



Kentucky Opiate Replacement Treatment Program Outcome Study

2015 ANNUAL REPORT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarizes client outcomes from a statewide evaluation of opiate treatment programs (OTPs). The goal of the Kentucky Opiate Replacement Treatment Outcome Study (KORTOS) is to examine client satisfaction, recovery support, and outcomes for several specific targeted factors including: (1) substance use and severity of substance use; (2) mental health, stress, and physical health; (3) education and employment; (4) homelessness, living situation, and economic hardship; and (5) criminal justice system involvement. This report presents findings on outcomes for 223 men and women who participated in an OTP from January 2013 through December 2013 and then completed a follow-up interview about 6 months later.

Results show that program clients reported high levels of satisfaction with their experience at the OTP. In addition, clients reported many positive aspects of their participation in the OTP including decreased substance use, improved relationships

with others, improved financial situation, and improved mental health and their feelings about themselves. Further, clients rated their quality of life and their satisfaction with their lives as significantly higher after participating in the OTP. At follow-up, clients had significantly more positive feelings and fewer negative feelings. Compared to intake,


significantly more individuals reported at follow-up they had attended mutual help recovery group meetings in the past 30 days. At follow-up, clients also reported having significantly more people they could count on for recovery support than at intake.

There were significant reductions in drug use and alcohol use as

well as self-reported substance use severity. For example, 8 in 10 clients reported using prescription opiates in the past 6 months at intake, whereas only 1 in 10 clients reported using prescription opiates at follow-up. Also, 6 in 10 clients reported heroin use at intake, while only a little more than 1 in 10 (11.2%) clients reported heroin use at follow-up. Not

only did clients' use of opioids/opiates decrease significantly from intake to follow-up, but their use of non-opioid drugs (such as marijuana, tranquilizers, benzodiazepines, stimulants) also decreased significantly. The number of KORTOS clients with an ASI composite score indicating severe drug use disorder decreased significantly.

The number of KORTOS clients with an ASI composite score indicating severe drug use disorder decreased significantly



Additionally, even though only a minority of clients reported using alcohol in the 6 months before intake, this number decreased significantly at follow-up.

The mental health of clients who participated in treatment was significantly improved at follow-up in terms of fewer clients with depression, generalized anxiety, comorbid depression and anxiety, and suicidal thoughts or attempts. Also, stress and physical health was better for clients overall at follow-up than at intake. Specifically, clients reported significantly reduced stress-related health consequences, number of days of poor physical and mental health, and number of days their physical or mental health problems limited their daily activities at follow-up when compared to intake. Finally, significantly fewer clients reported they had experienced chronic pain in the 6 months before follow-up than the 6 months before treatment intake.

Changes in education and employment were not significantly different from


intake to follow-up. Half of followed up clients had at least one year of vocational school or college and about half of clients were unemployed at follow-up. Changes in living situation were improved for a significant number of clients. The number of clients who reported they were homeless at some point in the past 6 months decreased 87% and the number of clients who reported living in their own home/apartment increased 29% from intake to follow-up. Furthermore, at follow-up fewer clients reported having economic hardship in terms of difficulty meeting basic living needs (such as food, shelter, and utilities) as a result of financial problems than at intake. Even though only a minority of KORTOS clients reported criminal justice system involvement at intake, the number of clients reporting criminal justice involvement at follow-up, in terms of being arrested or incarcerated, was significantly lower.

There are, however, potential opportunities to target to make even more significant

improvements in clients' functioning after they begin treatment at OTPs. Co-occurring problem areas including tobacco smoking and economic difficulties were reported by high numbers of participants. Smoking was very high for clients at intake and remained high at follow-up. Smoking has been associated with increased mental health symptoms and physical health problems. Smoking cessation, however, has been associated with lower alcohol and drug relapse and should, therefore, be encouraged in treatment programs.^{1,2} In addition, while the number of participants reporting a difficulty meeting basic needs for financial

¹ Brown, R., Strong, D., Abrantes, A., Myers, M., Ramsey, S., & Kahler, C. (2009). Effects on substance use outcomes in adolescents receiving motivational interviewing for smoking cessation during psychiatric hospitalization. *Addictive Behaviors, 34*, 887-891.

² Cooney, N., Litt, M., Cooney, J., Pilkey, D., Steinberg, H., & Oncken, C. (2007). Concurrent brief versus intensive smoking intervention during alcohol dependence treatment. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 21*, 570-575.



reasons decreased at follow-up, 1 in 4 clients still reported having difficulty meeting basic living needs at follow-up and an even higher proportion of clients (1 in 3) reported they had difficulty meeting health care needs such as seeing a doctor when needed or obtaining a needed medical prescription. Providing referrals and support for these dimensions may help improve basic living situations for many clients and support continued recovery living for long-term positive results from treatment. Nonetheless, these proportions are lower than the proportions found in the 2014 report.

The increase in heroin use in Kentucky that has been noted by multiple sources (i.e., arrests, overdose fatalities, ER visits) for several years is clearly evident in this year's report. Even though the majority of KORTOS clients continue to report use of prescription opioids/opiates when they enter treatment, the percentage of clients who report using heroin in the 6 months before entering treatment has increased sharply in 2013 to

The 2015 KORTOS evaluation indicates that opiate treatment programs in Kentucky have been successful in facilitating positive changes in clients' lives in a variety of ways

48.1% as compared to previous years: 15.0% in 2012, 8.8% in 2011, 9.8% in 2010, 9.3% in 2009, and 7.0% in 2008.

Finally, there were several gender differences in targeted factors. For example, as a group, women had worse mental health and physical health than men at intake as well as more stress-related health consequences at both intake and follow-up. In addition, more women were currently unemployed at intake and follow-up and they reported working fewer months at intake and follow-up when compared to men. Even though there were no differences between men and women in smoking tobacco, significantly more men reported using smokeless tobacco at intake and follow-up.

The 2015 KORTOS evaluation

indicates that opiate treatment programs in Kentucky have been successful in facilitating positive changes in clients' lives in a variety of ways, including decreased substance use, decreased severity of substance use, decreased mental health symptoms, decreased economic hardship, and decreased involvement with the criminal justice system. Results also show that clients appreciate and value their experiences in treatment programs and have an improved quality of life and more support for recovery after participating in treatment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	2
Introduction and Overview.....	7
Section 1. Overview and Description of KORTOS Clients.....	10
Description of KORTOS Clients at Treatment Intake	10
KORTOS Follow-Up Sample.....	13
KORTOS 2015 Quality of Data and Locator Efforts	14
Section 2. Client Satisfaction with Opiate Treatment Programs and Quality of Life Ratings	16
Overall Client Satisfaction	16
Client Ratings of Program Experiences.....	16
Positive and Negative Aspects of OTP	17
Quality of Life and Satisfaction with Life	18
Section 3. Substance Use	21
Alcohol and Drug Use	22
Any Illegal Drugs.....	22
Prescription Opioid Misuse.....	24
Non-Prescribed Use of Methadone	26
Non-Prescribed Use of Buprenorphine	27
Heroin.....	29
Trend Report.....	31
Other Non-Opioid Drug Use	32
Alcohol Use.....	33
Self-Reported Severity of Alcohol And Drug Use	36
Tobacco Use	39
Section 4. Mental Health, Stress, And Physical Health	42
Depression Symptoms	42
Anxiety Symptoms.....	43
Comorbid Depression and Anxiety Symptoms	44
Suicide Ideation and/or Attempts.....	45
Stress-Related Health Consequences	45
Perceptions of Physical and Mental Health	47
Physical Health Issues	49
Section 5. Education And Employment	50
Education	50
Employment.....	50
Section 6. Homelessness, Living Situation, And Economic Hardship...	55
Homelessness.....	55
Living Situation.....	55
Difficulty Meeting Living and Health Care Needs for Financial Reasons..	56

Kentucky Opiate Replacement Treatment Program Outcome Study 2015 Annual Report

Presented by:
Kentucky Department for Behavioral
Health, Developmental and Intellectual
Disabilities,
Division of Behavioral Health
275 East Main St.
Frankfort, KY 40621-0001
(502) 564-4527

Mary Begley
*Commissioner, Department for
Behavioral Health, Developmental
and Intellectual Disabilities*

Natalie Kelly
*Director, Division of Behavioral
Health*

Mark Fisher
State Opioid OTP Authority

Report prepared by:
University of Kentucky Center on
Drug & Alcohol Research
333 Waller Avenue, Suite 480,
Lexington, KY 40504

The 2015 KORTOS report includes
data from 223 clients at Kentucky
opiate treatment programs (OTPs)
who completed both an intake
interview between January 1, 2013
and December 31, 2013 and a six
month follow-up interview targeted
between July 2013 and June 2014.

Suggested citation: Cole, J.,
Logan, TK, Scrivner, A., & Miller,
J. (2015). Kentucky's Opiate
Replacement Treatment Program
Outcome Study 2015 Annual
Report. Lexington, KY: University
of Kentucky, Center on Drug &
Alcohol Research.

Section 7. Criminal Justice System Involvement	57
Arrests	57
Incarceration.....	58
Criminal Justice System Supervision	58
Section 8. Recovery Supports	59
Mutual Help Recovery Group Meeting Attendance	59
Number of People Client Can Count on for Recovery Support.....	59
What Will Be Most Useful in Staying Off Drugs/Alcohol	60
Chances of Staying Off Drugs/Alcohol	60
Section 9. Implications and Conclusions	61
Study Limitations.....	63
Conclusion.....	64
Appendix A. Methods.....	65
Appendix B. Locating Efforts for the 2015 KORTOS Follow-up Study	67
Appendix C. Client Characteristics at Intake for Those Who Completed Follow-up Interviews and Those Who Did Not Complete a Follow-up Interview	70
Appendix D. Trends in Prescription Opioid, Methadone, Buprenorphine, and Heroin Use Among KORTOS Clients with a Completed Follow-up Survey	77

INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

While prescription opioids are instrumental to reducing pain, misuse can lead to serious negative consequences such as addiction or even overdose. Non-medical use of prescription opiates is a continuing health concern for Kentucky where 4.6% of individuals 12 years and older, and 9.7% of individuals 18-25 years old, report nonmedical use of pain relievers.³ When examining trends over time, the prevalence rate of nonmedical use of pain relievers has decreased significantly from 2002-2003 (6.5%) to 2012-2013 (4.6%) in Kentucky. One of the key methods for treating persons addicted to opioids who have not been successful in traditional substance abuse treatment programs is through medication assisted therapy (or treatment, MAT) primarily with methadone or buprenorphine. One of three priority areas of the United States Health and Human Services' (HHS) recently launched initiative to reduce prescription opioid- and heroin-related overdose, death, and dependence is to expand the use of medication-assisted therapy.⁴ These federally regulated opiate treatment programs (OTPs) provide evidence-based, clinically monitored, medication-assisted therapy with methadone or buprenorphine.⁵ Research evidence supports the effectiveness of methadone maintenance and buprenorphine maintenance in retaining clients in treatment and suppressing opioid use.⁶ The number of persons receiving methadone in substance use treatment in Kentucky has risen from 2009 to 2012 while the number of persons receiving buprenorphine multiplied by 5 from 2011 to 2012.⁷

In 2007, Kentucky OTPs began collecting outcome data on medication-assisted therapy. The outcome project is conducted in collaboration with the Kentucky Division of Behavioral Health and Narcotic Treatment Authority and currently includes all 13 Kentucky licensed OTPs. The Kentucky Opiate Replacement Treatment Outcome Study (KORTOS) includes client-level intake data collected by OTPs as part of their licensure agreements. Through a contract with the Division of Behavioral Health, the data are submitted to the University of Kentucky Center on Drug and Alcohol Research (UK CDAR) where 6-month follow-up interviews are completed with consenting maintenance treatment clients. The KORTOS project collects data from clients at licensed OTPs since they follow clinical monitoring protocols; thus this report does not include data from independent physicians who prescribe buprenorphine outside of an OTP.

In this fourth annual KORTOS report, data are included on 223 clients from Kentucky OTPs who completed both an intake interview between January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2013 and a six month follow-up interview targeted between July 1, 2013 and June 30, 2014. A total of 703 clients completed an intake survey that was submitted to CDAR. Of these clients, 357 agreed to be contacted for the follow-up survey (50.8% agreement rate).⁸ A total of 350 were selected into the follow-up sample, and of these 270 were eligible for the follow-up

³ Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014). 2012-2013 National Survey on Drug Use and health: Model-based prevalence estimates (50 states and the District of Columbia). Rockville, MD: Center for Behavioral Health Statistic Quality, Substance Abuse and Mental Services Administration.

⁴ Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation. (2015, March 26). *Opioid abuse in the U.S. and HHS actions to address opioid-drug related overdoses and deaths*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

⁵ National Institute on Drug Abuse. (2011). Prescription drugs abuse and addiction: research report series. NIH Publication Number 05-4881. Retrieved from <http://drugabuse.gov/publications/research-reports/prescription-drugs>

⁶ Mattick, R., Breen, C., Kimber, J., & Davoli, M. (2009). Methadone maintenance therapy versus no opioid replacement therapy for opioid dependence. *Cochrane Database System Review*, Jul 8 (3).

⁷ Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2013). Behavioral health Barometer: Kentucky, 2013. HHS Publication No. SMA-13-4796KY. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

⁸ From this group of clients who voluntarily agreed to be contacted for the follow-up study, the research team pulled the follow-up sample by first identifying clients who had provided the minimum amount of contact information (e.g., two phone numbers or one phone number and one address), and then selecting those clients by intake month.

survey 6 months later.⁹ Of these 270 clients, interviewers completed follow-up surveys with 223 clients (82.6% follow-up rate). To help facilitate the honest evaluation of client outcomes and evaluation of program services, the follow-up interviews were independently conducted over the telephone by a member of the UK CDAR staff and the program and responses were kept confidential (see Appendices A and B for detailed information about the methods and locating efforts).

Results are reported within nine main sections.

Section 1: Overview and Description of KORTOS Clients. This section briefly describes the Kentucky Opiate Replacement Treatment Outcome Study (KORTOS) including a description of clients who participated in Kentucky's licensed OTPs in calendar year 2013 and who had an intake submitted to CDAR (n=703) as well as clients who completed a 6-month follow-up interview (n=223).

Section 2: Client Satisfaction with the Opiate Treatment Programs and Quality of Life Ratings. This section describes: (1) overall client satisfaction with the OTP; (2) clients' ratings of program experiences; (3) positive and negative aspects of OTP participation; (4) quality of life ratings; (5) clients' positive and negative feelings; and (6) satisfaction with life.

Section 3: Substance Use. This section examines change in substance use (illegal drugs, alcohol, and tobacco) for 6-month and 30-day periods at intake and follow-up. Specific classes of illegal drugs examined include prescription opiates, methadone, buprenorphine, heroin, and other illegal drugs. In addition, self-reported severity of alcohol and drug use based on the Addiction Severity Index (ASI) alcohol and drug use composite scores are compared at intake and follow-up. Significant differences between the genders are reported when applicable.

Section 4: Mental Health, Stress, and Physical Health. This section examines changes in mental health, stress, and physical health from intake to follow-up. Specifically, this section examines: (1) depression; (2) generalized anxiety; (3) comorbid depression and generalized anxiety; (4) suicide ideation and attempts; (5) stress-related health consequences; (6) perceptions of physical and mental health; (7) chronic pain; and (8) body mass index. The mental health and physical health questions in the KORTOS intake and follow-up surveys were self-report measures. Significant differences between the genders are reported when applicable.

Section 5: Education and Employment. This section examines changes in education and employment from intake to follow-up including: (1) highest level of education completed; (2) the percentage of clients who worked full-time or part-time; (3) the number of months clients were employed full-time or part-time; and (4) hourly wage. Results for each targeted factor are presented for the overall sample and separately by gender when there were significant differences.

Section 6: Homelessness, Living Situation, and Economic Hardship. This section examines changes in clients' socio-economic status from intake to follow-up. Specifically, this section examines: (1) if clients consider themselves to be homeless; (2) their living situation (i.e., own home or someone else's home, residential program, shelter); and (3) economic hardship (i.e., difficulty meeting living and health care needs). Significant differences between the genders are reported when applicable.

Section 7: Criminal Justice System Involvement. This section describes change in client involvement with the criminal justice system during the 6-month period before entering treatment and the 6-month period before the follow-up interview. Specifically, results include changes in: (1) any arrest; (2) the number of times arrested, among clients with any arrests; (3) any incarceration; (4) the number of nights incarcerated, among clients with any incarceration; and (5) criminal justice supervision status.

⁹ In order to be eligible for the follow-up study, clients had to still be in an OTP at the time of the follow-up interview, not in a controlled environment, agree to be contacted for follow-up, and provide contact information in the client locator data at the end of the intake interview..

Section 8: Change in Recovery Supports. This section focuses on four main changes in recovery supports: (1) percentage of clients attending mutual help recovery group meetings; (2) the number of people the client said they could count on for recovery support; (3) what will be most useful to the client in staying off drugs/alcohol; and (4) clients' perceptions of their chances of staying off drugs/alcohol. Significant differences between the genders are reported when applicable.

Section 9: Conclusion and Implications. This section summarizes the highlights from the evaluation results and suggest implications from these findings for the state.

SECTION 1

OVERVIEW AND DESCRIPTION OF KORTOS CLIENTS

This section briefly describes the Kentucky Opiate Replacement Treatment Outcome Study (KORTOS) including how clients are selected into the outcome evaluation. In addition, this section describes characteristics of clients who participated in federally licensed Kentucky opiate treatment programs in calendar year 2013 and who had an intake assessment that was submitted to CDAR (n=703) as well as clients who completed a 6-month follow-up interview (n=223).

KORTOS includes a face-to-face intake interview conducted by program staff to assess targeted factors such as substance use, mental health symptoms, education, employment status, living situation, and involvement in the criminal justice system prior to entering medication-assisted treatment (submitted to UK CDAR from January 7, 2013 to December 31, 2013). In 2013, 703 adults completed an intake survey¹⁰ that was submitted to CDAR. At the completion of the intake interview, staff persons inform individuals about the KORTOS follow-up study and ask if they are interested in participating. If they agree to participate they are asked to provide contact information. The first section below describes characteristics for all clients with a completed and submitted intake assessment.

DESCRIPTION OF KORTOS CLIENTS AT TREATMENT INTAKE

Table 1.1 shows that the majority of clients with an intake survey submitted in 2013 were male (51.9%) and White (95.9%). Only a minority of clients reported their race as African American/Black (1.4%) and 2.7% reported they were American Indian, Asian, Hispanic, or multiracial. Clients were, on average, 33.8 years old, ranging from 18 to 67 years old at intake. The majority of clients (45.1%) were never married at intake, 27.7% were separated or divorced, 25.2% were married, and 2.0% were widowed. A large minority of clients reported they had at least one child (42.1%).


TABLE 1.1. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR ALL KORTOS CLIENTS AT INTAKE (N = 703)

AGE	33.8 years (range of 18-67)
GENDER	
Male	51.9%
Female	48.1%
Transgender	0.0%
RACE	
White	95.9%
African American	1.4%
Other or multiracial	2.7%
MARITAL STATUS	
Never married	45.1%
Separated or divorced	27.7%
Married or cohabiting	25.2%
Widowed	2.0%
HAVE CHILDREN UNDER THE AGE OF 18 WHO LIVE WITH THEM	42.1%

¹⁰ When a client had more than one intake survey in the same fiscal year, the survey with the earliest submission date was kept in the data file and the other intake surveys were deleted so that each client was represented once and only once in the data set. Thus, 703 intake surveys were included in the file once multiple intake surveys per person were deleted.

Figure 1.1 shows the treatment referral source for all KORTOS clients at intake. Over two-fifths of clients (42.8%) reported they decided to get help on their own and 45.4% were referred by a family member, partner or friend. A minority of clients (3.8%) were referred by a health care or mental health care worker. Even smaller numbers of clients reported they were referred to treatment by the court (e.g., judge, court designated worker, probation officer, for DUI offense) or by Child or Adult Protective Services (1.0%) and 7.0% were referred by other sources.

FIGURE 1.1 REFERRAL SOURCE FOR ALL KORTOS CLIENTS AT INTAKE (N = 704)




“I was pregnant when I first came there and I felt like I hit rock bottom. They were so wonderful and helpful.”

- KORTOS client quote

The majority of adults who completed an intake survey reported using illegal drugs (96.4%) and smoked tobacco (83.8%) while over one-quarter of clients reported using alcohol (27.5%) in the 6 months before intake (see Figure 1.2). The drug classes reported by the greatest number of clients were prescription opioid/opiate (83.5%), marijuana (54.3%), heroin (52.6%), and tranquilizers (41.0%). Because being in a controlled environment inhibits substance use, individuals who were in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment (n = 13) are not included


in the analysis of substance use in the 30 days before entering treatment. Of the 690 individuals who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days, 95.8% reported using illegal drugs, 83.3% reported smoking tobacco, and 16.1% reported using alcohol in the 30 days before entering treatment.

FIGURE 1.2 ALCOHOL, DRUG AND TOBACCO USE 6 MONTHS AND 30 DAYS BEFORE TREATMENT




One in six clients (20.3%) had less than a high school diploma or GED at intake. The highest level of education of 37.6% of the sample was a high school diploma or GED. Just over 30% of clients had completed some vocational/technical school or college. Only a minority of clients had completed vocational/technical school (3.1%), an associate's degree (4.6%), or a bachelor's degree or higher (3.6%).

FIGURE 1.3 HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION COMPLETED AT INTAKE (N = 703)



In the 6 months before intake, two-fifths of clients (40.4%) reported they had not worked any, 13.4% had worked 1 to 3 months, and 46.2% had worked 4 or more months (not depicted in figure). At intake, over one-third of individuals reported they were currently employed full-time (34.6%), with 52.8% being unemployed, and 12.7% employed part-time or having occasional or seasonal employment. Among those who reported being employed full or part-time at intake, the mean hourly wage was \$13.59.¹¹


FIGURE 1.4 CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS AT INTAKE (N = 703)



Of the individuals who were currently unemployed at intake (n = 371), a large minority stated they were looking for work (43.7%), 28.0% were on disability, 16.2% were keeping the house or taking care of children full-time at home, 2.4% were students, and the remaining 9.7% gave other reasons for not being employed (e.g., on furlough or temporarily laid off, retired, other health problems prevented them from work but they weren't on disability, in a controlled environment, or they were not looking for work).

¹¹ Fifteen cases had missing or invalid data on hourly wage.

FIGURE 1.5 OF THOSE UNEMPLOYED, REASONS FOR BEING UNEMPLOYED (N = 371)



Fewer than 1 in 7 individuals reported being arrested at least once (13.8%) and being incarcerated at least one night (13.5%) in the 6 months before entering treatment (see Figure 1.6). Among those who were arrested in the past 6 months (n = 97), they were arrested an average of 1.4 times. Among those who were incarcerated in the past 6 months (n = 95), they were incarcerated an average of 16.0 nights.

FIGURE 1.6. CRIMINAL JUSTICE INVOLVEMENT 6 MONTHS BEFORE TREATMENT AT INTAKE (N = 703)



KORTOS FOLLOW-UP SAMPLE

Follow-up interviews are conducted with a selected sample of KORTOS clients about 6 months after the intake survey is completed. All individuals who agree to be contacted by UK CDAR for the follow-up interview and have given at least one mailing address and one phone number, or two phone numbers if they do not have a mailing address in their locator information, are eligible for the follow-up component of the study. All eligible individuals are then selected by the month in which they completed intake surveys, with the exception that if a person has more than one intake survey in a given year, then the survey with the earliest date will be selected into the follow-up sample. The follow-up interviews are conducted over the telephone by an interviewer at UK

“I was always afraid of being judged, but when I went there, they don’t judge you and accepted you with open arms.”

- KORTOS client quote

CDAR. Client responses to the follow-up interviews are kept confidential to help facilitate the honest evaluation of client outcomes and satisfaction with program services. There was a low refusal rate for follow-up participation (0.4%) and a high follow-up rate (82.6%). This means that only 17.0% of individuals included in the sample to be followed up were not successfully contacted.¹²

This report describes outcomes for 223 adults who participated in a Kentucky OTP and who completed an intake interview and a follow-up telephone interview about 6 months (average of 183.9 days) after the intake survey was completed. Detailed information about the methods and follow-up efforts can be found in Appendices A and B.

¹² Clients are not contacted for a variety of reasons including follow-up staff are not able to find a working address or phone number or are unable to contact any friends or family members of the client.

KORTOS 2015 QUALITY OF DATA AND LOCATOR EFFORTS

For the 2015 follow-up study, 350 cases were selected for the follow-up sample. Of those selected, 80 clients were not eligible for the follow-up (e.g., no longer in OTP treatment, was in a controlled environment) leaving a sample of 270. A total of 223 follow-up surveys were completed for a follow-up rate of 82.6%. Only 13.4% of clients were not contacted.

82.6%
FOLLOW-UP RATE

PHONE CALLS

2,955
TOTAL

6.2

A total of 2,182 calls were made to client phone numbers, an average of 6.2 per client.

2.4

A total of 773 calls were made to contact phone numbers, an average of 2.4 per client.

83.7%

5 out of 6 clients had at least one unique contact phone number

MAILINGS

671
TOTAL

1.9

A total of 649 mailings were sent to a client address, an average of 1.9 per client.

0.1

A total of 26 mailings were sent to contact addresses, an average of 0.1 per client.

28.5%

Almost 30% of clients had at least one complete, unique contact address.

Client information was verified through external search in cases where (a) client contact information was incomplete; and (b) client contact information was incorrect. Overall, approximately 52.9% of all clients were searched with medium level effort and 28.9% of all clients were searched in-depth.

ONLINE SEARCH



92.3%

of all clients were searched with light effort (i.e., verification, VINE, Whitepages)

52.9%

of all clients were searched with medium effort (i.e., social media, other public directory databases)

28.9%

of all clients were searched with in-depth effort (i.e., in-depth searching methods)

**For more detail on the locating efforts of UK CDAR staff, please see Appendix B*

Of the 223 adults who completed a 6-month follow-up interview, 57.4% were female and 42.6% were male. The majority of follow-up clients were White (96.9%), 0.9% were African American and 2.2% were Hispanic, American Indian, or multiracial. They were an average of 32.5 years old at the time of the intake interview. The majority of follow-up clients (58.7%) were 30 years old or older at intake. Almost half of clients were never married (48.9%), 23.3% were separated or divorced, 26.0% were married and 1.8% were widowed. Half of follow-up clients (50.2%) had at least one child under age 18 who was living with them.

TABLE 1.2. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR KORTOS FOLLOW-UP CLIENTS AT INTAKE (N = 223)

AGE	32.5 years (range of 18-61)
GENDER	
Female	57.4%
Male	42.6%
Transgender	0.0%
RACE	
White	96.9%
African American	0.9%
Other or multiracial	2.2%
MARITAL STATUS	
Never married	48.9%
Separated or divorced	23.3%
Married	26.0%
Widowed	1.8%
CLIENT HAS CHILD(REN) (under 18) LIVING WITH THEM	50.2%

When those with a follow-up interview were compared with those who did not have a follow-up interview on a variety of intake variables, there were some significant differences for demographics, substance use, mental health, physical health, and economic hardship. Specifically, more females completed a follow-up interview than did not. Many of the significant differences between clients who were followed up and those who were not may, therefore, be due to gender differences between the two groups. For example, more of the clients who completed a follow-up survey reported they had depression, generalized anxiety, had a chronic medical problem, and difficulty meeting basic living and health care needs for financial reasons in the 6 months before treatment intake when compared to clients who were not followed up. However, there were some differences that may not be due to gender differences in the follow-up sample. For example, significantly more clients who were followed up reported using non-prescribed buprenorphine, non-prescribed methadone, heroin, and stimulants compared to clients who did not complete a follow-up interview.

See Appendix C for detailed comparisons of clients who completed a follow-up interview (n = 223) and clients who did not complete a follow-up interview (n = 480).

SECTION 2


CLIENT SATISFACTION WITH OPIATE TREATMENT PROGRAMS (OTPS) AND QUALITY OF LIFE RATINGS

At the beginning of the follow-up interview, clients are asked to give their opinions and feedback regarding their program experience. The items measured in this report include: (1) overall client satisfaction with the OTP; (2) clients' ratings of program experiences; (3) positive and negative aspects of OTP participation; (4) quality of life ratings; (5) clients' positive and negative feelings; and (6) satisfaction with life.

OVERALL CLIENT SATISFACTION

At the beginning of the follow-up interview, clients are asked to rate their experience at the OTP on a scale from 1 representing the worst possible experience to 10 representing the best possible experience. The mean rating given by clients in the follow-up sample was 8.4, with 76.2% of clients giving a rating of 8 through 10 (see Figure 2.1).

FIGURE 2.1. RATING OF EXPERIENCE AT THE OTP (N = 223)



CLIENT RATINGS OF PROGRAM EXPERIENCES


When asked a series of program satisfaction questions, the majority of clients indicated each aspect of their experience was positive (see Figure 2.2). The vast majority of clients reported that they were treated with respect, understood the expectations of the program, felt better about themselves, and got the services needed to get better at the OTP.

FIGURE 2.2. PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS THAT AGREED OR STRONGLY AGREED WITH STATEMENTS ABOUT THEIR OTP EXPERIENCE (N = 223)



POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE ASPECTS OF OTP

Clients were asked to identify the three most positive aspects of their participation with the OTP (Figure 2.3). The majority (65.9%) of clients reported that reductions in substance use was a positive outcome and 53.4% stated that improved interactions and relationships with others was a positive aspect. Less than half of clients (44.8%) believed changes in their financial situation and employment were a positive outcome. Over one-quarter of clients (30.9%) said a change in their mental health and how they felt about themselves was a positive outcome of their OTP experience. Other positive outcomes were physical health, quality of treatment, education, and other positive changes (e.g., healthy baby, custody of children, normal life).


FIGURE 2.3. PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS REPORTING POSITIVE ASPECTS OF THE OTP (N = 221)¹³

Aspects of treatment that clients identified as problematic or needing improvement are displayed in Figure 2.4. The negative aspects of the OTP program suggest barriers that clients must overcome to participate in the program including the cost and time investment. Specifically, cost of the OTP and time away from work, household, or other responsibilities were most frequently mentioned as negative aspects of OTP (18.4% and 15.2% respectively). Just over 12% stated that interactions with OTP staff or clients were sometimes problematic. Other areas of

¹³ Two clients had missing data for these items.

difficulty included how the facility is run (e.g., wait time, rules being too strict; 10.8%), transportation problems (10.3%), the quality of counseling (e.g., not enough counseling; 10.3%), problems with the methadone itself (3.6%) and other negative aspects (1.8%). Less than 1% of clients mentioned high staff turnover as negative aspects of their OTP experience.

FIGURE 2.4. PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS REPORTING NEGATIVE ASPECTS OF THE OTP (N = 221)¹⁴



QUALITY OF LIFE AND SATISFACTION WITH LIFE


There were three quality of life and satisfaction with life indexes used including: (1) quality of life rating, (2) positive and negative feelings, and (3) satisfaction with life.

QUALITY OF LIFE RATINGS

At intake and follow-up, clients were asked to rate their quality of life at the time of the interview. Ratings were from 1='Worst imaginable' to 5='Good and bad parts were about equal' to 10='Best imaginable'. KORTOS clients rated their quality of life at intake on average as a 4.3 (see Figure 2.5). The average rating of quality of life significantly increased to 7.5 at follow-up.

AVERAGE RATING OF QUALITY OF LIFE SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED FROM 4.3 AT INTAKE TO 7.5 AT FOLLOW-UP

FIGURE 2.5. PERCEPTION OF QUALITY OF LIFE BEFORE AND AFTER THE OTP (N = 220)¹⁵



1, worst imaginable; 5, good and bad parts are equal; 10, best imaginable

***p < .001.

¹⁴ Two clients had missing data for these items.

¹⁵ Three clients had missing data for these items.

POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FEELINGS


At intake and follow-up, clients were asked a set of questions about how often they experienced 6 positive and 6 negative emotions/states in the past month (Scale of Positive and Negative Experience [SPANE]¹⁶). Clients answered using a scale with 1 representing “Very rarely or never” to 5 “Very often or always.” The responses are then added for the 6 positive items, yielding a Positive Feelings Score, and the same scoring method is used for the Negative Feelings Score. The lowest possible score is 6 and the highest possible score is 30. Low scores on the Positive Feelings Scale indicate the client rarely or infrequently experienced the six positive emotions/states. A high score on the Positive Feelings Scale indicates the client very often or frequently experienced the six positive emotions/states. To determine the overall affect balance (or the balance of negative and positive feelings about one’s life), the score derived from the negative feelings score is subtracted from the positive feelings score (with -24 being the minimum and unhappiest to 24 being the happiest). For example, a client with a high affect balance score reports that she rarely experiences negative feelings and very often has positive feelings.

“It was great to find the perfect program for me.”

- KORTOS client quote

Figure 2.6 shows that clients’ positive feelings increased significantly and their negative feelings decreased significantly from intake to follow-up. Further, the affect balance score also increased significantly from intake to follow-up. The affect balance score of -5.9 at intake indicates that clients’ negative feelings were more frequent than their positive feelings, whereas the significantly higher and more positive score at follow-up indicates that clients’ positive feelings were more frequent than their negative feelings.

FIGURE 2.6. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FEELINGS BEFORE INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 217)¹⁷



***p < .001.

SATISFACTION WITH LIFE

At intake and follow-up, clients were presented with five statements and asked to respond how much they agreed or disagreed with each statement, using a scale with 1 representing “Strongly disagree” and 5 representing “Strongly agree”.¹⁸ Each statement is a positively worded aspect of high satisfaction with one’s life. One statement, for example, is “In most ways my life is close to my ideal.” The values assigned to each response are added to create a life satisfaction score. The lowest possible score is 5 and the highest possible score is 25. Lower scores indicate lower satisfaction and higher scores represent higher satisfaction. Figure 2.7 shows that clients’ scores


¹⁶ Diener, E., Wirtz, D., Tov, W., Kim-Prieto, C., Choi, D., Oishi, S., & Biswas-Diener, R. (2009). New measures of well-being: Flourishing and positive and negative feelings. *Social Indicators Research*, 39, 247-266.

¹⁷ Six cases had missing data because an older version of the follow-up survey was used in error.

¹⁸ Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The Satisfaction with Life Scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 49, 71-75.

on the satisfaction with life scale increased significantly from intake to follow-up.

FIGURE 2.7. SATISFACTION WITH LIFE BEFORE INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 218)¹⁹



***p < .001.

¹⁹ Five cases had missing data for this item.

SECTION 3

SUBSTANCE USE

This section describes change in illegal drug, alcohol, and tobacco use for adult clients from intake to follow-up. Past 6-month substance use is examined as well as past 30-day substance use for clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment or the follow-up interview. Results for each targeted factor are presented for the overall sample and by gender when there were significant gender differences.

Changes in illegal drug, alcohol and tobacco use before entering the OTP and during the 6 month follow-up period are presented in this section. In addition to examining the overall use of illegal drugs, several specific categories of illegal drugs were examined including: (a) prescription opioid use [including opiates such as morphine, Percocet, Oxycontin, Lortab], (b) non-prescribed methadone, (c) non-prescribed buprenorphine, (d) heroin, and (e) non-opioid drugs other than those mentioned above [including marijuana, cocaine, amphetamines, tranquilizers, hallucinogens, inhalants, and barbiturates]. Analysis is presented in detail for KORTOS study participants who were not in a controlled environment for the entire period of 6 months and/or 30 days before entering treatment. Changes in substance use from intake to follow-up are presented in 4 main groups and organized by type of substance use:

1. **Change in past-6-month illegal drug, alcohol and tobacco use from intake to follow-up.** Comparisons of any illegal drugs, prescription opiates, non-prescribed methadone, non-prescribed buprenorphine, heroin, other non-opioid drug use, alcohol, and tobacco in the 6 months before the client entered the program and any use of illegal drugs and alcohol during the 6-month follow-up period (n = 223) are presented.
2. **Average number of months clients used substances at intake and follow-up.** For those who used any illegal drugs, alcohol, or tobacco, the average number of months of use before program entry and during the follow-up period are reported.
3. **Change in 30-day substance use from intake to follow-up.** In addition to looking at past 6-month substance use, change in any use in the 30 days before entering the OTP and the 30 days before the follow-up interview for any illegal drug use (including prescription opiates, non-prescribed methadone, non-prescribed buprenorphine, heroin, and other non-opioid drugs), alcohol use, and tobacco use (n = 218)²⁰ is also examined. Because some clients were in a controlled environment (e.g., prison, jail, or residential facility) all 30 days before entering treatment (n = 5), changes in drug, alcohol, and tobacco use from intake to follow-up was analyzed for only clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment or follow-up.
4. **Change in self-reported alcohol and drug composite scores from intake to follow-up.** The Addiction Severity Index (ASI) composite scores are examined for change over time for illegal drugs (n = 203), alcohol (n = 46) and those with both alcohol and illegal drug use among clients who used drugs and/or alcohol (n = 209). The ASI composite score assesses self-reported addiction severity even among those reporting no substance use in the past 30 days. The alcohol and drug composite scores are computed from items about 30-day alcohol (or drug) use and the number of days individuals used multiple drugs in a day, as well as the impact of substance use on the individual's life, such as money spent on alcohol, number of days individuals had alcohol (or drug) problems, how troubled or bothered individuals were by their alcohol (or drug) problems, and how important treatment was to them.


²⁰ Because some clients enter treatment after leaving jail or prison, substance use in the 30 days before entering the program was examined for clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days. The assumption for excluding clients who were in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment (n = 5) from the change in past 30-day substance use analysis is that being in a controlled environment inhibits opportunities for alcohol and drug use.

ALCOHOL AND DRUG USE

The majority of clients (97.7%) reported using alcohol and/or illegal drugs in the 6 months before entering the OTP, which decreased to 38.6% at follow-up. There was a 60.5% significant decrease in the number of clients reporting use of alcohol and/or illegal drugs (see Figure 3.1).

61% FEWER CLIENTS REPORTED ALCOHOL AND/OR ILLEGAL DRUG USE AT FOLLOW-UP

FIGURE 3.1. PAST-6-MONTH ALCOHOL AND/OR DRUG USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 220)²¹



***p < .001.


ANY ILLEGAL DRUGS

Any Illegal Drug Use, Past 6 Months

Almost all clients (96.8%) reported using illegal drugs in the 6 months before entering the OTP, which decreased to 31.5% at follow-up. Overall, for the KORTOS follow-up sample, there was a 67.5% significant decrease in the number of clients reporting use of any illegal drugs (see Figure 3.2).

THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS REPORTING ILLEGAL DRUG USE DECREASED BY 68%

FIGURE 3.2. PAST-6-MONTH ILLEGAL DRUG USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 219)²²



***p < .001.


²¹ Three cases had missing data on this variable at follow-up.

²² Four clients had missing values on use of tranquilizers at follow-up.

Average Number of Months Used Any Illegal Drugs

Clients who reported any illegal drug use at intake (n = 212) reported an average maximum of 5.8 months of use in the 6 months before OTP entry. Among clients who reported any illegal drug use in the 6 months before follow-up (n = 69), the maximum number of months they reported using any drug was, on average, 2.8 months (see Figure 3.3).


FIGURE 3.3. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS CLIENTS USED ILLEGAL DRUGS



Any Illegal Drug Use, Past 30 Days

There was a significant decrease in past-30-day illegal drug use from intake to follow-up (see Figure 3.4). At intake, 95.3% of clients reported any illegal drug use in the 30 days before entering the OTP. At follow-up 16.4% of clients reported any illegal drug use in the past 30 days, which is a significant decrease of 82.8%.

FIGURE 3.4. PAST-30-DAY USE OF ANY ILLEGAL DRUGS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 214)²³



***p < .001.


Gender Differences in Illegal Drug Use, Past 30 Days

In the 30 days before OTP entry, 95.9% of women and 94.6% of men reported using illegal drugs. The number of men and women who reported using illegal drugs decreased significantly by follow-up; however, the decrease was greater for men (89.8%) than for women (77.6%). By follow-up, significantly more women than men reported using illegal drugs, 21.5% vs. 9.7% (see Figure 3.5).



**SIGNIFICANTLY MORE WOMEN
THAN MEN REPORTED ILLEGAL
DRUG USE IN THE 30 DAYS
BEFORE FOLLOW-UP**

²³ Four cases had missing values on tranquilizer use at follow-up.

FIGURE 3.5. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PAST 30-DAY ILLEGAL DRUG USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP^a

a—Significant difference by gender at follow-up, $p < .05$.
 *** $p < .001$.


PRESCRIPTION OPIOID MISUSE

PRESCRIPTION OPIOID MISUSE, PAST 6 MONTHS

The majority of KORTOS clients (80.3%) reported misusing prescription opioids (such as morphine, Percocet, Oxycontin, Lortab) in the 6 months before OTP entry. At follow-up, 10.3% of clients reported misusing prescription opioids (see Figure 3.6). This means there was an 87.2% significant decrease in the number of clients reporting prescription opioid misuse.

THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS REPORTING
PRESCRIPTION OPIOID MISUSE
DECREASED BY 87%

FIGURE 3.6. PAST-6-MONTH PRESCRIPTION OPIOID MISUSE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




*** $p < .001$.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS MISUSED PRESCRIPTION OPIOIDS

Figure 3.7 shows the average number of months prescription opioid users reported misusing prescription opioids at intake and during the 6 month follow-up. Among the clients who reported misusing prescription opioids before entering the program ($n = 179$), clients reported using prescription opioids an average of 5.6 of the 6 months. Among clients who reported misusing opioids at follow-up ($n = 23$), clients reported using an average of 2.4 of the 6 months before follow-up.


FIGURE 3.7. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS CLIENTS USED PRESCRIPTION OPIOIDS



PRESCRIPTION OPIOID MISUSE, PAST 30 DAYS

There was a significant decrease in the past-30-day misuse of prescription opioids from intake to follow-up (Figure 3.8). At intake, 76.1% of clients reported misuse of prescription opioids in the 30 days before entering the OTP. At follow-up 3.7% of clients reported use of prescription opioids. This reflects a significant decrease of 95.2% in the number of clients reporting misuse of prescription opioids.

FIGURE 3.8. PAST-30-DAY PRESCRIPTION OPIOID MISUSE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 218)



***p < .001.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PRESCRIPTION OPIOID MISUSE, PAST 30 DAYS

“The hours are convenient and the staff is very friendly. My counselor is a great person.”


- KORTOS client quote

In the 30 days before OTP entry, significantly more men (83.0%) than women (71.0%) reported misusing prescription opioids. The number of men and women



SIGNIFICANTLY MORE MEN THAN WOMEN REPORTED MISUSING PRESCRIPTION OPIOIDS AT INTAKE

who reported misusing prescription opioids decreased significantly by follow-up (96.2% and 94.3%, respectively). By follow-up, there was no difference in the percentage of men and women reporting misuse of prescription opioids (see Figure 3.9).

FIGURE 3.9 GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PAST 30-DAY PRESCRIPTION OPIOID MISUSE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP^a

a—Significant difference by gender at intake, $p < .05$.
 *** $p < .001$.


NON-PRESCRIBED USE OF METHADONE

NON-PRESCRIBED METHADONE, PAST 6 MONTHS

About 3 in 10 KORTOS clients reported using non-prescribed methadone in the 6 months before intake, and at follow-up, only 2.7% of clients reported non-prescribed use of methadone. This is a 91.3% significant decrease in the number of clients reporting non-prescribed use of methadone (see Figure 3.10).

**91% FEWER CLIENTS REPORTED
NON-PRESCRIBED METHADONE USE AT
FOLLOW-UP**

FIGURE 3.10. PAST-6-MONTH NON-PRESCRIBED METHADONE USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




*** $p < .001$.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS USED NON-PRESCRIBED METHADONE

Among the clients who reported non-prescribed use of methadone in the 6 months before entering the program ($n = 69$), they reported using on average 3.2 months (see Figure 3.11). Among clients who reported non-prescribed use of methadone in the 6 months before follow-up ($n = 6$), they also reported using, on average, 3.2 months.


FIGURE 3.11. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS CLIENTS USED NON-PRESCRIBED METHADONE



NON-PRESCRIBED METHADONE, PAST 30 DAYS

A little over one quarter of clients (28.0%) reported using non-prescribed methadone in the 30 days before entering the OTP, and at follow-up 1.4% of clients reported past-30-day use of non-prescribed methadone (Figure 3.12). There was a 95.1% significant decrease in the number of clients who reported past 30 day use of non-prescribed methadone.

FIGURE 3.12. PAST-30-DAY NON-PRESCRIBED METHADONE USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 218)



***p < .001.


NON-PRESCRIBED USE OF BUPRENORPHINE

NON-PRESCRIBED USE OF BUPRENORPHINE, PAST 6 MONTHS

Figure 3.13 shows that over one-third (38.6%) of KORTOS clients reported using non-prescribed buprenorphine in the 6 months before intake. At follow-up, only 4.0% of clients reported using non-prescribed buprenorphine--a significant decrease of 89.5%.

90% FEWER CLIENTS REPORTED BUPRENORPHINE USE AT FOLLOW-UP


FIGURE 3.13. PAST-6-MONTH NON-PRESCRIBED USE OF BUPRENORPHINE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)



AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS USED NON-PRESCRIBED BUPRENORPHINE

Among the clients who reported non-prescribed use of buprenorphine in the 6 months before entering the program (n = 86), they reported using non-prescribed buprenorphine, on average, 2.2 months (see Figure 3.14). Among clients who reported non-prescribed use of buprenorphine in the 6 months before follow-up (n = 9), they reported using, on average, 2.3 months.


FIGURE 3.14. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS CLIENTS USED NON-PRESCRIBED BUPRENORPHINE



NON-PRESCRIBED BUPRENORPHINE, PAST 30 DAYS

At intake, 27.5% of clients reported using non-prescribed buprenorphine in the 30 days before entering the OTP (see Figure 3.15). At follow-up, only 0.9% of clients reported past-30-day use of non-prescribed buprenorphine--a significant decrease of 96.7%.

FIGURE 3.15. PAST-30-DAY NON-PRESCRIBED BUPRENORPHINE USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 218)




HEROIN

HEROIN USE, PAST 6 MONTHS

Three in five clients (60.5%) reported using heroin in the 6 months before entering treatment, which significantly decreased 81.5% to 11.2% at follow-up (see Figure 3.16).

**THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS REPORTING
HEROIN USE DECREASED BY 82%**

FIGURE 3.16. PAST-6-MONTH HEROIN USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




***p < .001.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS USED HEROIN

Among the clients who reported using heroin in the 6 months before entering treatment (n = 135), they reported using heroin, on average, 4.7 months (see Figure 3.17). Among clients who reported using heroin in the 6 months before follow-up (n = 25), they reported using, on average, 2.2 months.

FIGURE 3.17. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS CLIENTS USED HEROIN




HEROIN USE, PAST 30 DAYS

Slightly over one half of clients (53.7%) reported using heroin in the 30 days before intake. At follow-up, 4.1% reported using heroin in the past 30 days, a significant decrease of 92.3% (see Figure 3.18).

**THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS REPORTING
HEROIN USE IN THE PAST 30 DAYS
DECREASED BY 92%**

FIGURE 3.18. PAST-30-DAY HEROIN USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 218)




***p < .001.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN HEROIN USE, PAST 30 DAYS

A little over half of men (54.3%) and women (53.2%) reported heroin use in the 30 days before intake (see Figure 3.19). The number of women and men who reported heroin use significantly decreased from intake to follow-up by 87.9% and 98.0% respectively. However, the decrease was greater for men, such that, at follow-up significantly more women reported using other heroin compared to men.

FIGURE 3.19. GENDER DIFFERENCES 30-DAY HEROIN USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP^a



^a—Significant difference by gender at follow-up, p < .05.


***p < .001.

TREND REPORT

OPIOID/OPIATE USE

The majority of KORTOS clients report using prescription opiates in the 30 days before entering treatment. When looking at trends over time for all clients with completed intake surveys, the percentage of clients using prescription opiates peaked in calendar year 2008 and has steadily dropped. Similarly, the percentage of clients who reported using non-prescribed methadone in the 30 days before entering treatment has declined since calendar year 2007 until 2013. The percentage of clients who reported using buprenorphine remained stable from 2007 through 2011 and then increased in 2012 and 2013. The most notable change in substance use among KORTOS clients is for heroin. Small percentages of KORTOS clients reported using heroin in the 30 days before entering treatment from 2007 through 2011. The percentage tripled from 2011 (8.8%) to 2012 (26.3%) and then the percentage nearly doubled from 26.3% in 2012 to 48.1% in 2013. These trends are very similar when examining only those clients who were followed-up (see Appendix D).

Percentage of All Clients With a Completed Intake Survey Reporting Non-Prescribed Use of Prescription Opiates, Methadone, Buprenorphine, and Heroin in the 30 Days Before Entering Treatment at the OTP (N = 6,834)²⁴



²⁴ Clients who reported being in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment (n = 67) are not included in this analysis.


OTHER NON-OPIOID DRUG USE

OTHER NON-OPIOID DRUGS, PAST 6 MONTHS

About three-fourths of clients (76.3%) used illegal drugs other than prescription opiates, non-prescribed methadone, non-prescribed buprenorphine, or heroin in the 6 months before entering the program (see Figure 3.20). Drugs in this category include marijuana, cocaine, amphetamines, tranquilizers, hallucinogens, inhalants, barbiturates, and synthetic drugs (like synthetic marijuana or bath salts). The number of clients who reported use of other non-opioid drugs decreased to 21.9% at follow-up (a significant decrease of 71.3%).

**71% FEWER CLIENTS REPORTED
OTHER NON-OPIOID DRUG USE AT
FOLLOW-UP**

FIGURE 3.20. PAST-6-MONTH OTHER NON-OPIOID DRUG USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 219)²⁵




***p < .001.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS USED OTHER NON-OPIOID DRUGS

Figure 3.21 shows the maximum number of months clients that used other non-opioid drugs reported using these illegal drugs (e.g., marijuana, cocaine, amphetamine, tranquilizers, barbiturates, inhalants, hallucinogens, synthetic drugs).²⁶ Among the clients who reported using other non-opioid drugs in the 6 months before entering the program (n = 167), the maximum number of months clients reported using any of these drugs was an average of 4.3 months. Among clients who reported using other non-opioid drugs in the 6 months before follow-up (n = 48), the maximum number of months clients reported using any of these drugs was an average of 2.5 months.

FIGURE 3.21. AVERAGE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF MONTHS CLIENTS USED OTHER NON-OPIOID DRUGS




²⁵ Four cases had missing values on months of tranquilizer use at follow-up.

²⁶ Because number of months of use of each class of substance was measured separately (e.g., marijuana, cocaine, amphetamines, tranquilizers, barbiturates, hallucinogens, inhalants), the value is a calculation of the maximum number of months clients used any substance class.

OTHER NON-OPIOID DRUGS, PAST 30 DAYS

About two-thirds of clients (68.7%) reported using other non-opioid drugs in the 30 days before intake (see Figure 3.22). At follow-up, 10.7% of clients reported other non-opioid drug use, which is an 84.4% significant decrease.

FIGURE 3.22. PAST-30-DAY OTHER NON-OPIOID DRUG USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 214)²⁷



***p < .001.

ALCOHOL USE

There were three measures of alcohol use including: (1) any alcohol use, (2) alcohol use to intoxication, and (3) binge drinking. Binge drinking was defined as having 5 or more (4 or more if client was female) alcoholic drinks in a period of about 2 hours.²⁸

ALCOHOL USE, PAST 6 MONTHS

About 3 in 10 clients (29.1%) reported using alcohol in the 6 months before entering treatment while 15.7% of clients reported alcohol use in the 6 months before follow-up (see Figure 3.23). Overall, for the KORTOS follow-up sample, there was a 46.2% significant decrease in the number of clients reporting alcohol use. Smaller percentages of clients reported using alcohol to

THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS REPORTING
ALCOHOL USE IN THE PAST 30 DAYS
DECREASED BY 46%

"It was a very great place. It saved my life."


- KORTOS client quote

intoxication (17.9%) or binge drinking (15.7%) in the 6 months before entering treatment. There were significant decreases in the number of individuals who reported alcohol use to intoxication and binge drinking in the 6 months before follow-up.

²⁷ Four cases had missing values for tranquilizer use at follow-up.

²⁸ National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. (2004, Winter). NIAAA council approves definition of binge drinking. *NIAAA Newsletter, Winter 2004* (3). Rockville, MD: Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, national Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.

FIGURE 3.23. PAST-6-MONTH ALCOHOL USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




***p < .001.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS USED ALCOHOL

Figure 3.24 shows the average number of months alcohol users reported using alcohol at intake and follow-up. Among the clients who reported using alcohol in the 6 months before entering treatment (n = 65), they reported using alcohol, on average, 3.5 months. Among clients who reported using alcohol in the 6 months before follow-up (n = 35), they reported using, on average, 3.1 months.


FIGURE 3.24. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS OF ALCOHOL USE



ALCOHOL INTOXICATION AND BINGE DRINKING AMONG THOSE WHO USED ALCOHOL, PAST 6 MONTHS

Of the clients who used alcohol in the 6 months before entering treatment (n = 65), 61.5% used alcohol to intoxication and 53.8% reported binge drinking in the 6 month period (see Figure 3.25). Of the clients who used alcohol in the 6 months before follow-up (n = 35), 25.7% of clients reported alcohol use to intoxication and 20.0% reported binge drinking in the 6 month period.


FIGURE 3.25. PAST-6-MONTH ALCOHOL USE TO INTOXICATION AND BINGE DRINKING AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP, AMONG THOSE REPORTING ALCOHOL USE AT EACH POINT



ALCOHOL USE, PAST 30 DAYS

There was no significant decrease in the percentage of clients who reported using alcohol in the past 30 days from intake (15.1%) to follow-up (11.5%). The number of clients who reported using alcohol to intoxication decreased significantly 73.7% from intake to follow-up and the number of clients who reported binge drinking decreased significantly 81.3% from intake to follow-up.

FIGURE 3.26. PAST-30-DAY ALCOHOL USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 218)




**p < .01.

ALCOHOL INTOXICATION AND BINGE DRINKING AMONG THOSE WHO USED ALCOHOL IN THE PAST 30 DAYS

Of the 33 clients who used alcohol in the 30 days before intake, 57.6% used alcohol to intoxication and 48.5% binge drank in the 30 days before intake (see Figure 3.27).

Of the 25 clients who reported using alcohol in the 30 days before follow-up, 20.0% reported using alcohol to intoxication and 12.0% reported binge drinking in the 30 days before follow-up.

FIGURE 3.27. PAST-30-DAY ALCOHOL USE TO INTOXICATION AND BINGE DRINKING AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP, AMONG THOSE REPORTING ALCOHOL USE AT EACH POINT



SELF-REPORTED SEVERITY OF ALCOHOL AND DRUG USE

Another way to examine overall change in degree of severity of substance use is to use the Addiction Severity Index (ASI) composite score for alcohol and drug use. These composite scores are computed based on self-reported severity of past 30-day alcohol and drug use, taking into consideration a number of issues including:

- The number of days of alcohol (or drug) use,
- Money spent on alcohol,
- The number of days individuals used multiple drugs (for drug use composite score),
- The number of days individuals experienced problems related to their alcohol (or drug) use,
- How troubled or bothered they are by their alcohol (or drug) use, and
- How important treatment is to them for their alcohol (or drug) problems (see sidebar).

Change in the average ASI composite score for alcohol and drug use was examined for clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment. Also, individuals who reported abstaining from alcohol at intake and follow-up were not included in the analysis of change for alcohol composite score. Similarly, clients who reported abstaining from drugs at both intake and follow-up were not included in the analysis of change in drug composite score.

Figure 3.28 displays the change in average composite scores. The average for the alcohol composite score decreased

“My counselor is a great guy, saved my life.”

- KORTOS client quote


significantly from 0.19 at intake to 0.07 at follow-up. The average for the drug composite score decreased significantly from 0.38 at intake to 0.08 at follow-up.

ASI Alcohol and Drug Composite Scores and Substance Dependence

Rikoon et al. (2006) conducted two studies to determine the relationship between the ASI alcohol and drug use composite scores and DSM-IV substance dependence diagnosis. They identified alcohol and drug use composite score cutoffs that had 85% sensitivity and 80% specificity with regard to identifying DSM-IV substance dependence diagnosis: .17 for alcohol composite score and .16 for drug composite score. These composite score cutoffs can be used to estimate the number of individuals who are likely to meet criteria for active alcohol or drug dependence and to show reductions in self-reported severity of substance use. In previous years we have used the ASI composite scores to estimate the number and percentage of clients who met a threshold for alcohol and drug dependence. However, recent changes in the diagnostics for substance abuse call into question the distinction between dependence and abuse. While the clients who were followed up in this study were provided with treatment under previous DSM-IV-TR conditions, we relate their severity of use in terms that are compatible with current nosology. Thus, ASI composite scores that met the threshold can be considered indicative of severe substance use disorder to be compatible with current thinking about substance use disorders in the DSM-V (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), where we would have previously referred to them as meeting the threshold for dependence. Change from intake to follow-up in the severity rating has the same clinical relevance as moving from dependence to abuse in the older criteria.

Rikoon, S., Cacciola, J., Carise, D., Alterman, A., McLellan, A. (2006). Predicting DSM-IV dependence diagnoses from Addiction Severity Index composite scores. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 31(1), 17–24.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.


FIGURE 3.28. AVERAGE ASI ALCOHOL AND DRUG COMPOSITE SCORES AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP²⁹

p < .01, *p < .001.

The percentage of individuals who had ASI composite scores that met the cutoff for severe substance use disorder (SUD) decreased significantly from intake to follow-up (see Figure 3.29). A minority of KORTOS clients (21.0%, n = 46) reported using alcohol in the 30 days before intake and follow-up. Nearly half of individuals who used alcohol had alcohol composite scores that met the cutoff for severe SUD at intake. Only 13.0% of clients had an alcohol composite score that met the cutoff for severe SUD at follow-up, which was a significant decrease of 70.0%.

Nearly all of the individuals (98.5%) who used illegal drugs had drug composite scores that met the cutoff for severe SUD at intake. At follow-up, there was a significant decrease of 92.0% in the number of individuals who met criteria for severe drug use disorder.

FIGURE 3.29. INDIVIDUALS WITH ASI COMPOSITE SCORES MEETING THE CUTOFF FOR SEVERE SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP




p < .01, *p < .001.

²⁹ Among clients who used alcohol in the 30 days before intake or follow-up (n = 47), one client had missing values on one of the items that is part of the calculation for the alcohol composite score at follow-up. Among clients who used drugs in the 30 days before intake or follow-up (n = 208), five clients had missing values for at least one of the items that are part of the calculation for the drug composite score at follow-up.

Among the individuals who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering the OTP and who reported using alcohol and/or drugs at intake or follow-up, 10.0% of clients had alcohol and drug composite scores that met the cutoff for severe SUD at intake (see Figure 3.30). The percentage of clients who had composite scores that met the cutoff for severe SUD for both alcohol and drugs decreased significantly by 90.5% to 1.0% at follow-up.


FIGURE 3.30. CLIENTS WITH ASI COMPOSITE SCORES MEETING THE CUTOFF FOR BOTH ALCOHOL AND DRUG SEVERE SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 209)



***p < .001.

The data were examined to determine whether clients who had alcohol composite scores indicative of severe SUD at intake and follow-up differed by gender or age (see Figure 3.31).³⁰ There were no significant differences for alcohol composite scores indicative of severe SUD at intake and follow-up between any of the groups.


FIGURE 3.31. ALCOHOL-USING CLIENTS WITH AN ALCOHOL COMPOSITE SCORE INDICATIVE OF SEVERE SUD AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP BY DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS (N = 46)



Analyses were also conducted to determine if clients who had a drug composite score indicative of severe SUD at intake and follow-up differed by gender or age (see Figure 3.32). There were no significant differences for drug composite scores indicative of severe SUD at intake and follow-up between men and women or between younger and older clients.

³⁰ Race/ethnicity was not included in the analysis because there were only 7 clients who were considered non-white or multi-racial.


FIGURE 3.32. DRUG-USING CLIENTS WITH A DRUG COMPOSITE SCORE INDICATIVE OF SEVERE SUD AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP BY DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS (N = 203)



TOBACCO USE

SMOKING AND SMOKELESS TOBACCO, PAST 6 MONTHS

There was no change in smoking and smokeless tobacco use from intake to follow-up (see Figure 3.33). Most clients reported using tobacco in the 6 months before entering treatment (86.1%) and in the 6 months before follow-up (79.4%). A small minority of clients reported using smokeless tobacco in the 6 months before intake (5.0%) and follow-up (6.4%).

FIGURE 3.33. PAST-6-MONTH TOBACCO USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)³¹


GENDER DIFFERENCES IN SMOKELESS TOBACCO IN THE PAST 6 MONTHS

Even though only a small percentage of clients reported using smokeless tobacco in the 6 months before entering treatment and follow-up, there was a significant difference by gender; the only clients who reported using smokeless tobacco were men (see Figure 3.34).



SIGNIFICANTLY MORE MEN REPORTED USING SMOKELESS TOBACCO IN THE PAST 6 MONTHS AT BOTH INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP

³¹ Five cases had missing values for smokeless tobacco variables at follow-up.


FIGURE 3.34. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PAST-6-MONTH SMOKELESS TOBACCO USE FROM INTAKE TO FOLLOW-UP^a

a—Significant difference by gender at intake and follow-up, $p < .001$.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS OF SMOKING TOBACCO

Figure 3.35 shows that among clients who reported using tobacco in the 6 months before entering treatment ($n = 192$), they reported using tobacco, on average, 5.9 months. There was no change in the average number of months clients who smoked tobacco reported smoking tobacco in the 6 months before follow-up (5.9 months).


FIGURE 3.35. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS OF SMOKING TOBACCO USE



AVERAGE NUMBER OF CIGARETTES SMOKED

The average number of cigarettes clients reported smoking at intake and follow-up decreased slightly (see Figure 3.36). Of those who smoked tobacco at intake, clients reported smoking an average of 19.5 cigarettes per day. At follow-up, among clients who reported smoking tobacco, they reported smoking an average of 18.5 cigarettes per day.


FIGURE 3.36. NUMBER OF CIGARETTES SMOKED IN AN AVERAGE DAY AMONG CLIENTS WHO SMOKED TOBACCO



TOBACCO, PAST-30-DAY USE

The number of clients who reported any past-30-day smoking and smokeless tobacco use did not change from intake to follow-up (see Figure 3.37).


FIGURE 3.37. PAST-30-DAY TOBACCO USE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP³²



GENDER DIFFERENCES IN SMOKELESS TOBACCO IN THE PAST 30 DAYS

Similar to the pattern found for gender differences in using smokeless tobacco in the past 6 months, significantly more men than women reported using smokeless tobacco at intake and follow-up (see Figure 3.38).

FIGURE 3.38. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PAST-30-DAY SMOKELESS TOBACCO USE FROM INTAKE TO FOLLOW-UP^a



a—Significant difference by gender at intake and follow-up, $p < .001$.

³² Five cases had missing values for the smokeless tobacco variables at follow-up.

SECTION 4

MENTAL HEALTH, STRESS, AND PHYSICAL HEALTH

This section examines changes in mental health, stress, and physical health from intake to follow-up. Specifically, this section examines: (1) depression; (2) generalized anxiety; (3) comorbid depression and generalized anxiety; (4) suicide ideation and attempts; (5) stress-related health consequences; (6) perceptions of physical and mental health; (6) chronic pain; and (7) body mass index. The mental health and physical health questions in the KORTOS intake and follow-up surveys were self-report measures.

DEPRESSION SYMPTOMS

To assess depression, participants were first asked two screening questions:


1. “Did you have a two-week period when you were consistently depressed or down, most of the day, nearly every day?” and
2. “Did you have a two-week period when you were much less interested in most things or much less able to enjoy the things you used to enjoy most of the time?”

If participants answered “yes” to at least one of these two screening questions, they were then asked seven additional questions about symptoms of depression (e.g., sleep problems, weight loss or gain, feelings of hopelessness or worthlessness). To meet study criteria for depression, clients had to say “yes” to at least one of the two screening questions and at least 4 of the other symptoms.

The majority of clients (64.1%) met criteria for depression in the 6 months before they entered treatment (see Figure 4.1). At follow-up, 11.7% met criteria for depression—a significant decrease of 81.8%.

THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS MEETING CRITERIA FOR DEPRESSION DECREASED SIGNIFICANTLY BY 82% FROM INTAKE TO FOLLOW-UP

FIGURE 4.1. MEETING STUDY CRITERIA FOR DEPRESSION AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)



***p < .001.

ANXIETY SYMPTOMS

To assess for generalized anxiety symptoms, participants were first asked:


“In the 6 months before you entered this program, did you have a period lasting 6 months or longer where you worried excessively or were anxious about multiple things on more days than not (like family, health, finances, school, or work difficulties)?”

Participants who answered “yes” were then asked 6 additional questions about anxiety symptoms (e.g., felt restless, keyed up or on edge, have difficulty concentrating, feel irritable). To meet study criteria for generalized anxiety, clients had to answer “yes” to the screening question and to at least 3 of the symptom items.

In the 6 months before entering treatment, 73.5% of clients reported symptoms that met study criteria for generalized anxiety and 15.2% reported symptoms at follow-up – a significant decrease of 79.3% (see Figure 4.2).

THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS MEETING CRITERIA FOR **GENERALIZED ANXIETY** DECREASED SIGNIFICANTLY BY 79%

FIGURE 4.2. CLIENTS MEETING STUDY CRITERIA FOR GENERALIZED ANXIETY AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




***p < .001.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN GENERALIZED ANXIETY SYMPTOMS

Significantly more women met criteria for generalized anxiety at intake compared to men (see Figure 4.3). The number of women who met criteria for generalized anxiety decreased significantly by 78.3% from intake to follow-up. The number of men who met criteria for generalized anxiety decreased significantly by 81.0% at follow-up.



SIGNIFICANTLY MORE WOMEN THAN MEN MET CRITERIA FOR GENERALIZED ANXIETY AT INTAKE

FIGURE 4.3. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS MEETING SELF-REPORTED DSM-IV CRITERIA FOR GENERALIZED ANXIETY^a


^a—Statistical difference by gender at intake, $p < .001$.

*** $p < .001$.

COMORBID DEPRESSION AND ANXIETY SYMPTOMS

Figure 4.4 shows that at intake, over half of clients (57.0%) met study criteria for both depression and generalized anxiety and there was a significant decrease of 85.0% to 8.5% at follow-up.

FIGURE 4.4. CLIENTS MEETING STUDY CRITERIA FOR COMORBID DEPRESSION AND GENERALIZED ANXIETY AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)



*** $p < .001$.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN COMORBID DEPRESSION AND GENERALIZED ANXIETY SYMPTOMS

Significantly more women met criteria for comorbid depression and generalized anxiety at intake compared to men (see Figure 4.5). The number of women who met criteria for depression and generalized anxiety decreased significantly by 85.2%, from 63.3% at intake to 9.4% at follow-up. The number of men who reported comorbid depression and anxiety symptoms decreased significantly by 84.8%, from 48.4% at intake to 7.4% at follow-up.






FIGURE 4.5. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS MEETING STUDY CRITERIA FOR COMORBID DEPRESSION AND GENERALIZED ANXIETY AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP^a

a—Statistical difference by gender at intake, $p < .05$.
 *** $p < .001$.

SUICIDE IDEATION AND/OR ATTEMPTS

Suicide ideation and attempts were measured with self-reported questions about thoughts of suicide, specific plans for suicide, and actual attempts to commit suicide. There was a significant 81.1% decrease in the number of clients reporting suicidal ideation and attempts from intake (16.6%) to follow-up (3.1%; see Figure 4.6).

FIGURE 4.6. CLIENTS REPORTING SUICIDAL IDEATION AND/OR ATTEMPTS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)



*** $p < .001$.

STRESS-RELATED HEALTH CONSEQUENCES


Clients were also asked about their physiological symptoms often associated with higher stress with questions from the Stress-Related Health Consequences Scale.³³ The scale contains 15 symptoms and clients indicate how often they have experienced these symptoms in the past 7 days (e.g., experienced unexplained aches and pains, slept poorly, experienced an increased heart rate). Higher scores on the scale indicate higher stress and greater physiological indicators of stress. The minimum score is 0 and the maximum score is 75. For the overall sample, scores decreased significantly from 35.6 at intake to 7.5 at follow-up, representing a significant decrease of 78.9% (see Figure 4.7).

“They really do care about your recovery and get you to talk even when you don’t want to.”

- KORTOS client quote

³³ Measure created by Logan, TK and Walker, R. Stress-Related Health Consequences.

FIGURE 4.7. AVERAGE SCORES ON THE STRESS-RELATED HEALTH CONSEQUENCES SCALE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP
(N = 223)




***p < .001.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN STRESS-RELATED HEALTH CONSEQUENCES

Figure 4.8 shows that Stress-Related Health Consequences Scale scores for men decreased significantly by 83.5% and women's scores decreased significantly by 75.9%. At intake, women's average scores were significantly higher than men's scores (37.7 compared to 32.7) and were still significantly higher at follow-up (9.1 compared to 5.4).

AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP, WOMEN'S AVERAGE STRESS-RELATED HEALTH CONSEQUENCES SCORES WERE SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER THAN MEN'S

FIGURE 4.8. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN AVERAGE SCORES ON THE STRESS-RELATED HEALTH CONSEQUENCES SCALE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP^a




^a—Statistical difference by gender at intake (p < .05) and follow-up (p < .01).
***p < .001.

PERCEPTIONS OF PHYSICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH

Clients were asked how many days in the past 30 days their physical and mental health were not good, at intake and follow-up (see Figure 4.9). The number of days clients reported their physical health was not good decreased significantly by 79.2% from an average of 10.1 days at intake to 2.1 days at follow-up. The number of days clients' mental health was not good decreased significantly by 85.9% from intake (16.3) to follow-up (2.3).

FIGURE 4.9. PERCEPTIONS OF POOR PHYSICAL HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH IN THE PAST 30 DAYS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




***p < .001.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PERCEPTIONS OF PHYSICAL HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH

Women's average reported number of days their physical health and mental health was not good were higher at intake compared to men (see Figure 4.10). By follow-up, there was no significant difference by gender.

FIGURE 4.10. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN NUMBER OF DAYS IN THE PAST 30 DAYS PHYSICAL HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH WERE NOT GOOD^a




a—Statistical difference by gender at intake, p < .01.

***p < .001.

PERCEPTIONS OF POOR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH LIMITING ACTIVITIES

Clients were also asked to report the number of days in the past 30 days poor physical or mental health had kept them from doing their usual activities. The number of days clients reported their physical or mental health kept them from doing their usual activities decreased significantly by 85.7% from 12.6 days at intake to 1.8 days at follow-up (see Figure 4.11).

FIGURE 4.11. PERCEPTIONS OF POOR PHYSICAL HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH LIMITING ACTIVITIES IN THE PAST 30 DAYS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 222)³⁴




***p < .001.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN PERCEPTIONS OF PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH LIMITING ACTIVITIES

The average number of days clients indicated their poor physical or mental health had kept them from doing their usual activities was higher for women than for men at intake (see Figure 4.12). The number of days their poor physical or mental health limited activities decreased significantly for women (87.5%) and men (82.4%).

FIGURE 4.12. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN THE NUMBER OF DAYS POOR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH KEPT CLIENT FROM DOING USUAL ACTIVITIES^a



a—Statistical difference by gender at intake, p < .001.

***p < .001.


³⁴ One case had a missing value for this item at follow-up.

PHYSICAL HEALTH ISSUES

CHRONIC PAIN

The percentage of clients who reported chronic pain that was persistent and lasts at least 3 months decreased by more than half from intake to follow-up (see Figure 4.13). At intake, 39.9% of clients reported chronic pain and 18.8% of clients reported chronic pain at follow-up.

FIGURE 4.13. CLIENTS REPORTING CHRONIC PAIN AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




***p < .001.

BODY MASS INDEX

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from clients' self-reported height and weight at intake and follow-up (see Figure 4.14).³⁵ Because their overall body size is larger, the BMI for men were calculated separately from women so as to get a more accurate picture of the BMI of KORTOS clients. There was no significant change in BMI for men or women.

FIGURE 4.14. BODY MASS INDEX BASED ON SELF-REPORTED HEIGHT AND WEIGHT AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 221)



³⁵ Two cases had missing weight at follow-up.

SECTION 5


EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT

This section examines changes in education and employment from intake to follow-up including: (1) highest level of education completed; (2) the percentage of clients who worked full-time or part-time; (3) the number of months clients were employed full-time or part-time; and (4) hourly wage. Results for each targeted factor are presented for the overall sample and separately by gender when there were significant differences.

EDUCATION

The average highest level of education increased significantly from intake (12.2) to follow-up (12.6), where 12 = High school diploma or GED (not depicted in a figure). Another way to examine change in education is to examine change in the number of clients who reported different levels of education. There was no significant change in the percentage of clients in each education category from intake to follow-up (see Figure 5.1).

FIGURE 5.1. HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION COMPLETED AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 211)³⁶



EMPLOYMENT

CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS


There were no significant changes in current employment status from intake to follow-up (see Figure 5.2). At intake and follow-up, about half of the clients were not employed, while about one third of clients were employed full-time at intake (35.4%) and at follow-up (39.9%).

“My counselor is a great guy. He saved my life.”

- KORTOS client quote

³⁶ Twelve cases had missing values for education at follow-up because of inconsistencies in data from intake to follow-up.

FIGURE 5.2. CHANGE IN CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS (N = 223)




Of those clients who were not employed at intake ($n = 118$) and follow-up ($n = 104$)³⁷, significantly more women than men stated they were not employed because they were staying at home to care for children or others (26.4% vs. 6.5% at intake, and 18.6% vs. 2.9% at follow-up; not depicted in a figure). However, at both intake and follow-up, more men and women reported they were looking for work while unemployed.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS

CURRENTLY UNEMPLOYED

Significantly more women reported at intake and follow-up that they were currently unemployed compared to men: 68.0% vs. 32.6% at intake and 55.5% vs. 37.9% at follow-up. The number of women who were currently unemployed decreased significantly 18.4% from intake to follow-up, whereas the percentage of men unemployed did not change significantly (see Figure 5.3).

AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP, SIGNIFICANTLY MORE WOMEN WERE CURRENTLY UNEMPLOYED COMPARED TO MEN

FIGURE 5.3. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN UNEMPLOYMENT STATUS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP^a

a—Significant difference by gender at intake and follow-up, $p < .001$.


* $p < .05$

³⁷ Of the 107 individuals who reported being unemployed at follow-up, three individuals had missing data on reason for being unemployed at follow-up.

CURRENTLY EMPLOYED FULL-TIME

The number of men who reported they were employed full-time was about 2.5 times higher than the number of women who were employed full-time at intake (53.7% vs. 21.9%). At follow-up the number of men who were employed full-time was about double the number of women (55.8% vs. 28.1%; see Figure 5.4).

FIGURE 5.4. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN CURRENT FULL-TIME EMPLOYMENT STATUS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP^a




a—Significant difference by gender at intake and follow-up, $p < .001$.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS EMPLOYED

Clients were asked in the intake survey to report the number of months they were employed full-time or part-time in the 6 months before they entered the OTP. At follow-up they were asked to report the number of months they were employed full-time or part-time in the 6 months before the follow-up survey. Figure 5.5 shows there was no significant change in the number of months clients were employed from intake (3.1 months) to follow-up (3.0 months).

FIGURE 5.5. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS EMPLOYED AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 215)³⁸




GENDER DIFFERENCES IN THE NUMBER OF MONTHS EMPLOYED

Men reported working significantly more months at both periods compared to women (intake 4.3 vs. 2.2 and follow-up 3.8 vs. 2.5). There were no significant increases in the number of months employed from intake to follow-up for men or women (see Figure 5.6).



³⁸ Eight cases had missing values for number of months employed in the 6 months before follow-up.

FIGURE 5.6. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN NUMBER OF MONTHS EMPLOYED AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP^a




a—Significant difference in number of months worked at intake and follow-up by gender, $p < .001$.

HOURLY WAGE

Of those clients who were employed at intake ($n = 105$), the average hourly wage was \$14.52. At follow-up, the average hourly wage was \$11.49³⁹ (see Figure 5.7).

FIGURE 5.7. CURRENT HOURLY WAGE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP, AMONG EMPLOYED CLIENTS



³⁹ Eight clients reported they did not know their hourly wage at follow-up, because they had salaried or commission jobs.

GENDER DIFFERENCES IN HOURLY WAGE

Among employed clients, there was no significant difference in hourly wage between men and women at intake; however, employed women made \$0.85 for every \$1 men made (\$15.42 for men and \$13.11 for women). At follow-up, employed men reported a significantly higher hourly wage than employed women (\$13.79 vs. \$9.02; see Figure 5.8).



**AT FOLLOW-UP, EMPLOYED
WOMEN MADE ONLY \$0.65
FOR EVERY \$1 EMPLOYED
MEN MADE**

FIGURE 5.8. AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE EMPLOYED WOMEN MAKE FOR EVERY DOLLAR EMPLOYED MEN MAKE AT FOLLOW-UP



SECTION 6

HOMELESSNESS, LIVING SITUATION, AND ECONOMIC HARDSHIP


This section examines changes in clients' socio-economic status from intake to follow-up. Specifically, this section examines: (1) if clients consider themselves to be homeless; (2) their living situation (i.e., own home or someone else's home, residential program, shelter); and (3) economic hardship (i.e., difficulty meeting living and health care needs).

HOMELESSNESS

One in 6 clients (16.6%) reported at treatment intake they were homeless at some point in the past 6 months. At follow-up, only 2.2% of clients reported they had been homeless at some point in the past 6 months, which is an 86.5% decrease (see Figure 6.1).

**87% FEWER CLIENTS REPORTED
HOMELESSNESS AT FOLLOW-UP**

FIGURE 6.1. CLIENTS REPORTING CURRENT HOMELESSNESS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




***p < .001.

LIVING SITUATION

Figure 6.2 shows that significantly more clients reported they were living in their own home or apartment in the past 6 months at follow-up than before OTP intake (70.9% vs. 55.2%). Furthermore, the number of clients in the sample who reported living in someone else's home or apartment decreased significantly from intake (43.5%) to follow-up (28.7%).

**SIGNIFICANTLY MORE CLIENTS
REPORTED THEY WERE LIVING IN
THEIR OWN HOME OR APARTMENT
AT FOLLOW-UP**

FIGURE 6.2. TYPE OF TYPICAL RESIDENCE IN THE PAST 6 MONTHS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




p < .01, *p < .001.

DIFFICULTY MEETING LIVING AND HEALTH CARE NEEDS FOR FINANCIAL REASONS

Clients were asked eight items, five of which asked about the clients' difficulty meeting basic living needs such as food, shelter, utilities, and telephone, while three items asked about the clients' difficulty obtaining medical care for financial reasons.

The number of clients who reported having difficulty meeting basic living needs such as food, shelter, telephone, and utilities decreased from intake to follow-up (see Figure 6.3). The number of clients reporting difficulty meeting basic living needs (e.g., shelter, utilities, phone, food) significantly decreased by more than half from the 6 months before entering the OTP (59.8%) to the 6 months before follow-up (25.1%). The number of clients who reported difficulty meeting health care needs (i.e., doctor visits, dental visits, and prescription medications) for financial reason decreased by almost one third from intake to follow-up.

PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS REPORTING DIFFICULTY MEETING BASIC LIVING NEEDS DECREASED BY MORE THAN ONE HALF

FIGURE 6.3. DIFFICULTY MEETING BASIC LIVING NEEDS AND HEALTH CARE NEEDS FOR FINANCIAL REASONS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 219)⁴⁰

***p < .001.

⁴⁰ Four clients had missing values for the items in the scale.

SECTION 7

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INVOLVEMENT

This section describes change in client involvement with the criminal justice system during the 6-month period before entering treatment and the 6-month period before the follow-up interview. Specifically, results include changes in: (1) any arrest; (2) the number of times arrested, among clients with any arrests; (3) any incarceration; (4) the number of days incarcerated, among clients with any incarceration; and (5) criminal justice supervision status.


ARRESTS

ANY ARRESTS IN THE PAST 6 MONTHS

Clients were asked about their arrests in the 6 months before they entered treatment at the OTP and the past 6 months (at follow-up). A small percentage of KORTOS clients (14.8%) reported any arrests in the 6 months before entering treatment and an even smaller percentage reported any arrests in the 6 months before follow-up (8.1%; see Figure 7.1).

46% FEWER CLIENTS REPORTED ANY ARREST AT FOLLOW-UP

FIGURE 7.1. PAST-6 MONTH ARREST AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




*p < .05 .

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ARRESTS

Among clients who reported at least one arrest in the 6 months before entering treatment at the OTP (n = 33), the average number of times they were arrested was 1.6 (see Figure 6.2). Among clients who reported at least one arrest in the 6 months before follow-up (n = 18), the average number of times they were arrested was 1.4 (see Figure 7.2).

FIGURE 7.2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF TIMES ARRESTED AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP, AMONG CLIENTS ARRESTED DURING EACH PERIOD




INCARCERATION

ANY INCARCERATION IN THE PAST 6 MONTHS

A small minority of KORTOS clients (14.8%) reported they had spent at least one night in jail or prison in the 6 months before they entered the OTP. At follow-up, only 6.7% of clients reported they had spent at least one night in jail or prison in the past 6 months. The percentage of clients reporting any incarceration decreased significantly by 54.5% (see Figure 7.3).

FIGURE 7.3. CLIENTS REPORTING INCARCERATION AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)




**p < .01.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT INCARCERATED, AMONG CLIENTS WHO REPORTED INCARCERATION

Figure 7.4 shows that among clients who reported incarceration, the average number of days incarcerated was 9.9 at intake (n = 33) and 11.3 at follow-up (n = 15).


FIGURE 7.4. AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAYS INCARCERATED, FOR CLIENTS WHO WERE INCARCERATED AT EACH PERIOD



CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUPERVISION

The number of clients that self-reported they were under criminal justice system supervision (e.g., drug court, probation, or parole) did not change significantly from 13.0% at intake to 9.4% at follow-up (see Figure 7.5).

FIGURE 7.5. CLIENTS REPORTING SUPERVISION BY THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 223)



SECTION 8

RECOVERY SUPPORTS

This section focuses on four main changes in recovery supports: (1) percentage of clients attending mutual help recovery group meetings; (2) the number of people the client said they could count on for recovery support; (3) what will be most useful to the client in staying off drugs/alcohol; and (4) clients' perceptions of their chances of staying off drugs/alcohol.


MUTUAL HELP RECOVERY GROUP MEETING ATTENDANCE

At intake, only 17.6% of clients reported going to mutual help recovery group meetings (e.g., AA, NA, or faith-based) in the past 30 days (See Figure 8.1). At follow-up, there was a significant increase of 143.6%, with 42.8% of clients reporting they had gone to mutual help recovery group meetings in the past 30 days.

Among clients who had attended mutual help recovery group meetings in the 30 days before intake ($n = 39$), they reported attending an average of 8.4 meetings. Among clients who attended mutual help recovery group meetings in the 30 days before follow-up ($n = 95$), they reported attending an average of 8.5 meetings.

THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS WHO
ATTENDED MUTUAL HELP RECOVERY
MEETINGS INCREASED BY 144%

FIGURE 8.1. CLIENTS REPORTING MUTUAL HEALTH RECOVERY GROUP ATTENDANCE AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP
($N = 222$)⁴¹



*** $p < .001$.

NUMBER OF PEOPLE CLIENT CAN COUNT ON FOR RECOVERY SUPPORT

***“Best thing to ever
happen to me.”***

- KORTOS client quote

The average number of people clients reported they could count on for recovery doubled from intake to follow-up (see Figure 8.2).


⁴¹ One case had a missing value for the item about mutual help recovery meetings at follow-up.

FIGURE 8.2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF PEOPLE CLIENT COULD COUNT ON FOR RECOVERY SUPPORT AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N=218)⁴²

WHAT WILL BE MOST USEFUL IN STAYING OFF DRUGS/ALCOHOL


At intake and follow-up, clients were asked what, other than medication-assisted therapy, they believed would be most useful in helping them quit or stay off drugs/alcohol. Rather than conduct analysis on change in responses from intake to follow-up, responses that were reported by 15% of clients or more are presented for descriptive purposes in Figure 8.3.

FIGURE 8.3. CLIENTS REPORTING WHAT WILL BE MOST USEFUL TO THEM IN STAYING OFF DRUGS AND/OR ALCOHOL (N = 223)



CHANCES OF STAYING OFF DRUGS/ALCOHOL

At intake and follow-up, clients were asked, based upon their situation, how good they believed their chances were of getting off and staying off drugs/alcohol (see Figure 8.4). The majority of clients believed they had very good chances of staying off drugs/alcohol at intake and follow-up, with no significant change from intake to follow-up.

FIGURE 8.4. CLIENTS REPORTING THEIR CHANCES OF GETTING OFF AND STAYING OFF ALCOHOL/DRUGS AT INTAKE AND FOLLOW-UP (N = 220)⁴³

⁴² Five cases had missing values for the item about recovery support persons at follow-up.

⁴³ Three cases had missing values for rating their chances of staying off drugs and/or alcohol in the follow-up survey.

SECTION 9

IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

The KORTOS 2015 Annual Follow-Up Report describes characteristics of clients who had completed intakes (N = 703) and outcomes for 223 clients who participated in opiate treatment programs during calendar year 2013 and completed a follow-up telephone interview 6 months after the intake survey was submitted to UK CDAR.

Overall, of the clients with intake surveys (N = 703), half were male and half were female age 18 to 67 (average age 34 years old). They were mostly white and 40% were unemployed in the six months before the intake. About 14% had been arrested and/or spent at least one night in jail six months before the intake was completed. The majority of adults who completed an intake survey reported using illegal drugs (96.4%) and smoked tobacco (83.8%) while over one-quarter of clients reported using alcohol (27.5%) in the 6 months before intake. The drug classes reported by the greatest number of clients were prescription opioid/opiates (83.5%), marijuana (54.3%), heroin (52.6%), and tranquilizers (41.0%). When looking at referral to treatment, the largest categories were self-referred (42.8%) and referred by a family member, partner or friend (45.4%).

Results for those who were followed-up show that clients made substantial improvements over time in several important dimensions of their lives, including significant reductions in illegal drug and alcohol use as well as the severity of their drug and alcohol use, significant reductions in mental health problems and stress, significant improvements in their living and housing situations, significant reductions in economic hardship, and significant reductions in criminal justice system involvement.

Additionally, clients reported high levels of satisfaction with their experience at the OTP, higher quality of life, and more recovery supports at follow-up. Specifically, the majority of clients agreed that treatment at the OTPs helped them get better and feel better about themselves, program staff treated them with respect, and clients understood their treatment plan and what staff expected of them in the program. In addition, clients reported many positive aspects of their participation in the OTP including decreased substance use, improved relationships with others, improved financial situation, and improved mental health and their feelings about themselves. Further, clients rated their quality of life and satisfaction with their lives as significantly higher after participating in the OTP. At follow-up, clients had significantly more positive feelings and fewer negative feelings. Compared to intake, significantly more individuals reported at follow-up they had attended mutual help recovery group meetings in the past 30 days. At follow-up, clients also reported having significantly more people they could count on for recovery support than at intake.

KORTOS clients had significant reductions in drug use and alcohol use as well as self-reported substance use severity. For example, 8 in 10 clients reported using prescription opiates in the past 6 months at intake, whereas only 1 in 10 clients reported using prescription opiates at follow-up. Also, 6 in 10 clients reported heroin use at intake, while only a little more than 1 in 10 (11.2%) clients reported heroin use at follow-up. Not only did clients' use of opioids/opiates decrease significantly from intake to follow-up, but their use of non-opioid drugs (such as marijuana, tranquilizers, benzodiazepines, stimulants) also decreased significantly by 84%. The number of KORTOS clients with an ASI composite score indicating severe drug use disorder decreased significantly 92% from 98.5% at intake to 7.9% at follow-up. Additionally, even though only a minority of clients reported using alcohol in the 6 months before intake, this number decreased significantly at follow-up by 46%.

Clients' mental health also showed significant improvements. Fewer clients had depression, generalized anxiety, comorbid depression and anxiety, and suicidal thoughts or attempts at follow-up than at intake. Also, stress and physical health was better for clients at follow-up than at intake. Specifically, clients reported significantly reduced stress-related health consequences, number of days of poor physical and mental health, and number of days their physical or mental health problems limited their daily activities at follow-up when compared to intake. Finally, significantly fewer clients reported they had experienced chronic pain in the 6 months before follow-up

than the 6 months before treatment intake.

Overall, KORTOS clients had stable socioeconomic status (e.g., education, employment) with some improvements from intake to follow-up. Half of followed up clients had at least one year of vocational school or college and about half of clients were unemployed at follow-up. Changes in living situation were improved for a significant number of clients. The number of clients who reported they were homeless at some point in the past 6 months decreased significantly and the number of clients who reported living in their own home/apartment increased significantly from intake to follow-up. Furthermore, at follow-up fewer clients reported having economic hardship in terms of difficulty meeting basic living needs (such as food, shelter, and utilities) and health care needs (i.e., doctor visits, dental visits, and prescription medications) as a result of financial problems than at intake. Even though only a minority of KORTOS clients reported criminal justice system involvement at intake, the number of clients reporting criminal justice involvement at follow-up, in terms of being arrested or incarcerated, was significantly lower.

There are, however, potential opportunities to target to make even more significant improvements in clients' functioning after they begin treatment at OTPs. Co-occurring problem areas, including tobacco smoking and economic difficulties, were reported by many participants. Smoking rates were high for clients at intake and remained high at follow-up. Smoking has been associated with increased mental health symptoms and physical health problems.^{44,45} Smoking cessation, however, has been associated with lower alcohol and drug relapse⁴⁶ and should, therefore, be encouraged in treatment programs. In addition, while the number of participants reporting a difficulty meeting basic needs for financial reasons decreased at follow-up, 1 in 4 clients still reported at follow-up having difficulty meeting basic living needs and an even higher proportion of clients (1 in 3) reported they had difficulty meeting health care needs such as seeing a doctor when needed or obtaining a needed medical prescription. Providing referrals and support for these dimensions may help improve basic living situations for many clients and support continued recovery living for long-term positive results from treatment. Nonetheless, these proportions are lower than the proportions found in the 2014 report.

Even though prescription opioids account for the majority of drug overdose fatalities, heroin has been playing an increasing role in recent years.⁴⁷ Total drug-poisoning deaths increased 6.4% from 2011 to 2013, with an increase of 87.8% in deaths involving heroin and a 4.0% decrease in deaths involving opioid analgesics. In Kentucky, while the involvement of prescription opioids and benzodiazepines to drug overdoses decreased from 2011 to 2012 there was a sharp increase in heroin involvement in overdose deaths (207%), inpatient hospitalizations (174%), and emergency department visits (197%) from 2011 to 2012.⁴⁸ Even though the majority of KORTOS clients continue to report use of prescription opioids/opiates when they enter treatment, the percentage of clients who report using heroin increased sharply in 2013 to 48.1% as compared to previous years: 15.0% in 2012, 8.8% in 2011, 9.8% in 2010, 9.3% in 2009, and 7.0% in 2008. Increasing attention is being paid to naloxone, an opioid antagonist, which binds to opioid receptors and can reverse the effects of opioids. The U.S. Health and Human Services (HHS) launched an initiative to address opioid misuse, with one priority area calling for the

⁴⁴ Patton, G., Coffey, C., Carlin, J., Sawyer, S., & Wakefield, M. (2006). The course of early smoking: A population-based cohort study over three years. *Addiction*, 93, 1251-1260.

⁴⁵ Kalman, D., Morissette, S., & George, T. (2005). Co-morbidity of smoking in patients with psychiatric and substance use disorders. *American Journal of Addictions*, 14(2), 106-123.

⁴⁶ Prochaska, J., Delucchi, K., & Hall, S. (2004). A meta-analysis of smoking cessation interventions with individuals in substance abuse treatment or recovery. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 72, 1144-1156.

⁴⁷ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2014, October 3). Increases in heroin overdose deaths – 28 states, 2010 to 2012. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 63(39), 849-854.

⁴⁸ Slavova, S., Bunn, T., & Lambert, J. (2014). *Drug overdose deaths, hospitalizations, and emergency department visits in Kentucky, 2000-2012*. Lexington, KY: Kentucky Injury Prevention and Research Center.

increase in the use of naloxone to reverse opioid overdoses.⁴⁹ Increasing the number of people who can carry naloxone was a key feature of Senate House Bill 192 in Kentucky's legislative session in 2015.

Finally, there were several gender differences in targeted factors. For example, as a group, women had worse mental and physical health than men at intake, and more stress-related health consequences at both intake and follow-up. In addition, more women were currently unemployed at intake and follow-up and they reported working fewer months at intake and follow-up when compared to men. Of clients who were not employed at intake, significantly more women were not in the workforce because they were staying at home as a caregiver at intake and follow-up compared to men. Finally, a few significant differences between men and women were found for substance use. First, significantly more women reported recent heroin use at follow-up when compared to men (6.5% vs. 1.1%). Second, significantly more men reported prescription opiate/opioid use in the 30 days before intake. Third, even though there were no differences between men and women in smoking tobacco, significantly more men reported using smokeless tobacco at intake and follow-up.

STUDY LIMITATIONS

The study findings must be considered within the context of the study's limitations. First, because there is no appropriate group of opiate dependent individuals who would like treatment but do not receive it to compare with the KORTOS individuals who participate in treatment, one cannot attribute all changes from intake to follow-up to opiate replacement treatment. Second, because not all clients agree to participate in the 6-month follow-up survey, it is unclear how generalizable the findings are to the entire client population that completes an intake survey. Analysis comparing those individuals who completed a follow-up survey with those who did not complete a follow-up survey for any reason (for example, they did not agree to be in the follow-up study, they were not selected into the follow-up sample, or they were not successfully contacted for the follow-up survey) found some significant differences between the two groups, several of which were likely due to the fact that significantly more females were followed up than were not followed up. For example, more of the clients who completed a follow-up survey reported they had depression, generalized anxiety, a chronic medical problem, and difficulty meeting basic living needs and health care needs for financial reasons in the 6 months before treatment intake when compared to clients who were not followed up. However, there were some differences that may not be due to gender differences in the follow-up sample. For example, significantly more clients who were followed up reported using non-prescribed buprenorphine, non-prescribed methadone, heroin, and stimulants compared to clients who did not complete a follow-up interview. Although there were selected significant differences between those followed up, compared to those not followed up, the differences suggest those followed up had more challenges associated with more difficult recovery.

Third, data included in this report were self-reported by clients. There is reason to question the validity and reliability of self-reported data, particularly with regard to sensitive topics, such as illegal behavior and stigmatizing issues such as mental health and substance use. However, recent research has supported findings about the reliability and accuracy of individuals' reports of their substance use.^{50,51,52,53} Earlier studies found that the context

⁴⁹ Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation. (2015, March 26). *Opioid abuse in the U.S. and HHS actions to address opioid-drug related overdoses and deaths*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

⁵⁰ Del Boca, F., & Noll, J. (2000). Truth or consequences: the validity of self-report data in health services research on addictions. *Addiction*, 95, 347-360.

⁵¹ Harrison, L., Martin, S., Enev, T., & Harrington, D. (2007). *Comparing drug testing and self-report of drug use among youths and young adults in the general population* (DHHS Publication No. SMA 07-4249, Methodology Series M-7). Rickville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Office of Applied Studies.

⁵² Shannon, E., Mathias, C., Marsh, D., Dougherty, D., & Liguori, A. (2007). Teenagers do not always lie: Characteristics and correspondence of telephone and in-person reports of adolescent drug use. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 8(90), 288-291.

⁵³ Rutherford, M., Cacciola, J., Alterman, A., McKay, J., & Cook, T. (2000). Contrasts between admitters and deniers of drug use. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 18, 343-348.

of the interview influences reliability.⁵⁴ During the informed consent process for the KORTOS follow-up study, interviewers tell participants that the research team operates independently from the opiate treatment programs, responses will be reported in group format and will not be identifiable at the individual level, and that the research team has a Federal Certificate of Confidentiality. These assurances of confidentiality and lack of affiliation with the data collectors may minimize individuals' concern about reporting stigmatizing or illegal behavior or conditions.

CONCLUSION

The 2015 KORTOS evaluation indicates that opiate treatment programs in Kentucky have been successful in facilitating positive changes in clients' lives in a variety of ways, including decreased substance use, decreased severity of substance use, decreased mental health symptoms, decreased economic hardship, and decreased involvement with the criminal justice system. Results also show that clients appreciate and value their experiences in treatment programs, have an improved quality of life, and more support for recovery after participating in treatment. Overall, KORTOS clients had significant improvements in their lives that have been shown to be key factors that facilitate recovery: meeting basic needs, stable living arrangements, having a purpose with daily meaningful activities, and recovery community.⁵⁵

⁵⁴ Babor, T., Stephens, R., & Marlatt, A. (1987). Verbal report methods in clinical research on alcoholism: Response bias and its minimization. *Journal of Studies on Alcoholism*, 48, 410-424.

⁵⁵ <http://blog.samhsa.gov/2012/03/23/definition-of-recovery-updated/>

APPENDIX A

METHODS

The KORTOS evaluation uses a pre- and post-intervention research design, which means that client data is collected at treatment intake and compared to data collected 6 months later at follow-up. At the end of the intake survey, clinicians explain the follow-up study to clients and give them the opportunity to volunteer to participate in the follow-up study. To participate in the follow-up study, clients must first volunteer and give informed consent. During the consent process clients are informed that the research staff at the University of Kentucky have obtained a Certificate of Confidentiality from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to protect the research team from being forced to release client-identifying data to law enforcement or other government agencies. Identifying data are encrypted as the data are submitted on the web-based survey. Electronic data are stored on password protected computers and servers in secure facilities. Clients who agree to participate in the follow-up study give their consent using an electronic consent form on the web survey, which is approved by the University of Kentucky Medical Institutional Review Board (IRB).

Of the 703 clients who completed an intake survey in 2013, 357 (50.8%) agreed to be contacted for the follow-up study. From this group of clients who voluntarily agreed to be contacted for the follow-up study, the research team pulled the follow-up sample by first identifying clients who had provided the minimum amount of contact information (e.g., two phone numbers or one phone number and one address), and then randomly selecting clients by intake month.

Follow-up surveys were conducted by interviewers on the research team at the University of Kentucky Center on Drug and Alcohol Research via telephone 6 months after the intake survey was submitted. Of the 350 clients included in the follow-up sample, 80 were ineligible for participating in the follow-up survey for a variety of reasons (e.g., no longer in OTP, incarcerated, in residential treatment), which left 270 clients eligible for follow-up. Of these clients, 223 completed a follow-up survey (see Table AA.1). Thus, the follow-up rate was 82.6%. The remaining clients either (1) refused (0.4%) to complete the follow-up survey, or (2) were never successfully contacted, or if contacted they never completed the follow-up survey (17.0%).

TABLE AA.1. FINAL CASE OUTCOMES FOR FOLLOW-UP EFFORTS (N = 350)

	Number of Records	Percent (n = 350)
Ineligible for follow-up survey	80	22.9%
	Number of cases eligible for follow-up (n = 270)	
Completed follow-up surveys	223	
Follow-up rate is calculated by dividing the number of completed surveys by the number of eligible cases and multiplying by 100		82.6%
Expired cases (i.e., never contacted, did not complete the survey during the follow-up period)	46	
Expired rate ((the number of expired cases/eligible cases)*100)		17.0%
Refusal	1	
Refusal rate ((the number of refusal cases/eligible cases)*100)		0.4%
Cases accounted for (i.e., records ineligible for follow-up + completed surveys + refusals)	304	
Percent of cases accounted for ((# of cases accounted for/total number of records in the follow-up sample)*100)		86.9%

Clients were considered ineligible for follow-up if they were living in a controlled environment during the follow-up period, had invalid locator data, or were no longer in OTP treatment (see Table AA.2). Of the 80 cases that were ineligible for follow-up, the majority (70.0%) were ineligible because they were no longer in treatment at the OTP during the follow-up period. A little more than one quarter of these clients were ineligible because they were incarcerated at the time of follow-up. Other reasons a small number of clients were ineligible for follow-up were because they had provided invalid locator data or were in residential treatment at the time of follow-up.

TABLE AA.2. REASONS CLIENTS WERE INELIGIBLE FOR FOLLOW-UP (N = 80)

	Number	Percent
Not in treatment at OTP	56	70.0%
Incarcerated	22	27.5%
Invalid locator data	1	1.3%
Residential treatment	1	1.3%

Appendix B provides detailed information on the locating efforts for the 2015 KORTOS follow-up sample.

Appendix C presents analysis on comparisons between clients who completed a follow-up interview and clients who did not complete a follow-up interview for any reason on key variables included in the intake survey.

APPENDIX B

LOCATING EFFORTS FOR THE 2015 KORTOS FOLLOW-UP STUDY

Project interviewers documented their efforts (e.g., mailings, phone calls, internet searches, etc.) to locate each participant included in the sample of individuals to be followed up from July 2013 to June 2014. (n = 350). All the locator files⁵⁶ were examined and used to extract information about the efforts project interviewers made to locate and contact participants as well as the type of contact information provided by participants in the original locator information when the intake survey data was submitted to UK CDAR. A subsample of records was randomly selected and independently examined to check that the procedures for extracting data were reliable and valid. The extraction sheets were compared between the two raters for interrater reliability, which was high (96.1%). The following information is based on the data collected during this review of locator files.

For all 350 records, a total of 2,182 phone calls were made to client phone numbers and 773 calls to contact persons' phone numbers. As Table AB.1 shows, project interviewers made an average of about 6.2 calls to client phone numbers and 2.4 calls to contact persons' phone numbers. Fewer than 40% of clients called in at any point and only 4.3% called-in to complete the survey after receiving the initial mailing without project interviewers putting additional effort into contacting the clients. That means 95.7% of clients took considerable effort to try to locate, contact, and complete follow-up surveys.

A total of 649 mailings were sent to client addresses and 26 mailings were sent to contact persons, an average of 1.9 mailings to clients and 0.1 mailings to contact persons. The research team received returned mail for 12.3% of clients that received mailings to client addresses and 1.4% of clients that received mailings to contact addresses.

In cases where the client contact information was incorrect (i.e., mail was returned, phone number was disconnected), online public directory databases were used to try to verify that we had correct or updated information for the client. Because it had been six months since they provided contact information, we want to be sure we are not calling or sending mailings to someone other than the client. Therefore, verifying the correct contact information is a critical interim step in the follow-up process to protect confidentiality. For 92.3% of the clients, the interviewers used public searches/directories to verify contact information. If the client information could not be verified, interviewers also used social media and more detailed public directory databases to find updated contact information (52.9%). In cases where very little contact information was given or clients were not successfully located in the ways listed above, more in-depth searching methods were used (28.9%). As a last resort, in the few cases where the client was not successfully located in any of the ways described above, interviewers worked to reach client contacts provided by them at intake (6.7%).

⁵⁶ At the time of extraction, there were 2 (physical) files missing. Information on phone number, address, and contacts listed was pulled from the electronic data files. The other information was filled in with the sample averages.

TABLE AB.1. LOCATING EFFORTS FOR ALL FILES (N = 350)

LOCATING EFFORTS	% OF CLIENT RECORDS	AVERAGE PER CLIENT
Number of total phone calls made to reach client		6.2
Number of phone calls made to contact persons (n= 326)		2.4
Clients who called in	38.9%	
<i>Clients who called in and completed survey</i>	20.3%	
<i>Clients who called in and completed survey after receiving the initial client mailing</i>	4.3%	
Contact persons who called in	8.0%	
At least one text message was sent to client	4.3%	
At least one text message was sent to contact person	0.6%	
Number of mailings sent to client		1.9
Handwritten note was sent	14.0%	
Returned mail from client's address	12.3%	
Number of mailings sent to contact persons		0.1
Returned mail from contact person's address	1.4%	
Client level of searching:		
Light (verification, VINE, Whitepages)	92.3%	
Medium (Facebook, Ancestry, USsearch, etc.)	52.9%	
In-depth (other in-depth databases)	28.9%	
Contact searched in any way	6.7%	

Because of study inclusion criteria, a complete client phone number was listed on 100.0% of the records. Less than half of these were working numbers for the client (46.3%), 9.7% were working numbers for a contact who knew the client, and 4.9% were working numbers but the contact did not know the client (i.e., wrong number). Over one-fifth of the phone numbers (22.3%) listed never resulted in contact (e.g., voicemail, busy signal, not receiving incoming calls, etc.) and 8.0% were disconnected. The majority of records also listed one unique, complete address for the client (87.4%; see Table AB.2).

Most of the clients provided the name of at least one other person that they thought would know how to get in touch with them in the next six months (90.9%). In addition, one-quarter also provided a unique and complete address and most (75.1%) provided a unique phone number for that person.

TABLE AB.2. QUALITY OF CONTACT INFORMATION FOR ALL FILES (N = 350)

QUALITY OF CONTACT INFORMATION	% OF RECORDS	AVERAGE PER CLIENT
Client Contact Information		
Client phone number listed	100.0%	
Client phone number was:		
Working number for client	46.3%	
Working number, person knew client	9.7%	
Working number, but no one knew the client	4.9%	
Working number for a facility or clinic	0.3%	
Called but did not reach a person (e.g., voicemail, busy signal, not receiving incoming calls)	22.3%	
Disconnected	8.0%	
Never called	8.0%	
Complete client address listed	87.4%	
Locator Contact Information		
Number of contact persons listed		1.0
0	9.1%	
1	78.6%	
2	12.3%	
Number of unique, complete addresses listed for contact persons		0.3
0	71.4%	
1	25.1%	
2	3.4%	
Number of unique, complete phone numbers listed for contact persons		0.9
0	16.3%	
1	75.1%	
2	8.6%	

APPENDIX C

CLIENT CHARACTERISTICS AT INTAKE FOR THOSE WHO COMPLETED FOLLOW-UP INTERVIEWS AND THOSE WHO DID NOT COMPLETE A FOLLOW-UP INTERVIEW

Clients who completed a follow-up interview are compared in this section with clients who did not complete a follow-up interview for any reason (e.g., did not agree to be contacted for the follow-up survey, not selected into the follow-up sample, ineligible for follow-up, not successfully located for the follow-up).⁵⁷

DEMOGRAPHICS

The majority of the sample for this annual report was White and male (see Table AC.1). Significantly more clients who completed a follow-up survey were female compared to clients who did not complete a follow-up survey. There were no significant differences on other demographics between clients who completed a follow-up survey and those who did not. The average client age for both groups was in the early 30s with no difference by follow-up status.

TABLE AC.1. COMPARISON OF DEMOGRAPHICS FOR CLIENTS WHO WERE FOLLOWED UP AND CLIENTS WHO WERE NOT FOLLOWED UP

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 480	YES n = 223
AGE	34.3 years	32.5 years
GENDER**		
Female	43.8%	57.4%
Male	56.3%	42.6%
RACE		
White	95.4%	96.9%
African American	1.7%	0.9%
Other or Multiracial	2.9%	2.2%
MARITAL STATUS		
Never married	43.3%	48.9%
Married or cohabiting	24.8%	26.0%
Separated or divorced	29.8%	23.3%
Widowed	2.1%	1.8%

**p < .001.

SUBSTANCE USE AT INTAKE

Use of illegal drugs in the 6 months before entering treatment is presented by follow-up status in Table AC.2. The

⁵⁷ Significance is reported for p<.01.

most frequently reported illegal drugs used in the 6 months before entering treatment were prescription opioids/opiates, heroin, marijuana, CNS depressants, and stimulants. Significantly more clients who were followed up reported using non-prescribed buprenorphine (38.6% vs. 24.0%), non-prescribed methadone (30.9% vs. 21.5%), heroin (60.5% vs. 49.0%), and stimulants (39.5% vs. 27.9%) compared to clients who did not complete a follow-up interview. Less than 8% of clients reported using hallucinogens, inhalants, and synthetic drugs in the 6 months before entering the OTP.

TABLE AC.2. PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS REPORTING ILLEGAL DRUG USE IN THE 6 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 480	YES n = 223
Any illegal drug	96.3%	96.9%
Prescription opioid/opiate (illegal use)	83.5%	80.3%
Non-prescribed methadone*	21.5%	30.9%
Non-prescribed buprenorphine (Suboxone, Subutex)**	24.0%	38.6%
Heroin*	49.0%	60.5%
Marijuana	53.5%	56.1%
CNS depressants	40.4%	45.3%
Stimulants (cocaine, amphetamines, methamphetamine, prescription stimulants)*	27.9%	39.5%
Other illicit drugs (hallucinogens, inhalants, synthetic drugs)	6.0%	7.6%

*p < .01, **p < .001.

Less than one-third of clients reported alcohol use in the 6 months before entering treatment. Around 1 in 6 clients in both groups reported alcohol use to intoxication in the same period. Smaller percentages of clients reported binge drinking in the 6 months before entering treatment. There were no differences in alcohol use in the 6 months before entering treatment by follow-up status (see Table AC.3).

TABLE AC.3. PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS REPORTING ALCOHOL USE IN THE 6 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 480	YES n = 223
Alcohol	26.7%	29.1%
Alcohol to intoxication	15.4%	17.9%
Binge drank alcohol (i.e., drank 5 or more (4 for women) drinks in 2 hours)	11.7%	15.7%

In the 6 months before entering the OTP, the vast majority of clients reported use of smoked tobacco products, with no difference between those who completed a follow-up interview and those who did not (see Table AC.4). There was also no significant difference between groups on smokeless tobacco use.

TABLE AC.4. PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS REPORTING TOBACCO USE IN THE 6 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 480	YES n = 223
Smoked tobacco	82.7%	86.1%
Smokeless tobacco	5.4%	4.9%

Self-reported severity of alcohol and drug use was measured with Addiction Severity Index (ASI) alcohol and drug composite scores. Alcohol and drug composite scores are presented in Table AC.5 for those clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment.⁵⁸ The highest composite score is 1.0 for each of the two substance categories.

The majority of clients who were not in a controlled environment all 30 days met or surpassed the Addiction Severity Index (ASI) composite score cutoff for alcohol and/or drug severe SUD with no difference by follow-up status. The average score for the drug severity composite score was .35 for clients who did not complete a follow-up interview and .37 for followed-up clients. These average cutoff scores include clients with scores of 0 on the composites.

TABLE AC.5. SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE PROBLEMS AT BASELINE

Recent substance use problems among clients who were....	Not in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment	
	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 472	YES n = 218
Percentage of clients with ASI composite score equal to or greater than cutoff score for ...		
Severe alcohol <u>or</u> drug use disorder*	95.6%	95.9%
Severe alcohol use disorder	8.9%	10.1%
Severe drug use disorder*	95.6%	95.4%
Average composite score for alcohol use ^a	.05	.05
Average composite score for drug use ^b	.35	.37

a Score equal to or greater than .17 is indicative of severe alcohol use disorder.

b Score equal to or greater than .16 is indicative of severe drug use disorder.

Almost two-thirds of clients reported ever having been in substance abuse treatment in their lifetime, with no significant difference by follow-up status (see Table AB.6). Among clients who reported a history of substance abuse treatment, the mean number of lifetime treatment episodes was less than 3 for the two groups.

TABLE AB.6. HISTORY OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT IN LIFETIME

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 480	YES n = 223
Ever been in substance abuse treatment in lifetime	64.8%	63.2%
Among those who had ever been in substance abuse treatment in lifetime,	(n = 311)	(n = 141)
Mean number of times in treatment	2.4	2.5

MENTAL HEALTH AT INTAKE

The mental health questions included in the KORTOS intake and follow-up surveys are not clinical measures, but instead are research measures. A total of 9 questions were asked to determine if they met DSM-IV self-reported criteria for depression, including at least one of the two leading questions: (1) “Did you have a two-week period

⁵⁸ Clients who were in a controlled environment all 30 days before intake were not included in this analysis because being in a controlled environment limits one’s access to substances.

when you were consistently depressed or down, most of the day, nearly every day?” and (2) “Did you have a two-week period when you were much less interested in most things or much less able to enjoy the things you used to enjoy most of the time?” Significantly more clients who completed a follow-up interview than clients who did not complete a follow-up interview reported symptoms that met criteria for depression: 64.1% vs. 41.5% (see Table AC.7).

A total of 7 questions were asked to determine if clients met criteria for generalized anxiety, including the leading question: “In the 6 months before entering this program, did you worry excessively or were you anxious about multiple things on more days than not for all 6 months (like family, health, finances, school, or work difficulties)?” Significantly more clients who completed a follow-up interview than clients who did not complete a follow-up interview reported symptoms that met criteria for generalized anxiety: 73.5% vs. 54.0%.

Two questions were included in the baseline survey that asked about thoughts of suicide and attempted suicide in the 6 months before clients entered treatment. A minority of clients reported suicidal ideation or attempts, with no difference by follow-up status (see Table AC.7).

TABLE AC.7. PERCENTAGE OF CLIENTS REPORTING MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IN THE 6 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING THE OTP

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 481	YES n = 223
Depression**	41.5%	64.1%
Generalized Anxiety**	54.0%	73.5%
Suicidality (e.g., thoughts of suicide or suicide attempts)	16.0%	16.6%

**p < .001.

PHYSICAL HEALTH AT INTAKE

To give an idea of the physical health of clients when they entered treatment, Table AC.8 presents the percentage of the follow-up sample that reported health problems at intake. Overall, about 2 in 5 clients were experiencing chronic pain (pain that lasted more than 3 months) at intake with no difference between groups. About one-quarter of clients had ever experienced a head injury that resulted in loss of consciousness or hospitalization in their lifetime. Finally, clients were asked at intake if a doctor had ever told them they had any of the 12 chronic medical problems listed (e.g., asthma, arthritis, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), tuberculosis, severe dental disease, cancer, Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C, HIV, and other sexually transmitted diseases). Significantly more clients who were followed up reported they had been told by a doctor that they had at least one of the chronic medical problems compared to clients who were not followed up (57.8% vs. 44.2%). The most commonly reported chronic medical problems are presented in Table AC.8: arthritis, asthma, severe dental disease, cancer, Hepatitis C, and cardiovascular disease.

TABLE AC.8. PHYSICAL HEALTH STATUS AT INTAKE

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 481	YES n = 223
Chronic pain (lasting at least 3 months)	36.2%	39.9%
Ever had a head injury that resulted in being knocked out or hospitalized for at least one night	24.1%	26.0%
Ever told by a doctor that client had one of the 12 chronic medical problems listed*	44.2%	57.8%
Arthritis	12.9%	14.8%
Asthma	5.6%	9.0%
Severe dental disease	11.9%	13.9%
Cancer	2.5%	5.4%
Hepatitis C	18.1%	21.1%
Cardiovascular disease	7.7%	10.3%

*p < .01

SOCIOECONOMIC INDICATORS

Table AC.9 describes clients' level of education when entering treatment. There were no significant differences between groups: one-fifth of clients reported less than a high school diploma or GED at baseline and a little over two-fifths reported attending vocational school or higher.

TABLE AC.9. CLIENTS' HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION COMPLETED AT INTAKE

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 481	YES n = 223
HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION COMPLETED		
Less than GED or high school diploma	19.6%	22.0%
GED or high school diploma or more	39.0%	34.5%
Vocational school to graduate school	41.5%	43.5%

There were no significant differences between groups on employment status in the 6 months before entering treatment (see Table AC.10). Of the clients who reported working at least part-time, the average number of months worked was 5.2 for clients not followed up and 5.5 months for clients who were followed up.

TABLE AC.10. EMPLOYMENT IN THE 6 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 481	YES n = 223
EMPLOYMENT		
Not currently employed	52.7%	52.9%
Full-time	34.2%	35.4%
Part-time	10.0%	9.9%
Occasional	3.1%	1.8%
Among those who were employed:	n = 227	n = 105
Average # of months employed in the past 6 months	5.2 months	5.5 months

About three-fifths of clients reported that their usual living arrangement in the 6 months before entering the OTP was living in their own home or apartment (see Table AC.11). Around two in five were living in someone else's home or apartment. Less than two percent reported that they lived in an institutional facility, such as jail, prison, or a hospital, a hotel, or on the street. There were no differences between the groups on the number of clients who considered themselves homeless at intake.

TABLE AC.11. LIVING SITUATION OF CLIENTS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 481	YES n = 223
USUAL LIVING ARRANGEMENT IN THE 12 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING THE PROGRAM		
Own home or apartment	61.0%	55.2%
Someone else's home or apartment	37.7%	43.5%
Institutional facility, hotel or on the street	1.3%	1.3%
Consider themselves to be currently homeless	14.6%	16.6%

Measures of economic hardship may be better indicators of the actual day-to-day stressors clients face than a measure of income. Therefore, the intake survey included several questions about clients' ability to meet expenses for basic needs and food insecurity (SIPP⁵⁹). Clients were asked eight items, five of which asked about difficulty meeting basic needs such as food, shelter, utilities, and telephone, and three items asked about difficulty obtaining needed health care for financial reasons.

Table AC.12 shows that significantly more clients in the follow up sample, as compared to those who were not followed up, had difficulty meeting basic living needs such as shelter, utilities, phone, and food (60.1% vs. 39.4%). Significantly more clients in the follow-up sample also reported they were unable to receive needed health care for financial reasons (48.4% compared to 30.8%).

⁵⁹ She, P., & Livermore, G. (2007). Material hardship, poverty, and disability among working-age adults. *Social Science Quarterly*, 88, 970-989.

TABLE AC.12. DIFFICULTY MEETING BASIC NEEDS IN THE 6 MONTHS BEFORE ENTERING TREATMENT

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 480	YES n = 223
Had difficulty meeting basic living needs (e.g. shelter, utilities, phone, food)**	39.4%	60.1%
Had difficulty obtaining needed health care for financial reasons**	30.8%	48.4%

**p < .001.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INVOLVEMENT AT INTAKE

There were no significant differences between the groups on criminal justice system involvement at intake. Around 12% of clients were under supervision by the criminal justice system when they entered the OTP (e.g., probation, parole, drug court), with no significant difference by follow-up status (see Table AC.13).

Less than 15% of clients reported they had been arrested in the 6 months before entering the OTP (see Table AC.13). Of those who had been arrested, both groups had fewer than 2 arrests in the 6 months before intake. In addition, fewer than 1 in 6 clients had been incarcerated in the 6 months before entering the OTP. Of those who had been incarcerated, there were no significant differences in the average number of nights spent in jail with clients who completed a follow-up reporting an average of 9.9 nights and clients who did not complete a follow-up reporting an average of 19.2 nights.

TABLE AC.13. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INVOLVEMENT WHEN ENTERING TREATMENT

	FOLLOWED UP	
	NO n = 480	YES n = 223
Currently under supervision by the criminal justice system	11.7%	13.0%
Arrested for any charge in the 12 months before entering treatment	13.3%	14.8%
Of those arrested	n = 64	n = 33
Average number of arrests	1.3	1.6
Incarcerated in the 12 months before OTP	12.9%	14.8%
Of those incarcerated	n = 62	n = 33
Average number of nights in jail	19.2	9.9


Most of the significant differences between clients who were followed up and those who were not may be due to gender differences between the two groups, wherein more of the followed up clients were female compared to the clients who did not complete a follow-up survey. Specifically, more of the clients who completed a follow-up survey reported they had depression, generalized anxiety, had a chronic medical problem, and difficulty meeting basic living needs and health care needs for financial reasons in the 6 months before treatment intake when compared to clients who were not followed up. However, there were some differences that may not be due to gender differences in the follow-up sample. For example, significantly more clients who were followed up reported using non-prescribed buprenorphine, non-prescribed methadone, heroin, and stimulants compared to clients who did not complete a follow-up interview.

APPENDIX D

TRENDS IN PRESCRIPTION OPIOID, METHADONE, BUPRENORPHINE, AND HEROIN USE AMONG KORTOS CLIENTS WITH A COMPLETED FOLLOW-UP SURVEY

Looking at trends over time for all clients with completed follow-up surveys, the percentage of clients using prescription opiates peaked in calendar year 2009 and has steadily dropped. Similarly, the percentage of clients who reported using non-prescribed methadone in the 30 days before entering treatment has declined since calendar year 2008 until 2013. The percentage of clients who reported using buprenorphine slowly increased from 2007 through 2011 and then dramatically increased in 2012 and 2013. The most notable change in substance use among KORTOS clients is for heroin. Small percentages of KORTOS clients reported using heroin in the 30 days before entering treatment from 2007 through 2011. The percentage tripled from 2011 (7.8%) to 2012 (26.7%) and then the percentage doubled from 26.7% in 2012 to 53.7% in 2013.

Percentage of Followed-Up Clients Reporting Non-Prescribed Use of Prescription Opiates, Methadone, Buprenorphine, and Heroin in the 30 Days Before Entering Treatment at the OTP (N = 1,175)⁶⁰



⁶⁰ Clients who reported being in a controlled environment all 30 days before entering treatment (n = 67) are not included in this analysis.