clients were considered ineligible for follow-up if they were living in a controlled environment during the follow-up period (see Table AA.2). Of the 336 cases that were ineligible for follow-up, the majority (78.6%) were ineligible because they were incarcerated during the follow-up period. Forty-eight clients were ineligible because they were in residential treatment at the time of follow-up. Other reasons a small number of clients were ineligible for follow-up were because they were deceased, had a health condition that kept them from completing a survey, had been included recently in the prior year’s follow-up study, or were living overseas.

TABLE AA.2. REASONS CLIENTS WERE INELIGIBLE FOR FOLLOW-UP (N = 336)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incarcerated</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In residential treatment</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health condition</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invalid data</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In military, overseas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix B presents analysis on comparisons between clients who completed a follow-up interview and clients who did not complete a follow-up interview for any reason on key variables included in the intake survey.
APPENDIX B. CLIENT CHARACTERISTICS AT INTAKE FOR THOSE WHO COMPLETED FOLLOW-UP INTERVIEWS AND THOSE WHO DID NOT COMPLETE A FOLLOW-UP INTERVIEW

Clients who completed a follow-up interview are compared in this section with clients who did not complete a follow-up interview for any reason (e.g., did not agree to be contacted for the follow-up survey, not selected into the follow-up sample, ineligible for follow-up, unable to be located for the follow-up).

DEMOGRAPHICS

The majority of the sample for this annual report was White and male (see Table AB.1). Significantly more clients who completed a follow-up survey were female compared to clients who did not complete a follow-up survey. There were no significant differences on other demographics between clients who completed a follow-up survey and those who did not. The average client age for both groups was in the early 30s with no difference by follow-up status. More clients reported their marital status as married or cohabiting than any other category in both groups. The percentages of clients who reported being never married, or separated or divorced were similar (ranging from 26.0% to 32.9%).

| TABLE AB.1. COMPARISON OF DEMOGRAPHICS FOR CLIENTS WHO WERE FOLLOWED UP AND CLIENTS WHO WERE NOT FOLLOWED UP |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| FOLLOWED UP                                      | NO                                              |
|                                                 | YES                                             |
| n = 3,607                                       | n = 1,287                                       |
| AGE                                             | 33.5 years                                      |
| GENDER**                                        | Female                                          |
|                                                 | 40.1%                                           |
|                                                 | 49.3%                                           |
| RACE                                             | White                                           |
|                                                 | 92.0%                                           |
|                                                 | 93.2%                                           |
|                                                 | African American                                |
|                                                 | 5.7%                                            |
|                                                 | 4.8%                                            |
|                                                 | Other or Multiracial                            |
|                                                 | 2.3%                                            |
|                                                 | 2.0%                                            |
| MARITAL STATUS                                  | Never married                                   |
|                                                 | 31.3%                                           |
|                                                 | 32.9%                                           |
|                                                 | Married or cohabiting                           |
|                                                 | 41.3%                                           |
|                                                 | 38.9%                                           |
|                                                 | Separated or divorced                           |
|                                                 | 26.0%                                           |
|                                                 | 26.6%                                           |
|                                                 | Widowed                                         |
|                                                 | 1.5%                                            |
|                                                 | 1.6%                                            |

**p < .001.

Significance is reported for p < .01 because of the large sample size.
SOCIOECONOMIC INDICATORS

A little more than half of clients reported that their usual living arrangement in the 12 months before entering substance abuse treatment was living in their own home or apartment (see Table AB.2). More than one-third were living in someone else’s home or apartment. Around 7% reported that a controlled environment, such as jail, prison, or a hospital was their usual living environment. Small numbers of clients reported their usual living situation was in a residential treatment, sober living home, or in a shelter or on the streets. At the time clients entered treatment, about 10% considered themselves to be homeless, with most saying they considered themselves to be homeless because they were staying temporarily with friends or family or they didn’t have a home to go to after leaving treatment (see Table AB.2). There were no significant differences in living situation at baseline between clients who completed a follow-up interview and clients who did not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table AB.2 LIVING SITUATION OF CLIENTS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT&lt;sup&gt;82&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOLLOWED UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 3,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USUAL LIVING ARRANGEMENT IN THE 12 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING THE PROGRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own home or apartment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Someone else’s home or apartment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In a controlled environment (jail, prison, hospital)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sober living home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter or on the street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSIDERS SELF TO BE CURRENTLY HOMELESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why the individual considers himself/herself to be homeless (n = 362) (n = 138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying in a shelter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying temporarily with friends or family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have no home to go to after leaving treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying in hotel/motel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying on the street or in the car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other reason</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measures of economic hardship may be better indicators of the actual day-to-day stressors clients face than a measure of income. Therefore, the baseline survey included several questions about clients’ ability to meet expenses for basic needs and food insecurity. Clients were asked eight items, five of which asked about inability to meet basic needs such as food, shelter, utilities, and telephone, and three items asked about inability to receive medical care for financial reasons.

Table AB.3 presents the percentage of clients who reported inability to meet basic living needs (e.g., food, shelter, utilities, telephone), and any of the health care needs. Significantly more clients who were followed up reported that in the 12 months before they entered treatment their household had difficulty meeting the basic living needs of food, shelter, utilities, or telephone because of financial

<sup>82</sup> One individual, who did not complete a follow-up, was missing data for living situation at intake.
reasons. In addition, significantly more clients in the follow-up sample reported they were unable to receive needed health care for financial reasons (50.3% compared to 42.1%).

TABLE AB.3. DIFFICULTY MEETING BASIC NEEDS IN THE 12 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 3,607</td>
<td>n = 1,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had difficulty meeting basic living needs (e.g. shelter, utilities, phone, food)**</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had difficulty obtaining needed health care for financial reasons**</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001.

Clients were asked to place themselves on a ladder, representing their perception of their standing in society (Adler’s Ladder). The bottom rung, 1, represents “people who are the worst off, those who have the least money, least education, and worst jobs or no jobs” and the top rung, 10, represents “people who are the best off, those who have the most money, most education, and best jobs”. The majority of KTOS clients (54.6%) rated themselves as being on the 5th, 6th, or 7th rung on the ladder (see Figure AB.1). Clients who were followed up did not have significantly different ratings than clients who were not followed up (4.7 vs. 4.8).

FIGURE AB.1 SUBJECTIVE SOCIAL STANDING OF THE FOLLOW-UP SAMPLE BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

|          | NO     | YES     |
|--------------------------------------|---------|
| n = 3,607                            | n = 1,287|
| 1.4%                                 | 1.9%     |
| 0.8%                                 | 1.0%     |
| 4.6%                                 | 4.4%     |
| 11.8%                                | 8.9%     |
| 14.6%                                | 12.9%    |
| 28.9%                                | 30.5%    |
| 14.4%                                | 14.1%    |
| 11.3%                                | 11.0%    |
| 5.3%                                 | 7.4%     |
| 6.6%                                 | 7.9%     |

1–Worst off (e.g., least money, least education, worst jobs or no jobs)

Table AB.4 describes clients’ level of education when entering treatment. Around one-quarter of clients had less than a high school diploma or GED. Significantly more followed-up clients had completed at least one year of college, vocational school, or a higher level of education compared to clients who were not followed up.
There were no differences in number of months clients were employed in the 12 months before entering treatment by follow-up status. Around 42% of clients reported working 0 months in the 12 months before entering treatment. About 1 in 5 clients reported working 1 to 5 months and over one third reported working 6 months or more (see Table AB.5). Of the clients who reported working at least part-time in the 12 months before entering treatment, the average number of months worked was 7.3 for clients not followed up and 7.0 for clients followed up, with no difference by group.

**TABLE AB.4. CLIENTS’ HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION COMPLETED AT BASELINE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION COMPLETED*</th>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 3,607</td>
<td>n = 1,287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than GED or high school diploma</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GED or high school diploma</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some vocational school to graduate school</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .01

**CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INVOLVEMENT AT INTAKE**

A sizable minority of clients were under supervision by the criminal justice system when they entered treatment (e.g., probation, parole, drug court), with no significant difference by follow-up status (see Table AB.6).

The majority of clients reported they had been arrested in the 12 months before entering treatment (see Table AB.6). Of the clients who reported being arrested, followed-up clients reported an average of 1.6 arrests and clients who were not followed up reported an average of 1.7 arrests in the 12 months before entering treatment, with no significant difference by follow-up status.

**TABLE AB.5. EMPLOYMENT IN THE 12 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMPLOYMENT</th>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 3,607</td>
<td>n = 1,287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of clients who reported working for:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 months</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 5 months</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months or more</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average # of months employed in the past 12 months</td>
<td>n = 2,063</td>
<td>n = 476</td>
<td>7.3 months 7.0 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE AB.6. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INVOLVEMENT WHEN ENTERING TREATMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 3,607</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently under supervision by the criminal justice system</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrested for any charge in the 12 months before entering treatment</td>
<td>56.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of those with an arrest</td>
<td>n = 2,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of arrests</td>
<td>1.7 arrests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table AB.7 displays the percentage of clients arrested and charged with different types of criminal charges among those who reported being arrested in the 12 months before entering treatment. Arrests for DUI were the most commonly reported criminal offense, followed by drug charges (e.g., trafficking, possession). Property crime arrests were reported by a little less than one-fifth of clients in both groups. Less than 10% of clients reported an arrest for a crime against person offense. The criminal offense category reported by the smallest number of clients in both groups was domestic violence-related offense (i.e., a crime against a person wherein the victim was a family member or intimate partner). Other criminal offenses were reported by 24.5% of clients who were not followed up and 27.0% of clients who were followed up.

TABLE AB.7. AMONG THOSE WHO REPORTED BEING ARRESTED IN THE 12 MONTHS BEFORE TREATMENT, PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS ARRESTED AND CHARGED WITH TYPES OF CRIMINAL OFFENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPES OF CRIMINAL CHARGES</th>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n =1,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUI</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug charge</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property crime</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probation or parole violation</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimes against a person</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic violence offense (i.e., crime against family member of intimate partner)</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other crimes (e.g., contempt, criminal mischief, disorderly conduct, endangering minor, failure to pay child support, failure to comply with court order, moving violations, public intoxication, trespassing, resisting arrest)</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was not a significant difference on the number of clients who reported being incarcerated at least one day in the past 12 months before entering treatment for clients who were not followed-up (61.8%) when compared with those who were in the followed-up group (58.2%, see Table AB.8). Among the clients who were incarcerated at least one night, the average incarceration time in the 12 months before entering treatment was 63.2 days for clients who were not followed up and 56.5 days for clients who were followed up.
TABLE AB.8. INCARCERATION HISTORY IN THE 12 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 3,607</td>
<td>n = 1,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incarcerated at least one day</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of those incarcerated</td>
<td>(n = 2,230)</td>
<td>(n = 749)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of days incarcerated in the past 12 months</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHYSICAL HEALTH AT INTAKE

To give an idea of the physical health of clients when they entered treatment, Table AB.9 presents the percentage of the follow-up sample that reported health problems at intake. There were significant differences between those clients who were not followed-up and those that were followed-up. About one third (35.7%) of clients in the follow-up sample experienced chronic pain, which was significantly more than those who were not followed-up (29.1%). Significantly more clients who were followed-up had ever experienced a head injury that resulted in loss of consciousness (39.8% vs. 34.2%). Finally, clients were asked at intake if a doctor had ever told them they had any of the 12 chronic medical problems listed (e.g., asthma, arthritis, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), tuberculosis, severe dental disease, cancer, Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C, HIV, and other sexually transmitted diseases). Significantly more clients who were followed up reported they had been told by a doctor that they had at least one of the chronic medical problems compared to clients who were not followed up (36.0% vs. 41.9%).

TABLE AB.9. PHYSICAL HEALTH STATUS AT BASELINE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 3,607</td>
<td>n = 1,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain (lasting at least 3 months)**</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever had a head injury that resulted in being knocked out or hospitalized for at least one night**</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever told by a doctor that client had one of the 12 chronic medical problems listed**</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** p < .001

MENTAL HEALTH AT INTAKE

The mental health questions included in the KTOS intake and follow-up surveys are not clinical measures, but instead are research measures. A total of 9 questions were asked to determine if they met DSM-IV self-reported criteria for depression, including at least one of the two leading questions: (1) “Did you have a two-week period when you were consistently depressed or down, most of the day, nearly every day?” and (2) “Did you have a two-week period when you were much less interested in most things or much less able to enjoy the things you used to enjoy most of the time?” Significantly more clients who completed a follow-up interview than clients who did not complete a follow-up interview reported symptoms that met criteria for depression: 49.3% vs. 42.3% (see Table AB.10). A total of 7 questions were asked to determine if clients met criteria for Generalized Anxiety Disorder,
including the leading question: “In the 12 months before you entered this program, did you have a period lasting 3 months or longer where you worried excessively or were anxious about multiple things on more days than not (like family, health, finances, school, or work difficulties?” Significantly more clients who completed a follow-up interview than clients who did not complete a follow-up interview reported symptoms that met criteria for Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD): 42.6% vs. 36.8%.

Two questions were included in the baseline survey that asked about thoughts of suicide and attempted suicide in the 12 months before clients entered treatment. A minority of clients reported suicidal ideation or attempts, with no difference by follow-up status (see Table AB.10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 3,607</td>
<td>n = 1,287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression**</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized Anxiety Disorder**</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidality (e.g., thoughts of suicide or suicide attempts)</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001.

### SUBSTANCE USE AT INTAKE

Use of illegal drugs in the 12 months before entering treatment is presented by follow-up status in Table AB.11. Significantly more clients in the follow-up sample reported using any illegal drugs, in general, and marijuana specifically. The most frequently reported illegal drugs used in the 12 months before entering treatment were marijuana, prescription opioids/opiates, and tranquilizers (including sedatives, benzodiazepines, hypnotics). Less than 1 in 5 clients reported using cocaine, amphetamines, and non-prescribed buprenorphine (Suboxone, Subutex). A relatively small number of clients in both groups reported using heroin and non-prescribed methadone. Less than 10% of clients used synthetic drugs (including synthetic marijuana and bath salts) and an even smaller percentage of clients used barbiturates, hallucinogens, and inhalants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 3,607</td>
<td>n = 1,287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any illegal drug*</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescription opioid/opiate (illegal use)</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana**</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranquilizers, sedatives, benzodiazepines</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-prescribed buprenorphine (Suboxone, Subutex)</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-prescribed methadone</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The majority of clients reported alcohol use in the 12 months before entering treatment. Less than one half of clients in both groups reported alcohol use to intoxication in the same period. Smaller percentages of clients reported binge drinking in the 12 months before entering treatment. There were no differences in alcohol use in the 12 months before entering treatment by follow-up status (see Table AB.12).

TABLE AB.12. PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS REPORTING ALCOHOL USE IN THE 12 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 3,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>57.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol to intoxication</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge drank alcohol (i.e., drank 5 or more (4 for women) drinks in 2 hours)</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the 12 months before entering substance abuse treatment, the vast majority of the clients reported smoking tobacco products and, for both groups, 15.2% reported smokeless tobacco use. There was no difference between those who completed a follow-up interview and those who did not (see Table AB.12).

TABLE AB.13. PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS REPORTING TOBACCO USE IN THE 12 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FOLLOWED UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 3,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoked tobacco</td>
<td>83.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used smokeless tobacco</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Self-reported severity of alcohol and drug use was measured with Addiction Severity Index (ASI) alcohol and drug composite scores. Alcohol and drug composite scores are presented in Table AB.14 separately for those clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment and clients who were in a controlled environment all 30 days. The highest composite score is 1.0 for each of the two substance categories.

The majority of clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days met or surpassed the Addiction Severity Index (ASI) composite score cutoff for alcohol and/or drug severe SUD, with no difference by follow-up status (60.6% for not followed up and 63.6% for followed up; see Table AB.14). Among clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering the program, the average score on the alcohol composite score was 0.22 regardless of follow-up status. Among clients
who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering the program, the average score for the drug severity composite score was 0.16 for clients who did not complete a follow-up interview and 0.17 for followed up clients (see Table AB.14). These average cutoff scores include clients with scores of 0 on the composites.

Of the clients who were in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment, the majority met or surpassed the cutoff score for severe alcohol and drug use disorder (see Table AB.14). However, significantly fewer of the followed up clients met the cutoff score for severe drug use disorder when compared to the clients who were not followed up. Among clients who were in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering the program, the average for the alcohol composite score were 0.19 for all clients, regardless of follow-up status. Of clients who were in a controlled environment all 30 days, the average drug severity composite score was 0.20 for those not followed-up and 0.18 for those who were followed-up.

TABLE AB.14. SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE PROBLEMS AT BASELINE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>recent substance use problems among clients who were...</th>
<th>Not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment</th>
<th>In a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FOLLOWED UP (n = 3,318)</td>
<td>YES (n = 1,174)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of clients with ASI composite score equal to or greater than cutoff score for...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe alcohol or drug use disorder</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe alcohol use disorder</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe drug use disorder*</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average composite score for alcohol use(^a)</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average composite score for drug use(^b)</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Score equal to or greater than .17 is indicative of severe alcohol use disorder.
\(^b\) Score equal to or greater than .16 is indicative of severe drug use disorder.

More than half of clients reported ever having been in substance abuse treatment in their lifetime, with no significant difference by follow-up status (see Table AB.15). Among clients who reported a history of substance abuse treatment, the mean number of lifetime treatment episodes was the same (2.4) for the two groups.

TABLE AB.15. HISTORY OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT IN LIFETIME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Followed Up</th>
<th>NO (n = 3,607)</th>
<th>YES (n = 1,287)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever been in substance abuse treatment in lifetime</td>
<td>53.7%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among those who had ever been in substance abuse treatment in lifetime,</td>
<td>(n = 1,936)</td>
<td>(n = 7,02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of times in treatment</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, there were some significant differences between clients who were followed up and those who were not. First, significantly more women were followed up than were not followed up. Second,
significantly more followed up clients reported they had difficulty meeting basic living needs and health care needs for financial reasons. Third, significantly more followed-up clients reported they had completed at least one year of vocational school or college compared to clients who were not followed up. Fourth, significantly more clients who were included in the follow-up sample reported they had chronic pain, a chronic medical problem and a history of traumatic brain injury when compared to clients who were not in the follow-up sample. Fifth, significantly more clients in the follow-up sample reported depression and generalized anxiety in the 12 months before treatment. Finally, significantly more followed up clients had used marijuana in the 12 months before treatment compared to clients who were not followed up. Nonetheless, there were no significant differences between followed up clients and clients who were not followed up on other demographic variables, employment, living situation, criminal justice system involvement, and other substance use.